Stacy Loeb

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9064423/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

53794 46799 9,290 236 45 89 citations h-index g-index papers 248 248 248 8916 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Systematic Review of Complications of Prostate Biopsy. European Urology, 2013, 64, 876-892.	1.9	779
2	Overdiagnosis and Overtreatment of Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2014, 65, 1046-1055.	1.9	709
3	Complications After Prostate Biopsy: Data From SEER-Medicare. Journal of Urology, 2011, 186, 1830-1834.	0.4	589
4	Prostate cancer. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 2021, 7, 9.	30.5	434
5	Complications After Systematic, Random, and Image-guided Prostate Biopsy. European Urology, 2017, 71, 353-365.	1.9	353
6	Infectious Complications and Hospital Admissions After Prostate Biopsy in a European Randomized Trial. European Urology, 2012, 61, 1110-1114.	1.9	269
7	Prostate-Specific Antigen Kinetics During Follow-Up Are an Unreliable Trigger for Intervention in a Prostate Cancer Surveillance Program. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010, 28, 2810-2816.	1.6	237
8	The Prostate Health Index Selectively Identifies Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2015, 193, 1163-1169.	0.4	228
9	Dissemination of Misinformative and Biased Information about Prostate Cancer on YouTube. European Urology, 2019, 75, 564-567.	1.9	215
10	Active surveillance for prostate cancer: current evidence and contemporary state of practice. Nature Reviews Urology, 2016, 13, 205-215.	3.8	188
11	Implementation of Germline Testing for Prostate Cancer: Philadelphia Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference 2019. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 38, 2798-2811.	1.6	170
12	Baseline prostate-specific antigen compared with median prostate-specific antigen for age group as predictor of prostate cancer risk in men younger than 60 years old. Urology, 2006, 67, 316-320.	1.0	169
13	Development and Validation of a Novel Integrated Clinical-Genomic Risk Group Classification for Localized Prostate Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, 581-590.	1.6	162
14	Uptake of Active Surveillance for Very-Low-Risk Prostate Cancer in Sweden. JAMA Oncology, 2017, 3, 1393.	7.1	137
15	Use of social media in urology: data from the <scp>A</scp> merican <scp>U</scp> rological <scp>A</scp> ssociation (<scp>AUA</scp>). BJU International, 2014, 113, 993-998.	2.5	135
16	A Global Survey on the Impact of COVID-19 on Urological Services. European Urology, 2020, 78, 265-275.	1.9	134
17	Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review of Clinicopathologic Variables and Biomarkers for Risk Stratification. European Urology, 2015, 67, 619-626.	1.9	129
18	What Are the Outcomes of Radical Prostatectomy for High-risk Prostate Cancer?. Urology, 2010, 76, 710-714.	1.0	119

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Population Based Study of Use and Determinants of Active Surveillance and Watchful Waiting for Low and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2013, 190, 1742-1749.	0.4	111
20	Evaluation of the 2015 Gleason Grade Groups in a Nationwide Population-based Cohort. European Urology, 2016, 69, 1135-1141.	1.9	104
21	Prostate Volume Changes Over Time: Results From the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Journal of Urology, 2009, 182, 1458-1462.	0.4	102
22	What Is the True Number Needed to Screen and Treat to Save a Life With Prostate-Specific Antigen Testing?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 464-467.	1.6	86
23	Baseline Prostate-Specific Antigen Testing at a Young Age. European Urology, 2012, 61, 1-7.	1.9	85
24	Nationwide Population Based Study of Infections after Transrectal Ultrasound Guided Prostate Biopsy. Journal of Urology, 2014, 192, 1116-1122.	0.4	84
25	Perceived Patient-Provider Communication Quality and Sociodemographic Factors Associated With Watching Health-Related Videos on YouTube: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2019, 21, e13512.	4.3	84
26	How Active is Active Surveillance? Intensity of Followup during Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer in the United States. Journal of Urology, 2016, 196, 721-726.	0.4	81
27	Pathological Characteristics of Prostate Cancer Detected Through Prostate Specific Antigen Based Screening. Journal of Urology, 2006, 175, 902-906.	0.4	78
28	Five-year Nationwide Follow-up Study of Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2015, 67, 233-238.	1.9	77
29	Use of Phosphodiesterase Type 5 Inhibitors for Erectile Dysfunction and Risk of Malignant Melanoma. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015, 313, 2449.	7.4	76
30	Engaging responsibly with social media: the <scp>BJUI</scp> guidelines. BJU International, 2014, 114, 9-11.	2.5	74
31	Is Repeat Prostate Biopsy Associated with a Greater Risk of Hospitalization? Data from SEER-Medicare. Journal of Urology, 2013, 189, 867-870.	0.4	71
32	Prostate Health Index improves multivariable risk prediction of aggressive prostate cancer. BJU International, 2017, 120, 61-68.	2.5	71
33	Testosterone Replacement Therapy and Risk of Favorable and Aggressive Prostate Cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 1430-1436.	1.6	61
34	ACCURACY OF PROSTATE WEIGHT ESTIMATION BY DIGITAL RECTAL EXAMINATION VERSUS TRANSRECTAL ULTRASONOGRAPHY. Journal of Urology, 2005, 173, 63-65.	0.4	59
35	Guideline of guidelines: prostate cancer screening. BJU International, 2014, 114, 323-325.	2.5	58
36	Functional Outcomes and Quality of Life After Radical Prostatectomy Only Versus a Combination of Prostatectomy with Radiation and Hormonal Therapy. European Urology, 2017, 71, 330-336.	1.9	57

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Telemedicine and Smart Working: Recommendations of the European Association of Urology. European Urology, 2020, 78, 812-819.	1.9	57
38	Telemedicine Usage Among Urologists During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e21875.	4.3	57
39	Quality of Bladder Cancer Information on YouTube. European Urology, 2021, 79, 56-59.	1.9	55
40	Novel survey disseminated through Twitter supports its utility for networking, disseminating research, advocacy, clinical practice and other professional goals. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2015, 9, 713.	0.6	55
41	Does perineural invasion on prostate biopsy predict adverse prostatectomy outcomes?. BJU International, 2010, 105, 1510-1513.	2.5	53
42	Online Professionalismâ€"2018 Update of European Association of Urology (@Uroweb) Recommendations on the Appropriate Use of Social Media. European Urology, 2018, 74, 644-650.	1.9	53
43	Prospective Multicenter Evaluation of the Beckman Coulter Prostate Health Index Using WHO Calibration. Journal of Urology, 2013, 189, 1702-1706.	0.4	51
44	PSA Doubling Time Versus PSA Velocity to Predict High-Risk Prostate Cancer: Data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. European Urology, 2008, 54, 1073-1080.	1.9	50
45	TikTok and prostate cancer: misinformation and quality of information using validated questionnaires. BJU International, 2021, 128, 435-437.	2.5	50
46	Screening for familial and hereditary prostate cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2016, 138, 2579-2591.	5.1	49
47	Can We Stop Prostate Specific Antigen Testing 10 Years After Radical Prostatectomy?. Journal of Urology, 2011, 186, 500-505.	0.4	45
48	Association of plant-based diet index with prostate cancer risk. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2022, 115, 662-670.	4.7	45
49	Activity, content, contributors, and influencers of the twitter discussion on urologic oncology. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2016, 34, 377-383.	1.6	44
50	Qualitative Twitter analysis of participants, tweet strategies, and tweet content at a major urologic conference. Canadian Urological Association Journal, 2016, 10, 39.	0.6	43
51	Newsworthiness vs scientific impact: are the most highly cited urology papers the most widely disseminated in the media?. BJU International, 2017, 120, 441-454.	2.5	42
52	The Prostate Health Index. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2016, 43, 1-6.	1.8	41
53	Social Media Offers Unprecedented Opportunities for Vibrant Exchange of Professional Ideas Across Continents. European Urology, 2014, 66, 118-119.	1.9	40
54	Prostateâ€specific antigen velocity (PSAV) risk count improves the specificity of screening for clinically significant prostate cancer. BJU International, 2012, 109, 508-513.	2.5	39

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Twitter response to the United States Preventive Services Task Force recommendations against screening with prostateâ€specific antigen. BJU International, 2015, 116, 65-71.	2.5	39
56	Increasing Social Media Use in Urology: 2017 American Urological Association Survey. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 605-608.	3.1	39
57	The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Genitourinary Cancer Care: Re-envisioning the Future. European Urology, 2020, 78, 731-742.	1.9	39
58	Fake News: Spread of Misinformation about Urological Conditions on Social Media. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 437-439.	3.1	37
59	Progression after radical prostatectomy for men in their thirties compared to older men. BJU International, 2008, 101, 1503-1506.	2.5	36
60	Twitter Activity Associated With U.S. News and World Report Reputation Scores for Urology Departments. Urology, 2017, 108, 11-16.	1.0	36
61	Exclusion of inflammation in the differential diagnosis of an elevated prostate-specific antigen (PSA). Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2009, 27, 64-66.	1.6	35
62	Twitter and academic Urology in the United States and Canada: a comprehensive assessment of the Twitterverse in 2019. BJU International, 2020, 125, 173-181.	2.5	35
63	Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms and the Likelihood of Prostate Cancer at a Given Prostate Specific Antigen Level. Journal of Urology, 2009, 182, 101-105.	0.4	34
64	Impact of the Internet on Patient-Physician Communication. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 440-444.	3.1	31
65	A Quantitative Analysis Investigating the Prevalence of "Manels―in Major Urology Meetings. European Urology, 2021, 80, 442-449.	1.9	31
66	Genomic testing for localized prostate cancer. Current Opinion in Urology, 2017, 27, 495-499.	1.8	30
67	Qualitative study on decisionâ€making by prostate cancer physicians during active surveillance. BJU International, 2017, 120, 32-39.	2.5	29
68	Novel use of Twitter to disseminate and evaluate adherence to clinical guidelines by the European Association of Urology. BJU International, 2017, 119, 820-822.	2.5	28
69	Clinical Implications of Germline Testing in Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer. European Urology Oncology, 2021, 4, 1-9.	5.4	27
70	Prostate Specific Antigen Velocity in Men With Total Prostate Specific Antigen Less Than 4 ng/ml. Journal of Urology, 2007, 178, 2348-2353.	0.4	26
71	Active Surveillance of Prostate Cancer: Use, Outcomes, Imaging, and Diagnostic Tools. American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book / ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology Meeting, 2016, 36, e235-e245.	3.8	26
72	Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Phosphodiesterase Inhibitors (PDE5Is) and Risk of Melanoma. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2017, 109, .	6.3	26

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Prostate Specific Antigen Assay Standardization Bias Could Affect Clinical Decision Making. Journal of Urology, 2008, 180, 1959-1963.	0.4	23
74	Active Surveillance Versus Watchful Waiting for Localized Prostate Cancer: A Model to Inform Decisions. European Urology, 2017, 72, 899-907.	1.9	23
7 5	Long-Term Rates of Undetectable PSA with Initial Observation and Delayed Salvage Radiotherapy after Radical Prostatectomy. European Urology, 2008, 54, 88-96.	1.9	22
76	What is the role of digital rectal examination in men undergoing serial screening of serum PSA levels?. Nature Reviews Urology, 2009, 6, 68-69.	1.4	22
77	Immediate versus delayed prostatectomy: Nationwide population-based study. Scandinavian Journal of Urology, 2016, 50, 246-254.	1.0	22
78	Phosphodiesterase Type 5 Inhibitor Use and Disease Recurrence After Prostate Cancer Treatment. European Urology, 2016, 70, 824-828.	1.9	22
79	Crowdfunding for prostate cancer and breast cancer. BJU International, 2018, 122, 723-725.	2.5	22
80	Evaluating the Effectiveness of an Online Journal Club: Experience from the International Urology Journal Club. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 482-488.	3.1	22
81	Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging targeted biopsy techniques compared to transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy of the prostate: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2022, 25, 174-179.	3.9	22
82	Should Prostate Specific Antigen be Adjusted for Body Mass Index? Data From the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Journal of Urology, 2009, 182, 2646-2652.	0.4	21
83	Social media makes global urology meetings truly global. BJU International, 2015, 115, 175-175.	2.5	21
84	Guideline of guidelines: social media in urology. BJU International, 2020, 125, 379-382.	2.5	21
85	Whom to Biopsy. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2017, 44, 517-524.	1.8	20
86	Knowledge and practice regarding prostate cancer germline testing among urologists: Gaps to address for optimal implementation✰,✰✰. Cancer Treatment and Research Communications, 2020, 25, 100212.	1.7	20
87	Online Medical Misinformation in Cancer: Distinguishing Fact From Fiction. JCO Oncology Practice, 2022, 18, 584-589.	2.9	20
88	Prostate-specific antigen screening: pro. Current Opinion in Urology, 2010, 20, 185-188.	1.8	19
89	Future-proofing Gleason Grading: What to Call Gleason 6 Prostate Cancer?. European Urology, 2015, 68, 1-2.	1.9	19
90	Barriers and facilitators of germline genetic evaluation for prostate cancer. Prostate, 2021, 81, 754-764.	2.3	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Social Media Coverage of Scientific Articles Immediately After Publication Predicts Subsequent Citations - #SoME_Impact Score: Observational Analysis. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e12288.	4.3	19
92	Impact of Early Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer on Survival Outcomes. European Urology Focus, 2015, 1, 137-146.	3.1	18
93	A Systematic Review of the Use of Social Media for Dissemination of Clinical Practice Guidelines. European Urology Focus, 2021, 7, 1195-1204.	3.1	18
94	Review of the literature: PCA3 for prostate cancer risk assessment and prognostication. Reviews in Urology, 2011, 13, e191-5.	0.9	18
95	Distribution of PSA Velocity by Total PSA Levels: Data From the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Urology, 2011, 77, 143-147.	1.0	17
96	Prostate cancer and social media. Nature Reviews Urology, 2018, 15, 422-429.	3.8	17
97	Twitter-based Prostate Cancer Journal Club (#ProstateJC) Promotes Multidisciplinary Global Scientific Discussion and Research Dissemination. European Urology, 2019, 75, 881-882.	1.9	17
98	Global Survey of the Roles and Attitudes Toward Social Media Platforms Amongst Urology Trainees. Urology, 2021, 147, 64-67.	1.0	17
99	External validation of the cancer of the prostate risk assessment (CAPRA) score in a single-surgeon radical prostatectomy series. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2012, 30, 584-589.	1.6	16
100	Perspectives of Prostate Cancer Patients on Gleason Scores and the New Grade Groups: Initial Qualitative Study. European Urology, 2016, 70, 1083-1085.	1.9	16
101	Genomic classifiers for treatment selection in newly diagnosed prostate cancer. BJU International, 2019, 124, 578-586.	2.5	16
102	Exploring Variation in the Use of Conservative Management for Low-risk Prostate Cancer in the Veterans Affairs Healthcare System. European Urology, 2020, 77, 683-686.	1.9	16
103	Concordance and Performance of 4Kscore and SelectMDx for Informing Decision to Perform Prostate Biopsy and Detection of Prostate Cancer. Urology, 2020, 141, 119-124.	1.0	16
104	Systematic review of the impact of a plant-based diet on prostate cancer incidence and outcomes. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2022, 25, 444-452.	3.9	16
105	Informational needs during active surveillance for prostate cancer: A qualitative study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 241-247.	2.2	15
106	Combined Prostate-specific Antigen Density and Biopsy Features to Predict "Clinically Insignificant― Prostate Cancer. Urology, 2008, 72, 143-147.	1.0	14
107	Growth of AS in the USA signals reduction in overtreatment. Nature Reviews Urology, 2015, 12, 604-605.	3.8	14
108	Updated Survey of Social Media Use by Members of the American Urological Association. Urology Practice, 2015, 2, 138-143.	0.5	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Postoperative mortality 90 days after robotâ€assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy and retropubic radical prostatectomy: a nationwide populationâ€based study. BJU International, 2016, 118, 302-306.	2.5	14
110	Biomarkers in active surveillance. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2018, 7, 155-159.	1.4	14
111	Pelvic organ prolapse on YouTube: evaluation of consumer information. BJU International, 2020, 125, 759-760.	2.5	14
112	Tweet this: how advocacy for breast and prostate cancers stacks up on social media. BJU International, 2017, 120, 461-463.	2.5	13
113	Benign prostate glands at the bladder neck margin in robotic vs open radical prostatectomy. BJU International, 2010, 105, 1446-1449.	2.5	12
114	Prostate Specific Antigen at the Initial Diagnosis of Metastasis to Bone in Patients After Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2010, 184, 157-161.	0.4	12
115	Longâ€term radical prostatectomy outcomes among participants from the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer (ERSPC) Rotterdam. BJU International, 2012, 110, 1678-1683.	2.5	12
116	Prostate Cancer Risk Alleles are Associated with Prostate Cancer Volume and Prostate Size. Journal of Urology, 2014, 191, 1733-1736.	0.4	12
117	Do Environmental Factors Modify the Genetic Risk of Prostate Cancer?. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2015, 24, 213-220.	2.5	12
118	United States trends in active surveillance or watchful waiting across patient socioeconomic status from 2010 to 2015. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2020, 23, 179-183.	3.9	12
119	Plant-Based Milk Alternatives and Risk Factors for Kidney Stones and Chronic Kidney Disease., 2022, 32, 363-365.		12
120	Perspectives of Residency Applicants and Program Directors on the Role of Social Media in the 2021 Urology Residency Match. Urology, 2022, 164, 68-73.	1.0	12
121	Twitter Engagement of Medical Students Applying to Urology Residency During COVID-19: A Mixed Methods Study. Urology, 2022, 165, 120-127.	1.0	12
122	The Vanishing Prostate Cancer Phenomenon. Urology, 2010, 76, 605-607.	1.0	11
123	An Approach Using PSA Levels of 1.5 ng/mL as the Cutoff for Prostate Cancer Screening in Primary Care. Urology, 2016, 96, 116-120.	1.0	11
124	Growth of the Twitter Presence of Academic Urology Training Programs and Its Catalysis by the COVID-19 Pandemic. European Urology, 2021, 80, 261-263.	1.9	11
125	Risk of localized and advanced prostate cancer among immigrants versus native-born Swedish men: a nation-wide population-based study. Cancer Causes and Control, 2013, 24, 383-390.	1.8	10
126	Treatment of Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer With Abiraterone and Enzalutamide Despite PSA Progression. Anticancer Research, 2019, 39, 2467-2473.	1.1	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	Understanding the Composition of a Successful Tweet in Urology. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 450-457.	3.1	10
128	Racial disparities and online health information: YouTube and prostate cancer clinical trials. BJU International, 2020, 126, 11-13.	2.5	10
129	Gaps in Public Awareness About BRCA and Genetic Testing in Prostate Cancer: Social Media Landscape Analysis. JMIR Cancer, 2021, 7, e27063.	2.4	10
130	Representation in Online Prostate Cancer Content Lacks Racial and Ethnic Diversity: Implications for Black and Latinx Men. Journal of Urology, 2022, 207, 559-564.	0.4	10
131	Rebuttal from Authors re: Axel Heidenreich. Identification of High-Risk Prostate Cancer: Role of Prostate-Specific Antigen, PSA Doubling Time and PSA Velocity. Eur Urol 2008;54:976–7. European Urology, 2008, 54, 978-979.	1.9	9
132	Time to replace prostateâ€specific antigen (<scp>PSA</scp>) with the <scp>P</scp> rostate <scp>H</scp> ealth <scp>I</scp> ndex (<scp>PHI</scp>)? Yet more evidence that the <scp>PHI</scp> consistently outperforms <scp>PSA</scp> across diverse populations. BJU International, 2015, 115, 500-500.	2.5	9
133	Using data from an online health community to examine the impact of prostate cancer on sleep. BJU International, 2020, 125, 634-635.	2.5	9
134	Telemedicina y trabajo inteligente: adaptación al español de las recomendaciones de la Asociación Europea de UrologÃa. Actas Urológicas Españolas, 2020, 44, 644-652.	0.7	9
135	Instagram and prostate cancer: using validated instruments to assess the quality of information on social media. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2022, 25, 791-793.	3.9	9
136	Preoperative Prostate Specific Antigen Doubling Time is Not a Useful Predictor of Biochemical Progression After Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology, 2010, 183, 1816-1821.	0.4	8
137	Does benign prostatic hyperplasia treatment with alpha-blockers affect prostate cancer risk?. Current Opinion in Urology, 2013, 23, 2-4.	1.8	8
138	When is a Negative Prostate Biopsy Really Negative? Repeat Biopsies in Detection and Active Surveillance. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, 973-974.	0.4	8
139	Public online reporting from a nationwide populationâ€based clinical prostate cancer register. BJU International, 2018, 122, 8-10.	2.5	8
140	Urologic Services in Public Hospitals Suffered a Greater Detriment Than Private Hospitals During the Battle of COVID-19. Urology, 2020, 144, 269-270.	1.0	8
141	Interaction between race and prostate cancer treatment benefit in the Veterans Health Administration. Cancer, 2021, 127, 3985-3990.	4.1	8
142	Active Surveillance Strategies for Low-Grade Prostate Cancer: Comparative Benefits and Cost-effectiveness. Radiology, 2021, 300, 594-604.	7.3	8
143	Heterogeneity in active surveillance protocols worldwide. Reviews in Urology, 2014, 16, 202-3.	0.9	8
144	Evidence-Based Versus Personalized Prostate Cancer Screening: Using Baseline Prostate-Specific Antigen Measurements to Individualize Screening. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 2684-2686.	1.6	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Biomarkers for Prostate Biopsy and Risk Stratification of Patients with Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer. Urology Practice, 2017, 4, 315-321.	0.5	7
146	#ILookLikeAUrologist: Using Twitter to Discuss Diversity and Inclusion in Urology. European Urology Focus, 2020, 7, 890-893.	3.1	7
147	A Clinical Reminder Order Check Intervention to Improve Guideline-concordant Imaging Practices for Men With Prostate Cancer: A Pilot Study. Urology, 2020, 145, 113-119.	1.0	7
148	Leveraging Social Media as a Thermometer to Gauge Patient and Caregiver Concerns: COVID-19 and Prostate Cancer. European Urology Open Science, 2021, 25, 1-4.	0.4	7
149	Helix: A Digital Tool to Address Provider Needs for Prostate Cancer Genetic Testing in Clinical Practice. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, 20, e104-e113.	1.9	7
150	Social Media and Professional Development for Oncology Professionals. JCO Oncology Practice, 2022, 18, 566-571.	2.9	7
151	Risk Factors, Prevention and Early Detection of Prostate Cancer. Primary Care - Clinics in Office Practice, 2009, 36, 603-621.	1.6	6
152	Significance of preoperative PSA velocity in men with low serum PSA and normal DRE. World Journal of Urology, 2011, 29, 11-14.	2.2	6
153	Use of Baseline Prostate-Specific Antigen Measurements to Personalize Prostate Cancer Screening. European Urology, 2012, 61, 875-876.	1.9	6
154	Curating a Digital Identity: What Urologists Need to Know About Social Media. Urology, 2016, 97, 5-7.	1.0	6
155	Global survey evaluating drawbacks of social media usage for practising urologists. BJU International, 2020, 126, 7-8.	2.5	6
156	More aggressive prostate cancer in elderly men. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 202-4.	0.9	6
157	Does diabetes mellitus modify the association between 17q12 risk variant and prostate cancer aggressiveness?. BJU International, 2009, 104, 1200-1203.	2.5	5
158	Associations Do Not Equal Causation: Clinical Relevance of Statistical Associations of Phosphodiesterase Type 5 Inhibitors with Prostate Cancer Progression and Melanoma. European Urology, 2015, 68, 754-755.	1.9	5
159	Update on the Urology Tag Ontology: Standardized Hashtags for Social Media in Urology. European Urology, 2019, 76, 261-264.	1.9	5
160	An Evaluation of the Readability and Content-Quality of Pelvic Organ Prolapse YouTube Transcripts. Urology, 2021, 154, 120-126.	1.0	5
161	MRI/Ultrasound Fusion Biopsy Versus Standard 12-Core Biopsy. Reviews in Urology, 2015, 17, 113-5.	0.9	5
162	Systematic review of sleep and sleep disorders among prostate cancer patients and caregivers: a call to action for using validated sleep assessments during prostate cancer care. Sleep Medicine, 2022, 94, 38-53.	1.6	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Methodologies in Social Media Research: Where We Are and Where We Still Need to Go?. JCO Oncology Practice, 2022, 18, 533-535.	2.9	5
164	A case of gastrointestinal stromal tumor diagnosed on prostate biopsy. Nature Reviews Urology, 2009, 6, 54-57.	1.4	4
165	Novel Technique for Fragment Removal After Percutaneous Management of Large-volume Neobladder Calculi. Urology, 2012, 80, 474-476.	1.0	4
166	Risk of Small Bowel Obstruction After Robot-Assisted <i>vs</i> Open Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Endourology, 2016, 30, 1291-1295.	2.1	4
167	Quantifying downstream impact of inappropriate staging imaging in a cohort of veterans with lowand intermediate-risk incident prostate cancer. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2019, 37, 145-149.	1.6	4
168	A Call to Arms: Increasing Our Understanding of the Impact of Prostate Cancer on the Sexual Health of Partners. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2020, 17, 361-363.	0.6	4
169	Fake news about benign prostatic hyperplasia on YouTube. BJU International, 2020, 125, 477-478.	2.5	4
170	Reply to Laurence Klotz's Letter to the Editor re: Jeremy Yuen-Chun Teoh, Daniele Castellani, Claudia Mercader, et al. A Quantitative Analysis Investigating the Prevalence of "Manels―in Major Urology Meetings. Eur Urol 2021;80:442–9. European Urology, 2021, 80, e101.	1.9	4
171	PSA Velocity in Risk Stratification of Prostate Cancer. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 204-6.	0.9	4
172	Prostate cancer screening: highlights from the 29th European association of urology congress stockholm, sweden, april 11-15, 2014. Reviews in Urology, 2014, 16, 90-1.	0.9	4
173	Plantâ€based diet index and erectile dysfunction in the Health Professionals <scp>Followâ€Up</scp> Study. BJU International, 2022, 130, 514-521.	2.5	4
174	Investigation of Human Anti-mouse Antibodies as Potential Cause of Postprostatectomy PSA Elevation. Urology, 2009, 73, 947-949.	1.0	3
175	Germline Sequence Variants and Prostate-Specific Antigen Interpretation. Clinical Chemistry, 2011, 57, 662-663.	3.2	3
176	Prostate Health Index (PHI): Golden Bullet or Just Another Prostate Cancer Marker?. European Urology, 2013, 63, 995-996.	1.9	3
177	Point: Impact of Prostate-Specific Antigen Velocity on Management Decisions and Recommendations. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network: JNCCN, 2013, 11, 281-285.	4.9	3
178	Active Surveillance Offers Functional Advantages Without Impacting Survival for Low-risk Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2018, 73, 868-869.	1.9	3
179	Health state utilities among contemporary prostate cancer patients on active surveillance. Translational Andrology and Urology, 2018, 7, 197-202.	1.4	3
180	Exploring Urological Malignancies on Pinterest: Content Analysis. JMIR Cancer, 2022, 8, e36244.	2.4	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
181	Re: Randomised Prostate Cancer Screening Trial: 20 Year Follow-up. European Urology, 2011, 60, 1306-1307.	1.9	2
182	Reply to P.F. Pinksy. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 3337-3337.	1.6	2
183	Modeling the outcomes of prostate cancer screening. Nature Reviews Urology, 2012, 9, 183-185.	3.8	2
184	The Utility of Prostate-Specific Antigen Screening and Prostate Cancer Treatment in Elderly Patients. Current Translational Geriatrics and Experimental Gerontology Reports, 2013, 2, 51-57.	0.7	2
185	The age old question: who benefits from prostate cancer treatment?. BJU International, 2014, 113, 4-4.	2.5	2
186	Further Evidence against a Causal Association between Erectile Dysfunction Drugs and Melanoma. European Urology, 2016, 70, 816-817.	1.9	2
187	The Urology Care Foundation â€" trusted online resources in an era of misinformation. Nature Reviews Urology, 2019, 16, 637-638.	3.8	2
188	Research communication: Poor sleep health and quality of life among caregivers of patients with prostate cancer. BJUI Compass, 0, , .	1.3	2
189	Susceptibility to <scp>SARSâ€Cov</scp> â€2 infection and risk for severe <scp>COVID</scp> â€19 in patients with prostate cancer on androgen deprivation therapy. International Journal of Cancer, 2022, 151, 1925-1934.	5.1	2
190	Use of Empiric Antibiotics in the Setting of an Increased Prostate Specific Antigen. Journal of Urology, 2011, 186, 17-19.	0.4	1
191	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2014, 84, 371-372.	1.0	1
192	Re: Long-term Follow-up of a Large Active Surveillance Cohort of Patients with Prostate Cancer. European Urology, 2015, 68, 907.	1.9	1
193	Controversies in management of high-risk prostate and bladder cancer. BJU International, 2015, 116, 675-675.	2.5	1
194	Will Changes to Prostate Cancer Screening Guidelines Preserve Benefits and Reduce Harm?. European Urology, 2017, 71, 66-67.	1.9	1
195	Re: The Prostate Health Index Adds Predictive Value to Multi-parametric MRI in Detecting Significant Prostate Cancers in a Repeat Biopsy Population. European Urology, 2017, 72, 654-655.	1.9	1
196	Shift from protocolâ€based to personalized medicine in active surveillance: beginning of a new era. BJU International, 2017, 120, 3-4.	2.5	1
197	Educational intervention in prostate cancer. BJU International, 2017, 120, E3.	2.5	1
198	Overactive Surveillance: Is "Conservative―Management for Low-risk Prostate Cancer Too Aggressive?. European Urology, 2019, 76, 467-468.	1.9	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Twitter response to the 2018 US Preventive Services Task Force guidelines on prostate cancer screening. BJU International, 2019, 124, 363-364.	2.5	1
200	Evaluating and Optimizing the Use of Social Media in Urology. European Urology Focus, 2020, 6, 425-426.	3.1	1
201	Standard and Targeted Biopsy During Follow-up for Active Surveillance. Reviews in Urology, 2015, 17, 112-3.	0.9	1
202	Re: Long-Term Prediction of Prostate Cancer: Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Velocity Is Predictive but Does Not Improve the Predictive Accuracy of a Single PSA Measurement 15 Years or More Before Cancer Diagnosis in a Large, Representative, Unscreened Population. European Urology, 2009, 55, 523-524.	1.9	0
203	Editorial Comment. Journal of Urology, 2009, 182, 2701-2701.	0.4	O
204	Diabetes mellitus and prostate cancer risk. Expert Review of Endocrinology and Metabolism, 2010, 5, 787-789.	2.4	0
205	Bone mineral content and prostate cancer risk: data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. BJU International, 2010, 106, 28-31.	2.5	0
206	GENETIC BASIS FOR PROSTATE CANCER. , 2011, , 39-52.		0
207	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2012, 79, 661.	1.0	0
208	Radical prostatectomy outcomes during prostate-specific antigen era in Ireland compared to a matched American population. Journal of Clinical Urology, 2014, 7, 170-175.	0.1	0
209	Early Detection of Prostate Cancer. Urologic Clinics of North America, 2014, 41, xiii.	1.8	0
210	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2014, 84, 1014.	1.0	0
211	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2014, 84, 1006-1007.	1.0	0
212	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2014, 84, 1167.	1.0	0
213	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2015, 86, 504-505.	1.0	0
214	Is Magnetic Resonance Imaging–Transrectal Ultrasound Fusion Biopsy Ready for "Prime Time�. European Urology, 2015, 68, 20-21.	1.9	0
215	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2015, 85, 170-171.	1.0	0
216	Editorial Comment. Urology, 2015, 85, 21-22.	1.0	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
217	Re: Editorial Comment on Use of Phosphodiesterase Type 5 Inhibitors for Erectile Dysfunction and Risk of Malignant Melanoma. Journal of Urology, 2016, 195, 1172-1173.	0.4	O
218	Editorial Comment. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, 626-626.	0.4	0
219	Does Dr Google give good advice about prostate cancer?. BJU International, 2019, 124, 548-549.	2.5	0
220	Climate Change Impact of Virtual Urology Meetings. European Urology, 2021, 80, 121-122.	1.9	0
221	B2B: Prostate Cancer. Société Internationale D'urologie Journal, 2021, 2, S30-S50.	0.4	0
222	Should prostate-specific antigen velocity be abandoned?. Asian Journal of Andrology, 2011, 13, 359-360.	1.6	0
223	Phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE5i) and prostate cancer recurrence Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 55-55.	1.6	0
224	Media and Social Media., 2019, , 115-122.		0
225	Open versus minimally invasive radical prostatectomy. Reviews in Urology, 2010, 12, 64-5.	0.9	0
226	What is the true mortality benefit of prostate-specific antigen screening?. Reviews in Urology, 2010, 12, 66-7.	0.9	0
227	Innovation in Endourology and Minimally Invasive Surgery: Highlights From the 29th World Congress of Endourology and SWL 2011, November 30-December 3, 2011, Kyoto, Japan. Reviews in Urology, 2012, 14, 28-30.	0.9	0
228	Best of the 2013 AUA Annual Meeting: Highlights From the 2013 American Urological Association Meeting, May 4-8, 2013, San Diego, CA. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 72-81.	0.9	0
229	Advances in localized prostate cancer: highlights from the 2012 friends of Israel urological symposium, july 3-5, 2012, tel aviv, Israel. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 82-3.	0.9	0
230	Updates in the care and management of prostate cancer: highlights from the 2013 prostate cancer world congress, august 6-10, 2013, melbourne, australia. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 185-7.	0.9	0
231	Patient Perceptions and Shared Decisions About PSA Screening. Reviews in Urology, 2013, 15, 206-7.	0.9	0
232	The Comparison of Magnetic Resonance Image-Guided Targeted Biopsy Versus Standard Template Saturation Biopsy in the Detection of Prostate Cancer. Reviews in Urology, 2015, 17, 110-1.	0.9	0
233	Factors that influence clinicians' decisions to decrease active surveillance monitoring frequency or transition to watchful waiting for localised prostate cancer: a qualitative study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e048347.	1.9	0
234	EDITORIAL COMMENT. Urology, 2022, 159, 27.	1.0	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
235	Twitter Footprint and the Match in the COVID-19 Era: Understanding the Relationship Between Applicant Online Activity and Residency Match Success. Urology Practice, 0, , .	0.5	0
236	0225 An assessment of the information quality, understandability, and actionability of popular YouTube videos on sleep and sleep disorders. Sleep, 2022, 45, A102-A102.	1,1	0