Hamed Momeni-Moghaddam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9064336/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Agreement of wavefront-based refraction, dry and cycloplegic autorefraction with subjective refraction. Journal of Optometry, 2022, 15, 100-106.	1.3	13
2	Randomized contralateral comparison of visual outcomes following implantation of two monofocal aspherical intraocular lenses after cataract surgery. International Ophthalmology, 2022, , 1.	1.4	0
3	Comparison of the Amplitude of Accommodation Measured Using a New-Generation Closed-Field Autorefractor with Conventional Subjective Methods. Diagnostics, 2022, 12, 568.	2.6	3
4	Visual outcomes of photorefractive keratectomy in non-children with anisometropic amblyopia: One-year Follow-up Outcomes. European Journal of Ophthalmology, 2022, , 112067212110730.	1.3	0
5	Comparative analysis of two different types of intracorneal implants in keratoconus: A corneal tomographic study. European Journal of Ophthalmology, 2021, 31, 1517-1524.	1.3	3
6	Refractive characteristics of keratoconus eyes with corneal Vogt's striae: A contralateral eye study. Journal of Optometry, 2021, 14, 183-188.	1.3	5
7	Corneal biomechanical parameters in keratoconus eyes with abnormal elevation on the back cornealÂsurface only versus both back and front surfaces. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 11971.	3.3	6
8	Keratoconus detection of changes using deep learning of colour-coded maps. BMJ Open Ophthalmology, 2021, 6, e000824.	1.6	26
9	Comparison of Keratoconus Cone Location of Different Topo/tomographical Parameters. Current Eye Research, 2021, 46, 1666-1672.	1.5	9
10	Corneal Stability and Visual Acuity 1 Year After Corneal Cross-linking Assessed Using the ABCD Keratoconus Staging System. Journal of Refractive Surgery, 2021, 37, 700-706.	2.3	4
11	Anatomical and Visual Effects of the MyoRing Implantation Measured by the ABCD Keratoconus Grading System. Eye and Contact Lens, 2020, 46, 52-56.	1.6	7
12	Scheimpflug Corneal Densitometry Changes After the Intrastromal Corneal Ring Segment Implantation. Cornea, 2020, 39, 761-768.	1.7	6
13	Masked comparison of two silicone hydrogel bandage contact lenses after photorefractive keratectomy. Contact Lens and Anterior Eye, 2020, 43, 244-249.	1.7	6
14	Corneal Biomechanical Properties in Varying Severities of Myopia. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2020, 8, 595330.	4.1	23
15	>Biomechanically-Corrected Intraocular Pressure Compared To Pressure Measured With Commonly Used Tonometers In Normal Subjects. Clinical Optometry, 2019, Volume 11, 127-133.	1.2	13
16	The Effect of Cycloplegia on the Ocular Biometric and Anterior Segment Parameters: A Cross-Sectional Study. Ophthalmology and Therapy, 2019, 8, 387-395.	2.3	15
17	Fourâ€year changes in corneal biomechanical properties in children. Australasian journal of optometry, The, 2019, 102, 489-495	1.3	9
18	Predictors of Successful Outcome following Intrastromal Corneal Ring Segments Implantation. Current Eye Research, 2019, 44, 707-715.	1.5	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Phacoemulsification in bilateral anterior lenticonus in Alport syndrome. Medicine (United States), 2019, 98, e17054.	1.0	3
20	Four-year change in ocular biometric components and refraction in schoolchildren: A cohort study. Journal of Current Ophthalmology, 2019, 31, 206-213.	0.8	9
21	Corneal Epithelial Thickness Mapping After Photorefractive Keratectomy for Myopia. Journal of Refractive Surgery, 2019, 35, 632-641.	2.3	25
22	Induced Myopia Secondary to Blunt Trauma. Case Reports in Ophthalmological Medicine, 2019, 2019, 1-5.	0.5	3
23	Galilei Corneal Tomography for Screening of Refractive Surgery Candidates: A Review of the Literature, Part II. Medical Hypothesis, Discovery, and Innovation in Ophthalmology, 2019, 8, 204-218.	0.2	8
24	Pentacam® Corneal Tomography for Screening of Refractive Surgery Candidates: A Review of the Literature, Part I. Medical Hypothesis, Discovery, and Innovation in Ophthalmology, 2019, 8, 177-203.	0.2	20
25	Advances in Biomechanical Parameters for Screening of Refractive Surgery Candidates: A Review of the Literature, Part III. Medical Hypothesis, Discovery, and Innovation in Ophthalmology, 2019, 8, 219-240.	0.2	8
26	Dysfunctional lens syndrome. International Ophthalmology, 2018, 38, 1759-1763.	1.4	7
27	Corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor in pellucid marginal degeneration. Journal of Current Ophthalmology, 2018, 30, 42-47.	0.8	18
28	Comparison of cyclopentolate versus tropicamide cycloplegia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Optometry, 2018, 11, 135-143.	1.3	64
29	Diagnostic Ability of Corneal Shape and Biomechanical Parameters for Detecting Frank Keratoconus. Cornea, 2018, 37, 1025-1034.	1.7	90
30	Changes in the ABCD Keratoconus Grade After Intracorneal Ring Segment Implantation. Cornea, 2018, 37, 1431-1437.	1.7	17
31	Long-term Evaluation of Corneal Biomechanical Properties After Corneal Cross-linking for Keratoconus: A 4-Year Longitudinal Study. Journal of Refractive Surgery, 2018, 34, 849-856.	2.3	39
32	Part-time versus full-time occlusion therapy for treatment of amblyopia: AÂmeta-analysis. Journal of Current Ophthalmology, 2017, 29, 76-84.	0.8	15
33	Effect of Induced Vertical Disparity on Horizontal Fusional Reserves. Strabismus, 2017, 25, 195-199.	0.7	2
34	Accommodative amplitude using the minus lens at different near distances. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, 2017, 65, 223.	1.1	4
35	The effectiveness of home-based pencil push-up therapy versus office-based therapy for the treatment of symptomatic convergence insufficiency in young adults. Middle East African Journal of Ophthalmology, 2015, 22, 97.	0.3	19
36	Comparing measurement techniques of accommodative amplitudes. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, 2014, 62, 683.	1.1	32

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Stereoacuity as an Indicator of Prism Adaptation. Current Eye Research, 2014, 39, 775-779.	1.5	2
38	Authors' Response. Optometry and Vision Science, 2014, 91, e245.	1.2	0
39	Comparison of four different binocular balancing techniques. Australasian journal of optometry, The, 2014, 97, 422-425.	1.3	9
40	Effect of target distance on accommodative amplitude measured using the minus lens technique. Australasian journal of optometry, The, 2014, 97, 62-65.	1.3	10
41	Color Vision Deficiency in Zahedan, Iran. Optometry and Vision Science, 2014, 91, 1372-1376.	1.2	5
42	Vergence Facility with Stereoscopic and Nonstereoscopic Targets. Optometry and Vision Science, 2014, 91, 522-527.	1.2	9
43	Induced Vertical Disparity Effects on Local and Global Stereopsis. Current Eye Research, 2014, 39, 411-415.	1.5	7
44	Comparing accommodative function between the dominant and non-dominant eye. Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 2014, 252, 509-514.	1.9	35
45	Accommodative response under monocular and binocular conditions as a function of phoria in symptomatic and asymptomatic subjects. Australasian journal of optometry, The, 2014, 97, 36-42.	1.3	24
46	Comparison of fitting stability of the different soft toric contact lenses. Contact Lens and Anterior Eye, 2014, 37, 346-350.	1.7	15
47	The relationship between binocular vision symptoms and near point of convergence. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, 2013, 61, 325.	1.1	23
48	Evaluation of Fixation Disparity Curve Parameters With the Modified Near Mallett Unit in Symptomatic and Asymptomatic University Students. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal, 2013, 12, e8572.	0.5	0
49	Body mass index and binocular vision skills. Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology, 2012, 26, 331-334.	0.3	6
50	Evaluation of Fixation Disparity Curve with the Modified Near Mallett Unit and the Wesson Fixation Disparity Card in Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Subjects. Strabismus, 2012, 20, 166-174.	0.7	0