

# Fredrik Strand

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9053334/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

28  
papers

1,307  
citations

687220

13  
h-index

477173

29  
g-index

31  
all docs

31  
docs citations

31  
times ranked

1491  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evaluation of Combined Artificial Intelligence and Radiologist Assessment to Interpret Screening Mammograms. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e200265.	2.8	236
2	Common and unique components of inhibition and working memory: An fMRI, within-subjects investigation. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 2008, 46, 2668-2682.	0.7	178
3	External Evaluation of 3 Commercial Artificial Intelligence Algorithms for Independent Assessment of Screening Mammograms. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2020, 6, 1581.	3.4	148
4	Effect of artificial intelligence-based triaging of breast cancer screening mammograms on cancer detection and radiologist workload: a retrospective simulation study. <i>The Lancet Digital Health</i> , 2020, 2, e468-e474.	5.9	122
5	Toward robust mammography-based models for breast cancer risk. <i>Science Translational Medicine</i> , 2021, 13, .	5.8	100
6	Comparison of a Deep Learning Risk Score and Standard Mammographic Density Score for Breast Cancer Risk Prediction. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 294, 265-272.	3.6	98
7	Multi-Institutional Validation of a Mammography-Based Breast Cancer Risk Model. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2022, 40, 1732-1740.	0.8	71
8	Phonological working memory with auditory presentation of pseudo-words – An event related fMRI Study. <i>Brain Research</i> , 2008, 1212, 48-54.	1.1	65
9	A Multi-million Mammography Image Dataset and Population-Based Screening Cohort for the Training and Evaluation of Deep Neural Networks – the Cohort of Screen-Aged Women (CSAW). <i>Journal of Digital Imaging</i> , 2020, 33, 408-413.	1.6	46
10	Identification of Women at High Risk of Breast Cancer Who Need Supplemental Screening. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 297, 327-333.	3.6	40
11	Optimizing risk-based breast cancer screening policies with reinforcement learning. <i>Nature Medicine</i> , 2022, 28, 136-143.	15.2	34
12	Range of Radiologist Performance in a Population-based Screening Cohort of 1 Million Digital Mammography Examinations. <i>Radiology</i> , 2020, 297, 33-39.	3.6	21
13	Novel mammographic image features differentiate between interval and screen-detected breast cancer: a case-case study. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2016, 18, 100.	2.2	17
14	Breast MRI during Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Lack of Background Parenchymal Enhancement Suppression and Inferior Treatment Response. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 301, 295-308.	3.6	17
15	The future of breast cancer screening: what do participants in a breast cancer screening program think about automation using artificial intelligence?. <i>Acta Radiologica Open</i> , 2019, 8, 205846011988031.	0.3	16
16	Localized mammographic density is associated with interval cancer and large breast cancer: a nested case-control study. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2019, 21, 8.	2.2	13
17	Widespread Parenchymal Abnormalities and Pulmonary Embolism on Contrast-Enhanced CT Predict Disease Severity and Mortality in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients. <i>Frontiers in Medicine</i> , 2021, 8, 666723.	1.2	11
18	Long-term prognostic implications of risk factors associated with tumor size: a case study of women regularly attending screening. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2018, 20, 31.	2.2	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Predictive Value of Breast MRI Background Parenchymal Enhancement for Neoadjuvant Treatment Response among HER2 <sup>+</sup> Patients. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 2020, 2, 352-360.	0.5	10
20	The association between breast cancer risk factors and background parenchymal enhancement at dynamic contrast-enhanced breast MRI. <i>Acta Radiologica</i> , 2020, 61, 1600-1607.	0.5	8
21	Comparison of Segmentation Methods in Assessing Background Parenchymal Enhancement as a Biomarker for Response to Neoadjuvant Therapy. <i>Tomography</i> , 2020, 6, 101-110.	0.8	8
22	Discontinuation of adjuvant hormone therapy among breast cancer patients not previously attending mammography screening. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2019, 17, 24.	2.3	7
23	Decoupling Inherent Risk and Early Cancer Signs in Image-Based Breast Cancer Risk Models. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2020, , 230-240.	1.0	7
24	Longitudinal fluctuation in mammographic percent density differentiates between interval and screen-detected breast cancer. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 140, 34-40.	2.3	6
25	Breast cancer imaging - A rapidly evolving discipline. <i>Breast</i> , 2019, 46, 58-63.	0.9	6
26	Negative effects of iodine-based contrast agent on renal function in patients with moderate reduced renal function hospitalized for COVID-19. <i>BMC Nephrology</i> , 2021, 22, 297.	0.8	5
27	Derived mammographic masking measures based on simulated lesions predict the risk of interval cancer after controlling for known risk factors: a case-case analysis. <i>Medical Physics</i> , 2019, 46, 1309-1316.	1.6	2
28	A Call for Controlled Validation Data Sets: Promoting the Safe Introduction of Artificial Intelligence in Breast Imaging. <i>Journal of the American College of Radiology</i> , 2021, 18, 1564-1565.	0.9	1