Fulgencio MarÃ-n-MartÃ-nez

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9021708/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28 papers 5,845 citations

331670 21 h-index 477307 29 g-index

29 all docs

29 docs citations

times ranked

29

8156 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or l \hat{A}^2 index?. Psychological Methods, 2006, 11, 193-206.	3.5	2,898
2	Three-level meta-analysis of dependent effect sizes. Behavior Research Methods, 2013, 45, 576-594.	4.0	517
3	Effect-Size Indices for Dichotomized Outcomes in Meta-Analysis Psychological Methods, 2003, 8, 448-467.	3.5	463
4	Psychological treatment of obsessive–compulsive disorder: A meta-analysisâ~†. Clinical Psychology Review, 2008, 28, 1310-1325.	11.4	341
5	Meta-analysis of multiple outcomes: a multilevel approach. Behavior Research Methods, 2015, 47, 1274-1294.	4.0	253
6	Psychological treatment of panic disorder with or without agoraphobia: A meta-analysisâ [*] †. Clinical Psychology Review, 2010, 30, 37-50.	11.4	227
7	Confidence intervals for the overall effect size in random-effects meta-analysis Psychological Methods, 2008, 13, 31-48.	3. 5	168
8	A comparison of procedures to test for moderators in mixed-effects meta-regression models Psychological Methods, 2015, 20, 360-374.	3. 5	153
9	Weighting by Inverse Variance or by Sample Size in Random-Effects Meta-Analysis. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2010, 70, 56-73.	2.4	148
10	Estimation of the predictive power of the model in mixedâ€effects metaâ€egression: A simulation study. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2014, 67, 30-48.	1.4	129
11	Averaging Dependent Effect Sizes in Meta-Analysis: a Cautionary Note about Procedures. Spanish Journal of Psychology, 1999, 2, 32-38.	2.1	58
12	Weighting by Inverse Variance or by Sample Size in Meta-Analysis: A Simulation Study. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1998, 58, 211-220.	2.4	53
13	Homogeneity tests in meta-analysis: a Monte Carlo comparison of statistical power and Type I error. Quality and Quantity, 1997, 31, 385-399.	3.7	52
14	The Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. Assessment, 2015, 22, 619-628.	3.1	42
15	A methodological review of meta-analyses of the effectiveness of clinical psychology treatments. Behavior Research Methods, 2018, 50, 2057-2073.	4.0	42
16	Testing continuous moderators in metaâ€analysis: A comparison of procedures. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 1998, 51, 311-326.	1.4	40
17	Guidelines for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. Anales De Psicologia, 2018, 34, 412.	0.7	37
18	Testing Categorical Moderators in Mixed-Effects Meta-analysis in the Presence of Heteroscedasticity. Journal of Experimental Education, 2020, 88, 288-310.	2.6	37

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Analysis of categorical moderators in mixedâ€effects metaâ€analysis: Consequences of using pooled versus separate estimates of the residual betweenâ€studies variances. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, 2017, 70, 439-456.	1.4	34
20	Improving the reporting quality of reliability generalization metaâ€analyses: The REGEMA checklist. Research Synthesis Methods, 2021, 12, 516-536.	8.7	34
21	Alternatives for Mixed-Effects Meta-Regression Models in the Reliability Generalization Approach. Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, 2013, 38, 443-469.	1.7	23
22	Estimation of an overall standardized mean difference in randomâ€effects metaâ€analysis if the distribution of random effects departs from normal. Research Synthesis Methods, 2018, 9, 489-503.	8.7	19
23	Testing for Dichotomous Moderators in Meta-Analysis. Journal of Experimental Education, 1998, 67, 69-81.	2.6	16
24	The Padua Inventory–Washington State University Revision of Obsessions and Compulsions: A Reliability Generalization Meta-Analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2020, 102, 113-123.	2.1	15
25	Reliability Generalization Study of the Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale for Children and Adolescents. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2015, 97, 42-54.	2.1	8
26	A Reliability Generalization Meta-Analysis of the Padua Inventory of Obsessions and Compulsions. Spanish Journal of Psychology, 2017, 20, E70.	2.1	7
27	Meta-Analysis of 2 × 2 Tables: Estimating a Common Risk Difference. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2001, 61, 249-276.	2.4	6
28	A Reliability Generalization Meta-analysis of the Padua Inventory-Revised (PI-R). International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology, 2022, 22, 100277.	5.1	4