Berit Andersen

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

115 papers	2,431 citations	249298 26 h-index	286692 43 g-index
124 all docs	124 docs citations	124 times ranked	2351 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Clinical utility of p16/Ki67 dualâ€stain cytology for detection of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade two or worse in women with a transformation zone type 3: A crossâ€sectional study. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2023, 130, 202-209.	1.1	6
2	Making decisions on your own: Self-administered decision aids about colorectal cancer screening â€“ A systematic review and meta-analyses. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 534-546.	1.0	6
3	Varying fecal immunochemical test screening cutoffs by age and gender: a way to increase detection rates and reduce the number of colonoscopies. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022, 95, 540-549.	0.5	6
4	Targeted Next Generation Sequencing for Human Papillomavirus Genotyping in Cervical Liquid-Based Cytology Samples. Cancers, 2022, 14, 652.	1.7	8
5	Early detection of colorectal neoplasia: application of a blood-based serological protein test on subjects undergoing population-based screening. British Journal of Cancer, 2022, , .	2.9	4
6	Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in women with transformation zone typeÂ3: cervical biopsy versus large loop excision. BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2022, 129, 2132-2140.	1.1	10
7	The relative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test-based colorectal cancer screening to detect adenomas and cancer in different demographic and socioeconomic groups. A nationwide cohort study. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2022, Publish Ahead of Print, .	0.6	1
8	Histological outcomes in HPV-screened elderly women in Denmark. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0246902.	1.1	9
9	Balancing risks: Qualitative study of attitudes, motivations and intentions about attending for mammography during the COVID-19 pandemic. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2021, 49, 700-706.	1.2	16
10	Gaps between recommendations and their implementation: A register-based study of follow-up after abnormalities in cervical cancer screening. Preventive Medicine, 2021, 146, 106468.	1.6	4
11	Cervical cancer prevention among older women â€“ challenges in screening, diagnostic workup and treatment. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2021, 100, 1364-1368.	1.3	8
12	Perceptions of cervical cancer prevention among a group of ethnic minority women in Denmarkâ€”A qualitative study. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0250816.	1.1	2
13	Variations in pathways and resource use in follow-up after abnormal mammography screening: a nationwide register-based study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 189, 551-560.	1.1	1
14	The SWIM study: Ethnic minority women's ideas and preferences for a tailored intervention to promote national cancer screening programmesâ€”A qualitative interview study. Health Expectations, 2021, 24, 1692-1700.	1.1	8
15	Prevalence of self-reported abdominal symptoms among 50â€“74-years-old men and women eligible for colorectal cancer screening â€“a cross-sectional study. BMC Cancer, 2021, 21, 910.	1.1	2
16	The optimal cutâ€off value in fitâ€based colorectal cancer screening: An observational study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 1872-1879.	1.3	17
17	Adherence to followâ€up after the exit cervical cancer screening test at age 60â€“64: A nationwide registerâ€based study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 11, 224.	1.3	5
18	The LEAD trialâ€”The effectiveness of a decision aid on decision making among citizens with lower educational attainment who have not participated in FIT-based colorectal cancer screening in Denmark: A randomised controlled trial. Patient Education and Counseling, 2020, 103, 359-368.	1.0	19

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Implementation of p16/Ki67 dual stain cytology in a Danish routine screening laboratory: Importance of adequate training and experience. Cancer Medicine, 2020, 9, 8235-8242.	1.3	8
20	â€˜I Want the Whole Packageâ€™. Elderly Patientsâ€™ Preferences for Follow-Up After Abnormal Cervical Test Results: A Qualitative Study . Patient Preference and Adherence, 2020, Volume 14, 1185-1193.	0.8	9
21	HrHPV testing vs liquid-based cytology in cervical cancer screening among women aged 50 and older: a prospective study. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2020, 30, 1678-1683.	1.2	6
22	Urine collection in cervical cancer screening â€“ analytical comparison of two HPV DNA assays. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2020, 20, 926.	1.3	30
23	Low attendance by non-native women to human papillomavirus vaccination and cervical cancer screening â€“ A Danish nationwide register-based cohort study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2020, 19, 101106.	0.8	9
24	Perceptions about cancer and barriers towards cancer screening among ethnic minority women in a deprived area in Denmark â€“ a qualitative study. BMC Public Health, 2020, 20, 921.	1.2	22
25	Answer to: Letter to the editor regarding â€˜Potential for prevention: a cohort study of colonoscopies and removal of adenomas in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening programmeâ€™. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2020, 55, 761-761.	0.6	0
26	The association between health literacy and colorectal cancer screening uptake in a publicly funded screening program in Denmark: Cross-sectional study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2020, 19, 101132.	0.8	9
27	Validity and reliability of State-Trait Anxiety Inventory in Danish women aged 45 years and older with abnormal cervical screening results. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2020, 20, 89.	1.4	32
28	Expanding the upper age limit for cervical cancer screening: a protocol for a nationwide non-randomised intervention study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e039636.	0.8	7
29	Effectiveness of a decision aid for colorectal cancer screening on components of informed choice according to educational attainment: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0241703.	1.1	10
30	Potential for prevention: a cohort study of colonoscopies and removal of adenomas in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening programme. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 1008-1014.	0.6	6
31	The performance indicator of colonic intubation (PICI) in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 1176-1181.	0.6	2
32	Knowledge, attitudes, and worries among different health literacy groups before receiving first invitation to colorectal cancer screening: Cross-sectional study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2019, 14, 100876.	0.8	14
33	Sense & sensibility: Decision-making and sources of information in mothers who decline HPV vaccination of their adolescent daughters. Vaccine: X, 2019, 2, 100020.	0.9	13
34	HPV-prevalence in elderly women in Denmark. Gynecologic Oncology, 2019, 154, 118-123.	0.6	23
35	A stitch in time saves nine: Perceptions about colorectal cancer screening after a non-cancer colonoscopy result. Qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 1373-1379.	1.0	8
36	Data quality and colonoscopy performance indicators in the prevalent round of a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2019, 54, 471-477.	0.6	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Answer to: â€œIs the National Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Programme a success?â€• Cancer Epidemiology, 2019, 58, 200.	0.8	0
38	Non-Adherence To Childhood HPV Vaccination Is Associated With Non-Participation In Cervical Cancer Screening â€“ A Nationwide Danish Register-Based Cohort Study . Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, Volume 11, 969-980.	1.5	10
39	Quality indicators for screening colonoscopy and colonoscopist performance and the subsequent risk of interval colorectal cancer: a systematic review. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2019, 17, 2265-2300.	1.7	22
40	Direct notification of cervical cytology results to women improves follow-up in cervical cancer screening - A cluster-randomised trial. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2019, 13, 118-125.	0.8	4
41	Effectiveness of Colorectal Cancer Screening in Detecting Earlier-Stage Diseaseâ€”A Nationwide Cohort Study in Denmark. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 99-106.	0.6	58
42	Strong association between cervical and breast cancer screening behaviour among Danish women; A register-based cohort study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2018, 12, 349-354.	0.8	9
43	The LEAD trial - the effectiveness of a decision aid on decision making among citizens with lower educational attainment who have not participated in FIT-based colorectal cancer screening in Denmark: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2018, 19, 543.	0.7	3
44	Waiting for diagnostic colonoscopy: a qualitative exploration of screening participants’ experiences in a FIT-based colorectal cancer screening program. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2018, Volume 12, 845-852.	0.8	9
45	Colonoscopy-related complications in a nationwide immunochemical fecal occult blood test-based colorectal cancer screening program. Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, Volume 10, 1649-1655.	1.5	25
46	Three years of colorectal cancer screening in Denmark. Cancer Epidemiology, 2018, 57, 39-44.	0.8	57
47	Differences in diagnostic activity in general practice and findings for individuals invited to the danish screening programme for colorectal cancer: a population-based cohort study. Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care, 2018, 36, 281-290.	0.6	3
48	HPV self-sampling in cervical cancer screening: the effect of different invitation strategies in various socioeconomic groups - a randomized controlled trial. Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, Volume 10, 1027-1036.	1.5	20
49	Triage for selection to colonoscopy?. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 44, 1539-1541.	0.5	9
50	The value of using the faecal immunochemical test in general practice on patients presenting with non-alarm symptoms of colorectal cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 2018, 119, 471-479.	2.9	59
51	Good concordance of HPV detection between cervico-vaginal self-samples and general practitioner-collected samples using the Cobas 4800 HPV DNA test. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2018, 18, 348.	1.3	33
52	Preventing cervical cancer using HPV self-sampling: direct mailing of test-kits increases screening participation more than timely opt-in procedures - a randomized controlled trial. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 273.	1.1	55
53	Non-participation in breast cancer screening among previous cancer patients. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, 2018, 144, 1959-1966.	1.2	3
54	Cervical screening in Denmark â€“ a success followed by stagnation. Acta OncolÃ³gica, 2018, 57, 354-361.	0.8	38

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Pelvic inflammatory disease risk following negative results from chlamydia nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) versus non-NAATs in Denmark: A retrospective cohort. PLoS Medicine, 2018, 15, e1002483.	3.9	7
56	Developing a Self-Administered Decision Aid for Fecal Immunochemical Testâ€"Based Colorectal Cancer Screening Tailored to Citizens With Lower Educational Attainment: Qualitative Study. JMIR Formative Research, 2018, 2, e9.	0.7	4
57	Danish method study on cervical screening in women offered HPV vaccination as girls (Trial23): a study protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e020294.	0.8	6
58	Serological biomarkers in triage of FIT-positive subjects?. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 52, 742-744.	0.6	11
59	Quality indicators for screening colonoscopies and colonoscopist performance and the subsequent risk of interval bowel cancer: a systematic review protocol. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2017, 15, 1991-1997.	1.7	2
60	Effectiveness of self-administered decision aids for people invited to participate in colorectal cancer screening: a systematic review protocol. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, 2017, 15, 1552-1560.	1.7	1
61	Impact of GP reminders on follow-up of abnormal cervical cytology: a beforeâ€"after study in Danish general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2017, 67, e580-e587.	0.7	12
62	The influence of total hysterectomy in a cervical cancer screening population: a register-based cross-sectional study. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 423.	0.9	2
63	P3.25â€...Quantification of the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease following achlamydia trachomatistest by diagnostic test type. , 2017, , .		0
64	Comparison of the population excess fraction of Chlamydia trachomatis infection on pelvic inflammatory disease at 12-months in the presence and absence of chlamydia testing and treatment: Systematic review and retrospective cohort analysis. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0171551.	1.1	11
65	Satisfaction, discomfort, obligations, and concerns in population-based breast cancer screening: cross-sectional study in a Danish population. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 489.	0.9	7
66	Sociodemographic characteristics of nonparticipants in the Danish colorectal cancer screening program: a nationwide cross-sectional study. Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, Volume 9, 345-354.	1.5	38
67	Validity of data in the Danish Colorectal Cancer Screening Database. Clinical Epidemiology, 2017, Volume 9, 105-111.	1.5	46
68	An adverse event in a well-established cervical cancer screening program: an observational study of 19,000 females unsubscribed to the program. Journal of Healthcare Leadership, 2016, Volume 8, 61-69.	1.5	3
69	Study protocol of the CHOiCE trial: a three-armed, randomized, controlled trial of home-based HPV self-sampling for non-participants in an organized cervical cancer screening program. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 835.	1.1	13
70	Screening for genital chlamydia infection. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 2016, CD010866.	1.5	47
71	Implementation of immunochemical faecal occult blood test in general practice: a study protocol using a cluster-randomised stepped-wedge design. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 445.	1.1	2
72	Changes in chlamydia control activities in Europe between 2007 and 2012: a cross-national survey. European Journal of Public Health, 2016, 26, 382-388.	0.1	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Psychiatric morbidity and non-participation in breast cancer screening. Breast, 2016, 25, 38-44.	0.9	33
74	Social support and non-participation in breast cancer screening: a Danish cohort study. Journal of Public Health, 2016, 38, 335-342.	1.0	26
75	Making decisions about colorectal cancer screening. A qualitative study among citizens with lower educational attainment. European Journal of Public Health, 2016, 26, 176-181.	0.1	17
76	Protocol Outlines for Parts 1 and 2 of the Prospective Endoscopy III Study for the Early Detection of Colorectal Cancer: Validation of a Concept Based on Blood Biomarkers. JMIR Research Protocols, 2016, 5, e182.	0.5	15
77	Old habits die hard: a case study on how new ways of teaching colonoscopy affect the habitus of experienced clinicians. International Journal of Medical Education, 2016, 7, 297-308.	0.6	7
78	Chlamydia trachomatis infection in young adults â€" association with concurrent partnerships and short gap length between partners. Infectious Diseases, 2015, 47, 838-845.	1.4	7
79	Non-participation in breast cancer screening for women with chronic diseases and multimorbidity: a population-based cohort study. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 798.	1.1	30
80	Genital Chlamydia Prevalence in Europe and Non-European High Income Countries: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0115753.	1.1	57
81	Impact of opportunistic testing in a systematic cervical cancer screening program: a nationwide registry study. BMC Public Health, 2015, 15, 681.	1.2	23
82	Sexual behaviour among young Danes aged 15â€"29 years: a cross-sectional study of core indicators. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2015, 91, 171-177.	0.8	23
83	Self-assessed health, perceived stress and non-participation in breast cancer screening: A Danish cohort study. Preventive Medicine, 2015, 81, 392-398.	1.6	13
84	Distance to screening site and non-participation in screening for breast cancer: a population-based study. Journal of Public Health, 2014, 36, 292-299.	1.0	28
85	P3.391â€...Concurrent Partners. A Predictor of Chlamydia. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2013, 89, A271.3-A271.	0.8	2
86	Existing data sources for clinical epidemiology: the Danish Quality Database of Mammography Screening. Clinical Epidemiology, 2013, 5, 81.	1.5	20
87	P3.015â€...Estimating the Population Prevalence of Chlamydia in Europe: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2013, 89, A152.2-A152.	0.8	0
88	Young Danes' experiences with unsafe sex. Danish Medical Journal, 2013, 60, A4566.	0.5	2
89	Chlamydia control activities in Europe: cross-sectional survey. European Journal of Public Health, 2012, 22, 556-561.	0.1	43
90	The association between general practitionersâ€™ attitudes towards breast cancer screening and womenâ€™s screening participation. BMC Cancer, 2012, 12, 254.	1.1	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Identifying specific non-attending groups in breast cancer screening - population-based registry study of participation and socio-demography. BMC Cancer, 2012, 12, 518.	1.1	60
92	Screening for Chlamydia trachomatis. BMJ, The, 2012, 345, e4231-e4231.	3.0	2
93	P2-S1.10 Identifying key elements describing sexual behaviour in the Danish Population: a Qualitative Study. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2011, 87, A223-A223.	0.8	0
94	Chlamydia infection, pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy and infertility: cross-national study. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2011, 87, 601-608.	0.8	67
95	Impact of intensified testing for urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infections: a randomised study with 9-year follow-up. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2011, 87, 156-161.	0.8	31
96	Chlamydia trachomatis Infection and Risk of Ectopic Pregnancy. Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 2007, 34, 59.	0.8	5
97	Prediction of Costs, Effectiveness, and Disease Control of a Population-Based Program Using Home Sampling for Diagnosis of Urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis Infections. Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 2006, 33, 407-415.	0.8	35
98	Mycoplasma genitalium: prevalence and behavioural risk factors in the general population. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2006, 83, 237-241.	0.8	114
99	Psychosocial impact of Chlamydia trachomatis testing in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 2006, 56, 587-93.	0.7	16
100	Ectopic Pregnancies and Reproductive Capacity After Chlamydia trachomatis Positive and Negative Test Results: A Historical Follow-Up Study. Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 2005, 32, 377-381.	0.8	35
101	Opportunistic screening of young men for urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infection in general practice. Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2005, 37, 35-39.	1.5	14
102	A comparison of sexual behaviour and attitudes of healthy adolescents in a Danish high school in 1982, 1996, and 2001. Population Health Metrics, 2004, 2, 5.	1.3	8
103	Managing partners of people diagnosed with Chlamydia trachomatis: a comparison of two partner testing methods. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2003, 79, 358-361.	0.8	33
104	Value of Selfâ€Reportable Screening Criteria to Identify Asymptomatic Individuals in the General Population for UrogentialChlamydia trachomatisInfection Screening. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2003, 36, 837-844.	2.9	10
105	Reasons forChlamydia trachomatistesting and the associated ageâ€specific prevalences. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation, 2003, 63, 339-345.	0.6	13
106	Populationâ€Based Strategies for Outreach Screening of UrogenitalChlamydia trachomatisInfections: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2002, 185, 252-258.	1.9	113
107	Diagnosis of Urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis Infections by Home-obtained, Mailed Samples: Do we Need a Telephone Hotline for Information and Advice?. Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2002, 34, 262-266.	1.5	5
108	Effectiveness of a mass media campaign to recruit young adults for testing of Chlamydia trachomatis by use of home obtained and mailed samples. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2001, 77, 416-418.	0.8	29

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Home Sampling versus Conventional Swab Sampling for Screening ofChlamydia trachomatisin Women: A Clusterâ€Randomized 1â€Year Followâ€up Study. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2000, 31, 951-957.	2.9	168
110	Impact of menstrual cycle on the diagnostic performance of LCR, TMA, and PCE for detection of Chlamydia trachomatis in home obtained and mailed vaginal flush and urine samples. Sexually Transmitted Infections, 1999, 75, 228-230.	0.8	23
111	Urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infections in general practice: diagnosis, treatment, follow-up and contact tracing. Family Practice, 1998, 15, 223-228.	0.8	17
112	Efficacy of home sampling for screening of Chlamydia trachomatis: randomised study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1998, 317, 26-27.	2.4	91
113	Home sampling versus conventional contact tracing for detecting Chlamydia trachomatis infection in male partners of infected women: randomised study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1998, 316, 350-351.	2.4	54
114	Diagnosis of urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women based on mailed samples obtained at home: multipractice comparative study. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 1996, 313, 1186-1189.	2.4	97
115	Colorectal cancer mortality after randomized implementation of FIT-based screening - a nationwide cohort study. Journal of Medical Screening, 0, , 096914132211022.	1.1	4