## Stamatia Destounis

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9106979/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

686830 610482 35 588 13 24 citations h-index g-index papers 36 36 36 696 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comparison of Cancers Detected by Screening Breast Ultrasound and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. Academic Radiology, 2022, 29, 339-347.	1.3	8
2	Letter to the editor on article by Dinh et al. Is it ethical to incentivize mammography screening in Medicaid populations? – A policy review and conceptual analysis. By Stamatia Destounis, MD and Sarah Friedewald MD. Preventive Medicine, 2022, 154, 106598.	1.6	0
3	Breast Imaging for Transgender Individuals: Assessment of Current Practice and Needs. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 221-231.	0.9	10
4	A risk model for digital breast tomosynthesis to predict breast cancer and guide clinical care. Science Translational Medicine, 2022, 14, eabn3971.	5.8	16
5	Breast papillomas in the United States: single institution data on underrepresented minorities with a multi-institutional update on incidence. Clinical Imaging, 2021, 82, 21-28.	0.8	O
6	Screening Guidelines and Supplemental Screening Tools: Assessment of the Adequacy of Patient–Provider Discussions. Journal of Breast Imaging, 2019, 1, 109-114.	0.5	8
7	Use of DBT Over Digital Mammography Improves Clinical Outcome. Academic Radiology, 2019, 26, 606-607.	1.3	O
8	Radiologist-Patient Communication: Current Practices and Barriers to Communication in Breast Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2019, 16, 709-716.	0.9	18
9	Role of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis in Screening and Diagnostic Breast Imaging. Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI, 2018, 39, 35-44.	0.7	13
10	Agreement Between an Automated Volume Breast Scanner and Handheld Ultrasound for Diagnostic Breast Examinations. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2017, 36, 2087-2092.	0.8	18
11	New York State Breast Density Mandate: Followâ€up Data With Screening Sonography. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2017, 36, 2511-2517.	0.8	15
12	DBT as a Screening Tool and a Diagnostic Tool. Current Breast Cancer Reports, 2017, 9, 264-271.	0.5	4
13	Using Volumetric Breast Density to Quantify the Potential Masking Risk of Mammographic Density. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2017, 208, 222-227.	1.0	68
14	Qualitative Versus Quantitative Mammographic Breast Density Assessment: Applications for the US and Abroad. Diagnostics, 2017, 7, 30.	1.3	44
15	Implementation of a risk assessment program in a breast-imaging community practice. Breast Cancer, 2016, 23, 273-278.	1.3	3
16	Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Principles and Practice. Current Radiology Reports, 2016, 4, 1.	0.4	1
17	Personal History of Premenopausal Breast Cancer as a Risk Factor for Referral to Screening Breast MRI. Academic Radiology, 2016, 23, 353-357.	1.3	16
18	Initial Experience With the New York State Breast Density Inform Law at a Communityâ€Based Breast Center. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2015, 34, 993-1000.	0.8	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	An Overview of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. Journal of Radiology Nursing, 2015, 34, 131-136.	0.2	5
20	Initial Experience with Combination Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Plus Full Field Digital Mammography or Full Field Digital Mammography Alone in the Screening Environment. Journal of Clinical Imaging Science, 2014, 4, 9.	0.4	38
21	Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Indications. Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2014, 23, 329-336.	0.7	4
22	Underestimation of papillary breast lesions by core biopsy: correlation to surgical excision. Breast Cancer, 2014, 21, 128-134.	1.3	11
23	Clinical Experience With Elasticity Imaging in a Community-Based Breast Center. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2013, 32, 297-302.	0.8	22
24	Evaluation of Breast Lesions Using Sonographic Elasticity Imaging. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2012, 31, 281-287.	0.8	143
25	Conversion to Digital Mammography in a Private Practice. Seminars in Roentgenology, 2011, 46, 18-25.	0.2	0
26	Perceived Sufficiency of Full-Field Digital Mammograms With and Without Irreversible Image Data Compression for Comparison with Next-Year Mammograms. Journal of Digital Imaging, 2011, 24, 66-74.	1.6	3
27	Rates of pathological underestimation for 9 and 12 gauge breast needle core biopsies at surgical excision. Breast Cancer, 2011, 18, 42-50.	1.3	6
28	A review of breast imaging following mastectomy with or without reconstruction in an outpatient community center. Breast Cancer, 2011, 18, 259-267.	1.3	13
29	Breast Imaging and Intervention in the Overweight and Obese Patient. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2011, 196, 296-302.	1.0	27
30	Computer-aided detection of breast carcinoma in standard mammographic projections with digital mammography. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, 2009, 4, 331-336.	1.7	5
31	Community-Based Practice Experience of Unsuspected Breast Magnetic Resonance Imaging Abnormalities Evaluated With Second-Look Sonography. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2009, 28, 1337-1346.	0.8	43
32	Computer-aided-detection marker value and breast density in the detection of invasive lobular carcinoma. International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, 2007, 2, 99-104.	1.7	3
33	Full-Field Digital Mammography on LCD Versus CRT Monitors. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2006, 187, 1492-1498.	1.0	12
34	Retrospective study evaluating the accuracy of CAD in marking invasive lobular carcinoma. International Congress Series, 2005, 1281, 1030-1035.	0.2	0
35	Screening Ultrasound for Women with Dense Breasts in the Age of Patient-centered Care. American Journal of Sonography, 0, $1,14.$	0.0	0