
## Joachim H Spangenberg

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/9008069/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Enabling transformative economic change in the postâ€2020 biodiversity agenda. Conservation Letters, 2021, 14, e12805.	2.8	26
2	Ensuring a Post-COVID Economic Agenda Tackles Global Biodiversity Loss. One Earth, 2020, 3, 448-461.	3.6	67
3	The Objectives of Stakeholder Involvement in Transdisciplinary Research. A Conceptual Framework for a Reflective and Reflexive Practise. Ecological Economics, 2020, 176, 106751.	2.9	50
4	Rethinking sustainability: Questioning old perspectives and developing new ones. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020, 258, 120769.	4.6	21
5	Sufficiency and consumer behaviour: From theory to policy. Energy Policy, 2019, 129, 1070-1079.	4.2	89
6	Scenarios and Indicators for Sustainable Development: Towards a Critical Assessment of Achievements and Challenges. Sustainability, 2019, 11, 942.	1.6	10
7	Energy sufficiency through social innovation in housing. Energy Policy, 2019, 126, 287-294.	4.2	72
8	Doing what with whom? Stakeholder analysis in a large transdisciplinary research project in South-East Asia. Paddy and Water Environment, 2018, 16, 321-337.	1.0	11
9	The LEGATO cross-disciplinary integrated ecosystem service research framework: an example of integrating research results from the analysis of global change impacts and the social, cultural and economic system dynamics of irrigated rice production. Paddy and Water Environment, 2018, 16, 287-319.	1.0	11
10	Behind the Scenarios: World View, Ideologies, Philosophies. An Analysis of Hidden Determinants and Acceptance Obstacles Illustrated by the ALARM Scenarios. Sustainability, 2018, 10, 2556.	1.6	4
11	Rice ecosystem services in South-east Asia. Paddy and Water Environment, 2018, 16, 211-224.	1.0	20
12	Needs, wants and values in China: reducing physical wants for sustainable consumption. Sustainable Development, 2018, 26, 772-780.	6.9	11
13	Identifying governance challenges in ecosystem services management – Conceptual considerations and comparison of global forest cases. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 32, 193-203.	2.3	26
14	"Things are different now†Farmer perceptions of cultural ecosystem services of traditional rice landscapes in Vietnam and the Philippines. Ecosystem Services, 2017, 25, 153-166.	2.3	50
15	Hot Air or Comprehensive Progress? A Critical Assessment of the SDGs. Sustainable Development, 2017, 25, 311-321.	6.9	148
16	Towards a <i>National Ecosystem Assessment</i> in Germany: A Plea for a Comprehensive Approach. Gaia, 2017, 26, 27-33.	0.3	8
17	The world we see shapes the world we create: how the underlying worldviews lead to different recommendations from environmental and ecological economics - the green economy example. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2016, 19, 127.	0.1	9
18	Investigating potential transferability of place-based research in land system science. Environmental Research Letters, 2016, 11, 095002.	2.2	33

JOACHIM H SPANGENBERG

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Transdisciplinary research in support of land and water management in China and Southeast Asia: evaluation of four research projects. Sustainability Science, 2016, 11, 813-829.	2.5	35
20	Value pluralism and economic valuation – defendable if well done. Ecosystem Services, 2016, 18, 100-109.	2.3	48
21	The Corporate Human Development Index CHDI: a tool for corporate social sustainability management and reporting. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016, 134, 414-424.	4.6	35
22	Assessing ecosystem services for informing land-use decisions: a problem-oriented approach. Ecology and Society, 2015, 20, .	1.0	70
23	Agricultural landscapes and ecosystem services in South-East Asia—the LEGATO-Project. Basic and Applied Ecology, 2015, 16, 661-664.	1.2	46
24	Stakeholder involvement in ESS research and governance: Between conceptual ambition and practical experiences – risks, challenges and tested tools. Ecosystem Services, 2015, 16, 201-211.	2.3	54
25	Escaping the lock-in of continuous insecticide spraying in rice: Developing an integrated ecological and socio-political DPSIR analysis. Ecological Modelling, 2015, 295, 188-195.	1.2	51
26	Institutional change for strong sustainable consumption: sustainable consumption and the degrowth economy. Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy, 2014, 10, 62-77.	1.1	23
27	Engaging Local Knowledge in Biodiversity Research: Experiences from Large Inter- and Transdisciplinary Projects. Interdisciplinary Science Reviews, 2014, 39, 323-341.	1.0	29
28	Sustainable consumption within a sustainable economy – beyond green growth and green economies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2014, 63, 33-44.	4.6	445
29	From explanation to application: introducing a practice-oriented ecosystem services evaluation (PRESET) model adapted to the context of landscape planning and management. Landscape Ecology, 2014, 29, 1335-1346.	1.9	47
30	Provision of ecosystem services is determined by human agency, not ecosystem functions. Four case studies. International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management, 2014, 10, 40-53.	2.9	141
31	Selection of social demand-based indicators: EO-based indicators for mining. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2014, 84, 193-203.	4.6	32
32	The ecosystem service cascade: Further developing the metaphor. Integrating societal processes to accommodate social processes and planning, and the case of bioenergy. Ecological Economics, 2014, 104, 22-32.	2.9	175
33	The Age of Man: Outpacing Evolution. Science, 2013, 340, 1287-1287.	6.0	2
34	Pick Simply the Best: Sustainable Development is About Radical Analysis and Selective Synthesis, not About Old Wine in New Bottles. Sustainable Development, 2013, 21, 101-111.	6.9	14
35	Design for Sustainability (DfS): Interface of Sustainable Production and Consumption. , 2013, , 575-595.		12
36	Scenarios for investigating risks to biodiversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 2012, 21, 5-18.	2.7	57

JOACHIM H SPANGENBERG

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Too simple to be true. A response to B. Alcott. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2012, 21, 93-95.	4.6	1
38	Sustainability science: a review, an analysis and some empirical lessons. Environmental Conservation, 2011, 38, 275-287.	0.7	252
39	Design for Sustainability (DfS): the interface of sustainable production and consumption. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2010, 18, 1485-1493.	4.6	157
40	Ecosystem services and biodiversity conservation: concepts and a glossary. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2010, 19, 2773-2790.	1.2	137
41	The growth discourse, growth policy and sustainable development: two thought experiments. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2010, 18, 561-566.	4.6	65
42	World civilisations at crossroads: Towards an expansionist or a sustainable future—Lessons from history. Futures, 2010, 42, 565-573.	1.4	14
43	Precisely incorrect? Monetising the value of ecosystem services. Ecological Complexity, 2010, 7, 327-337.	1.4	293
44	Driving forces of chemical risks for the European biodiversity. Ecological Economics, 2009, 69, 43-54.	2.9	16
45	An analysis of risks for biodiversity under the DPSIR framework. Ecological Economics, 2009, 69, 12-23.	2.9	222
46	Sustainable development indicators: towards integrated systems as a tool for managing and monitoring a complex transition. International Journal of Global Environmental Issues, 2009, 9, 318.	0.1	8
47	DEEDS: a teaching and learning resource to help mainstream sustainability into everyday design teaching and professional practice. International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development, 2009, 4, 1.	0.3	12
48	Second order governance: learning processes to identify indicators. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 2008, 15, 125-139.	5.0	8
49	A methodology for CSR reporting: assuring a representative diversity of indicators across stakeholders, scales, sites and performance issues. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2008, 16, 1399-1415.	4.6	101
50	Integrated scenarios for assessing biodiversity risks. Sustainable Development, 2007, 15, 343-356.	6.9	59
51	Biodiversity pressure and the driving forces behind. Ecological Economics, 2007, 61, 146-158.	2.9	72
52	Will the information society be sustainable? Towards criteria and indicators for a sustainable knowledge society. International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development, 2005, 1, 85.	0.3	30
53	Modelling sustainability. Journal of Policy Modeling, 2005, 27, 189-210.	1.7	43
54	Economic sustainability of the economy: concepts and indicators. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2005, 8, 47.	0.1	130

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	The society, its products and the environmental role of consumption. , 2004, , .		4
56	Reconciling sustainability and growth: criteria, indicators, policies. Sustainable Development, 2004, 12, 74-86.	6.9	125
57	The changing contribution of unpaid work to the total standard of living in sustainable development scenarios. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2002, 5, 461.	0.1	14
58	Environmental space and the prism of sustainability: frameworks for indicators measuring sustainable development. Ecological Indicators, 2002, 2, 295-309.	2.6	195
59	Sustainable growth criteria. Ecological Economics, 2002, 42, 429-443.	2.9	66
60	Environmentally sustainable household consumption: from aggregate environmental pressures to priority fields of action. Ecological Economics, 2002, 43, 127-140.	2.9	147
61	Institutional sustainability indicators: an analysis of the institutions in Agenda 21 and a draft set of indicators for monitoring their effectivity. Sustainable Development, 2002, 10, 103-115.	6.9	140
62	Investing in sustainable development: the reproduction of manmade, human, natural and social capital. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2001, 4, 184.	0.1	26
63	The Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Methodological Artefact?. Population and Environment, 2001, 23, 175-191.	1.3	48
64	Indicators for environmentally sustainable household consumption. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2001, 4, 101.	0.1	66
65	Modeling Sustainability — European and German Approaches. , 2001, , 481-503.		7
66	A guide to community sustainability indicators. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2000, 20, 381-392.	4.4	343