Stephen F Kry

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/908827/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



STEDHEN F KDV

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Proportion of second cancers attributable to radiotherapy treatment in adults: a cohort study in the US SEER cancer registries. Lancet Oncology, The, 2011, 12, 353-360.	10.7	387
2	The calculated risk of fatal secondary malignancies from intensity-modulated radiation therapy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2005, 62, 1195-1203.	0.8	382
3	Out-of-field photon and neutron dose equivalents from step-and-shoot intensity-modulated radiation therapy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2005, 62, 1204-1216.	0.8	227
4	<scp>AAPM TG</scp> 158: Measurement and calculation of doses outside the treated volume from externalâ€beam radiation therapy. Medical Physics, 2017, 44, e391-e429.	3.0	214
5	Dosimetric properties of photon beams from a flattening filter free clinical accelerator. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2006, 51, 1907-1917.	3.0	196
6	An evaluation of three commercially available metal artifact reduction methods for CT imaging. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2015, 60, 1047-1067.	3.0	177
7	AAPM Medical Physics Practice Guideline 5.a.: Commissioning and QA of Treatment Planning Dose Calculations — Megavoltage Photon and Electron Beams. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2015, 16, 14-34.	1.9	169
8	Flattening filterâ€free accelerators: a report from the AAPM Therapy Emerging Technology Assessment Work Group. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2015, 16, 12-29.	1.9	144
9	Properties of unflattened photon beams shaped by a multileaf collimator. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 1738-1746.	3.0	128
10	Institutional Patient-specific IMRT QA Does Not Predict Unacceptable Plan Delivery. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2014, 90, 1195-1201.	0.8	116
11	Pencil Beam Algorithms Are Unsuitable forÂProton Dose Calculations in Lung. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2017, 99, 750-756.	0.8	115
12	Accuracy and sources of error of outâ€of field dose calculations by a commercial treatment planning system for intensityâ€modulated radiation therapy treatments. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2013, 14, 186-197.	1.9	111
13	Monte Carlo study of photon fields from a flattening filter-free clinical accelerator. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 820-827.	3.0	99
14	Out-of-field photon dose following removal of the flattening filter from a medical accelerator. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2010, 55, 2155-2166.	3.0	99
15	Radiation-Related Risk of Basal Cell Carcinoma: A Report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2012, 104, 1240-1250.	6.3	97
16	AAPM TG 191: Clinical use of luminescent dosimeters: TLDs and OSLDs. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, e19-e51.	3.0	97
17	High quality machineâ€robust image features: Identification in nonsmall cell lung cancer computed tomography images. Medical Physics, 2013, 40, 121916.	3.0	96
18	A Monte Carlo model for calculating out-of-field dose from a Varian 6MV beam. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 4405-4413.	3.0	93

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Radiotherapy-Induced Malfunction in Contemporary Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Devices. JAMA Oncology, 2015, 1, 624.	7.1	91
20	Angular dependence of the nanoDot OSL dosimeter. Medical Physics, 2011, 38, 3955-3962.	3.0	90
21	Stereotactic radiotherapy for lung cancer using a flattening filter free Clinac. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2009, 10, 14-21.	1.9	87
22	Secondary neutron spectra from modern Varian, Siemens, and Elekta linacs with multileaf collimators. Medical Physics, 2009, 36, 4027-4038.	3.0	84
23	A Monte Carlo model for outâ€ofâ€field dose calculation from highâ€energy photon therapy. Medical Physics, 2007, 34, 3489-3499.	3.0	81
24	Variations in photon energy spectra of a 6 MV beam and their impact on TLD response. Medical Physics, 2011, 38, 2619-2628.	3.0	78
25	Management of radiotherapy patients with implanted cardiac pacemakers and defibrillators: A Report of the AAPM TGâ€203 ^{â€} . Medical Physics, 2019, 46, e757-e788.	3.0	77
26	Methodology for determining doses to in-field, out-of-field and partially in-field organs for late effects studies in photon radiotherapy. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2010, 55, 7009-7023.	3.0	76
27	Reduced Neutron Production Through Use of a Flattening-Filter–Free Accelerator. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2007, 68, 1260-1264.	0.8	73
28	Algorithms Used in Heterogeneous Dose Calculations Show Systematic Differences as Measured With the Radiological Physics Center's Anthropomorphic Thorax Phantom Used for RTOG Credentialing. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2013, 85, e95-e100.	0.8	72
29	Uncertainty of Calculated Risk Estimates for Secondary Malignancies After Radiotherapy. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2007, 68, 1265-1271.	0.8	70
30	Skin dose during radiotherapy: a summary and general estimation technique. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2012, 13, 20-34.	1.9	68
31	Neutron source strength measurements for Varian, Siemens, Elekta, and General Electric linear accelerators. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2003, 4, 189-194.	1.9	58
32	A multi-institution evaluation of MLC log files and performance in IMRT delivery. Radiation Oncology, 2014, 9, 176.	2.7	57
33	Treatment Planning System Calculation Errors Are Present in Most Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core-Houston Phantom Failures. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2017, 98, 1197-1203.	0.8	55
34	Material matters: Analysis of density uncertainty in 3D printing and its consequences for radiation oncology. Medical Physics, 2018, 45, 1614-1621.	3.0	55
35	The Radiological Physics Center's standard dataset for small field size output factors. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2012, 13, 282-289.	1.9	54
36	Adaptations to a Generalized Radiation Dose Reconstruction Methodology for Use in Epidemiologic Studies: An Update from the MD Anderson Late Effect Group. Radiation Research, 2019, 192, 169.	1.5	54

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Toward optimizing patientâ€specific IMRT QA techniques in the accurate detection of dosimetrically acceptable and unacceptable patient plans. Medical Physics, 2014, 41, 121702.	3.0	53
38	Organ at risk delineation for radiation therapy clinical trials: Global Harmonization Group consensus guidelines. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 150, 30-39.	0.6	53
39	Treatment-Planning Study of Prostate Cancer Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy With a Varian Clinac Operated Without a Flattening Filter. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2007, 68, 1567-1571.	0.8	50
40	Neutron spectra and dose equivalents calculated in tissue for highâ€energy radiation therapy. Medical Physics, 2009, 36, 1244-1250.	3.0	50
41	Report of AAPM Task Group 219 on independent calculationâ€based dose/MU verification for IMRT. Medical Physics, 2021, 48, e808-e829.	3.0	50
42	Energy spectra, sources, and shielding considerations for neutrons generated by a flattening filterâ€free Clinac. Medical Physics, 2008, 35, 1906-1911.	3.0	49
43	Independent recalculation outperforms traditional measurementâ€based IMRT QA methods in detecting unacceptable plans. Medical Physics, 2019, 46, 3700-3708.	3.0	49
44	Examining credentialing criteria and poor performance indicators for IROC Houston's anthropomorphic head and neck phantom. Medical Physics, 2016, 43, 6491-6496.	3.0	45
45	Comparison of 2D and 3D gamma analyses. Medical Physics, 2014, 41, 021710.	3.0	44
46	Monte Carlo study shows no significant difference in second cancer risk between 6- and 18-MV intensity-modulated radiation therapy. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2009, 91, 132-137.	0.6	43
47	The clinical impact of the couch top and rails on IMRT and arc therapy. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2011, 56, 7435-7447.	3.0	43
48	Characterization of the nanoDot OSLD dosimeter in CT. Medical Physics, 2015, 42, 1797-1807.	3.0	43
49	AAPM Task Group 329: Reference dose specification for dose calculations: Doseâ€toâ€water or doseâ€toâ€muscle?. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, e52-e64.	3.0	43
50	Automatic contouring system for cervical cancer using convolutional neural networks. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, 5648-5658.	3.0	43
51	Treatment plan complexity does not predict IROC Houston anthropomorphic head and neck phantom performance. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2018, 63, 205015.	3.0	42
52	The use of LiF (TLDâ€100) as an outâ€ofâ€field dosimeter. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2007, 8, 169-175.	1.9	41
53	Effects of spatial resolution and noise on gamma analysis for IMRT QA. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2014, 15, 93-104.	1.9	40
54	Monte Carlo study of backscatter in a flattening filter free clinical accelerator. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 3270-3273.	3.0	38

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Results From the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core Houston's Anthropomorphic Phantoms Used for Proton Therapy Clinical Trial Credentialing. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2016, 95, 242-248.	0.8	38
56	Approaches to reducing photon dose calculation errors near metal implants. Medical Physics, 2016, 43, 5117-5130.	3.0	37
57	A multinational audit of small field output factors calculated by treatment planning systems used in radiotherapy. Physics and Imaging in Radiation Oncology, 2018, 5, 58-63.	2.9	37
58	lon recombination correction factors () for Varian TrueBeam highâ€doseâ€rate therapy beams. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2012, 13, 318-325.	1.9	36
59	Assessment of shoulder position variation and its impact on IMRT and VMAT doses for head and neck cancer. Radiation Oncology, 2012, 7, 19.	2.7	34
60	Reference dataset of users' photon beam modeling parameters for the Eclipse, Pinnacle, and RayStation treatment planning systems. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, 282-288.	3.0	33
61	Technical Report: Reference photon dosimetry data for Varian accelerators based on IROC-Houston site visit data. Medical Physics, 2016, 43, 2374-2386.	3.0	32
62	The role of dosimetry audit in lung SBRT multi-centre clinical trials. Physica Medica, 2017, 44, 171-176.	0.7	32
63	A sixâ€year review of more than 13,000 patientâ€specific IMRT QA results from 13 different treatment sites. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2014, 15, 196-206.	1.9	30
64	Development and validation of a 3Dâ€printed bolus cap for total scalp irradiation. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2019, 20, 89-96.	1.9	29
65	Energy response of optically stimulated luminescent dosimeters for non-reference measurement locations in a 6 MV photon beam. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2012, 57, 2505-2515.	3.0	27
66	Dosimetric effects of jaw tracking in stepâ€andâ€shoot intensityâ€modulated radiation therapy. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2012, 13, 136-145.	1.9	27
67	Agreement Between Institutional Measurements and Treatment Planning System Calculations for Basic Dosimetric Parameters as Measured by the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core-Houston. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2016, 95, 1527-1534.	0.8	27
68	Treatment vault shielding for a flattening filter-free medical linear accelerator. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2009, 54, 1265-1273.	3.0	26
69	Characteristics of optically stimulated luminescence dosimeters in the spreadâ€out Bragg peak region of clinical proton beams. Medical Physics, 2012, 39, 1854-1863.	3.0	25
70	Characterisation of energy response of Al2O3:C optically stimulated luminescent dosemeters (OSLDs) using cavity theory. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2013, 153, 23-31.	0.8	24
71	Dose Specification for NRG Radiation TherapyÂTrials. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2016, 95, 1344-1345.	0.8	24
72	Radiation safety survey on a flattening filter-free medical accelerator. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2007, 124, 187-190.	0.8	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Outâ€ofâ€field doses and neutron dose equivalents for electron beams from modern Varian and Elekta linear accelerators. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2016, 17, 442-455.	1.9	21
74	Survey results of 3D RT and IMRT quality assurance practice. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2020, 21, 70-76.	1.9	21
75	Report dose-to-medium in clinical trials where available; a consensus from the Global Harmonisation Group to maximize consistency. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 159, 106-111.	0.6	21
76	Development and implementation of a remote audit tool for high dose rate (HDR) Irâ€192 brachytherapy using optically stimulated luminescence dosimetry. Medical Physics, 2013, 40, 112102.	3.0	20
77	Radiation Therapy Deficiencies Identified During On-Site Dosimetry Visits by the Imaging and Radiation Oncology Core Houston Quality Assurance Center. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2017, 99, 1094-1100.	0.8	20
78	NSCLC tumor shrinkage prediction using quantitative image features. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 2016, 49, 29-36.	5.8	19
79	Remote beam output audits: A global assessment of results out of tolerance. Physics and Imaging in Radiation Oncology, 2018, 7, 39-44.	2.9	19
80	Testing the methodology for a dosimetric end-to-end audit of IMRT/VMAT: results of IAEA multicentre and national studies. Acta Oncológica, 2019, 58, 1731-1739.	1.8	19
81	Evaluation of the accuracy of fetal dose estimates using TG-36 data. Medical Physics, 2007, 34, 1193-1197.	3.0	18
82	Implementation and evaluation of an endâ€ŧoâ€end IGRT test. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2012, 13, 46-53.	1.9	17
83	An <scp>FMEA</scp> evaluation of intensity modulated radiation therapy dose delivery failures at tolerance criteria levels. Medical Physics, 2017, 44, 5575-5583.	3.0	17
84	Radiation therapy related cardiac disease risk in childhood cancer survivors: Updated dosimetry analysis from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2021, 163, 199-208.	0.6	17
85	MCNPX simulation of a multileaf collimator. Medical Physics, 2006, 33, 402-404.	3.0	16
86	Effect of organ size and position on out-of-field dose distributions during radiation therapy. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2010, 55, 7025-7036.	3.0	16
87	Reproducibility in patient-specific IMRT QA. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2014, 15, 241-251.	1.9	16
88	Effects of tertiary MLC configuration on secondary neutron spectra from 18 MV x-ray beams for the Varian 21EX linear accelerator. Medical Physics, 2009, 36, 4039-4046.	3.0	15
89	Investigation of various energy deposition kernel refinements for the convolution/superposition method. Medical Physics, 2013, 40, 121721.	3.0	15
90	Reference dosimetry data and modeling challenges for Elekta accelerators based on IROCâ€Houston site visit data. Medical Physics, 2018, 45, 2337-2344.	3.0	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Report of a National Cancer Institute special panel: Characterization of the physical parameters of particle beams for biological research. Medical Physics, 2019, 46, e37-e52.	3.0	15
92	Sensitivity of IROC phantom performance to radiotherapy treatment planning system beam modeling parameters based on communityâ€driven data. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, 5250-5259.	3.0	14
93	Automatic contouring QA method using a deep learning–based autocontouring system. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2022, 23, e13647.	1.9	14
94	Differences in the Patterns of Failure Between IROC Lung and Spine Phantom Irradiations. Practical Radiation Oncology, 2020, 10, 372-381.	2.1	13
95	A virtual dosimetry audit – Towards transferability of gamma index analysis between clinical trial QA groups. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2017, 125, 398-404.	0.6	12
96	Response to Thomsen et al.: Comments on "The Radiological Physics Center's standard dataset for small field size output factors― Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2014, 15, 353-355.	1.9	11
97	Radiotherapy of lung cancers: FFF beams improve dose coverage at tumor periphery compromised by electronic disequilibrium. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2018, 63, 195007.	3.0	11
98	A mechanistic relative biological effectiveness model-based biological dose optimization for charged particle radiobiology studies. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2019, 64, 015008.	3.0	11
99	The radiotherapy quality assurance gap among phase III cancer clinical trials. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2022, 166, 51-57.	0.6	11
100	Measurement of High-Energy Neutron Spectra with a Bonner Sphere Extension System. Nuclear Technology, 2009, 168, 333-339.	1.2	10
101	Radiation Therapy Digital Data Submission Process for National Clinical Trials Network. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2014, 90, 466-467.	0.8	10
102	Development of a stereoscopic CT metal artifact management algorithm using gantry angle tilts for head and neck patients. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2020, 21, 120-130.	1.9	9
103	Quality assurance in radiation oncology. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2021, 68, e28609.	1.5	9
104	A New Anthropomorphic Pediatric Spine Phantom for Proton Therapy Clinical Trial Credentialing. International Journal of Particle Therapy, 2018, 4, 20-27.	1.8	9
105	Recommended ethics curriculum for medical physics graduate and residency programs: Report of Task Group 159. Medical Physics, 2010, 37, 4495-4500.	3.0	8
106	Dose calculation errors as a component of failing IROC lung and spine phantom irradiations. Medical Physics, 2020, 47, 4502-4508.	3.0	8
107	Investigation into the use of a MOSFET dosimeter as an implantable fiducial marker. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2009, 10, 22-32.	1.9	7
108	Modification and validation of an analytical source model for external beam radiotherapy Monte Carlo dose calculations. Medical Physics, 2016, 43, 4842-4853.	3.0	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	A comparison of IROC and ACDS onâ€site audits of reference and nonâ€reference dosimetry. Medical Physics, 2019, 46, 5878-5887.	3.0	7
110	Development and validation of an age-scalable cardiac model with substructures for dosimetry in late-effects studies of childhood cancer survivors. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2020, 153, 163-171.	0.6	7
111	Evaluation of image quality of a novel computed tomography metal artifact management technique on an anthropomorphic head and neck phantom. Physics and Imaging in Radiation Oncology, 2021, 17, 111-116.	2.9	7
112	Development of an age-scalable 3D computational phantom in DICOM standard for late effects studies of childhood cancer survivors. Biomedical Physics and Engineering Express, 2020, 6, 065004.	1.2	7
113	Comparison of Unfolding Methods for Determining Neutron Spectrum and Ambient Dose Equivalent. Nuclear Technology, 2009, 168, 610-614.	1.2	6
114	Neutronâ€induced electronic failures around a highâ€energy linear accelerator. Medical Physics, 2011, 38, 34-39.	3.0	6
115	Field calibration of PADC track etch detectors for local neutron dosimetry in man using different radiation qualities. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 2012, 694, 205-210.	1.6	6
116	Calibration strategies for use of the nanoDot <scp>OSLD</scp> in <scp>CT</scp> applications. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2019, 20, 331-339.	1.9	6
117	Photon beam modeling variations predict errors in IMRT dosimetry audits. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2022, 166, 8-14.	0.6	6
118	Characterization of a Gold-and-Indium Dual-Activation-Foil-Based Bonner Sphere System. Nuclear Technology, 2009, 168, 603-609.	1.2	5
119	Calibration of indium response functions in an Au-In-BSE system up to 800 MeV. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2010, 139, 565-573.	0.8	5
120	Design, fabrication, and validation of patient-specific electron tissue compensators for postmastectomy radiation therapy. Physics and Imaging in Radiation Oncology, 2018, 8, 38-43.	2.9	5
121	The Importance of Imaging in Radiation Oncology for National Clinical Trials Network Protocols. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2018, 102, 775-782.	0.8	4
122	Our Experience Leading a Large Medical Physics Practice During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Advances in Radiation Oncology, 2021, 6, 100683.	1.2	4
123	Dosimetric evaluation of irradiation geometry and potential air gaps in an acrylic miniphantom used for external audit of absolute dose calibration for a hybrid 1.5ÂT MRâ€linac system. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2022, 23, .	1.9	4
124	Average stopping powers for electron and photon sources for radiobiological modeling and microdosimetric applications. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2018, 63, 055007.	3.0	3
125	Dosimetric impact of commercial CT metal artifact reduction algorithms and a novel in \hat{e} house algorithm for proton therapy of head and neck cancer. Medical Physics, 2021, 48, 445-455.	3.0	3
126	Uncertainty in tissue equivalent proportional counter assessments of microdosimetry and RBE estimates in carbon radiotherapy. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 2021, 66, 155018.	3.0	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Dose calculations for preclinical radiobiology experiments conducted with singleâ€field cabinet irradiators. Medical Physics, 2022, , .	3.0	3
128	In response to Dr. Schneider. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2006, 64, 1290-1291.	0.8	2
129	Evaluation of an implantable MOSFET dosimeter designed for use with hypofractionated external beam treatments and its applications for breast and prostate treatments. Medical Physics, 2011, 38, 4881-4887.	3.0	2
130	Peer-based credentialing for brachytherapy: Application in permanent seed implant. Brachytherapy, 2020, 19, 794-799.	0.5	2
131	The Value of On-Site Proton Audits. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2022, 112, 1004-1011.	0.8	2
132	Comment on "Monte Carlo evaluations of the absorbed dose and quality dependence of Al ₂ O ₃ in radiotherapy photon beams―[Med. Phys. 36(10), 4421–4424 (2009)]. Medical Physics, 2015, 42, 2648-2649.	3.0	1
133	Development of a Monte Carlo multiple source model for inclusion in a dose calculation auditing tool. Medical Physics, 2017, 44, 4943-4951.	3.0	1
134	Radiation Dose and Breast Cancer Risk in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Breast Diseases, 2010, 21, 270-271.	0.0	0
135	Risk for second primary non-breast cancer in pre- and postmenopausal women with breast cancer not treated with chemotherapy, radiotherapy or endocrine therapy. Breast Diseases, 2011, 22, 359-360.	0.0	Ο
136	Development of a flattening filter free multiple source model for use as an independent, Monte Carlo, dose calculation, quality assurance tool for clinical trials. Medical Physics, 2017, 44, 4952-4960.	3.0	0
137	PHSOR10 Presentation Time: 10:45 AM. Brachytherapy, 2021, 20, S28.	0.5	Ο
138	Medical Applications of Luminescent Materials. , 2019, , 439-479.		0
139	Body region-specific 3D age-scaling functions for scaling whole-body computed tomography anatomy for pediatric late effects studies. Biomedical Physics and Engineering Express, 2022, 8, 025010.	1.2	0
140	The current status and shortcomings of stereotactic radiosurgery. Neuro-Oncology Advances, 0, , .	0.7	0