Linda Schenk

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8999996/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

43 papers	368 citations	840776 11 h-index	940533 16 g-index
46 all docs	46 docs citations	46 times ranked	278 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Occupational exposure limits: A comparative study. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2008, 50, 261-270.	2.7	47
2	A Quantitative Comparison of the Safety Margins in the European Indicative Occupational Exposure Limits and the Derived No-Effect Levels for Workers under REACH. Toxicological Sciences, 2011, 121, 408-416.	3.1	22
3	Teaching and discussing about risk: seven elements of potential significance for science education. International Journal of Science Education, 2019, 41, 1271-1286.	1.9	22
4	Use of Uncertainty Factors by the SCOEL in their derivation of health-based Occupational Exposure Limits. Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 2010, 40, 791-798.	3.9	20
5	Comparison of Data Used for Setting Occupational Exposure Limits. International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2010, 16, 249-9A.	1.2	18
6	Occupational diseases in the people's Republic of China between 2000 and 2010. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 2013, 56, 1423-1432.	2.1	16
7	Are occupational exposure limits becoming more alike within the European Union?. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2008, 28, 858-866.	2.8	15
8	Percutaneous absorption of thirty-eight organic solvents in vitro using pig skin. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0205458.	2.5	15
9	Variation in ozone concentration in relation to local climate in south-west Sweden. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 2006, 173, 339-354.	2.4	14
10	Occupational exposure limits in Europe and Asia â€“ Continued divergence or global harmonization?. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2011, 61, 296-309.	2.7	13
11	Educating about radiation risks in high schools: towards improved public understanding of the complexity of low-dose radiation health effects. Radiation and Environmental Biophysics, 2019, 58, 13-20.	1.4	13
12	Identifying the Scope of Safety Issues and Challenges to Safety Management in Swedish Middle School and High School Chemistry Education. Journal of Chemical Education, 2018, 95, 1132-1139.	2.3	12
13	Implementation of the chemicals regulation REACH â€“ Exploring the impact on occupational health and safety management among Swedish downstream users. Safety Science, 2015, 80, 233-242.	4.9	11
14	Records from the Swedish poisons information centre as a means for surveillance of occupational accidents and incidents with chemicals. Safety Science, 2018, 104, 269-275.	4.9	11
15	Derived No-effect Levels (DNELs) under the European Chemicals Regulation REACHâ€”An Analysis of Long-term Inhalation Worker-DNELs Presented by Industry. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2015, 59, 416-38.	1.9	10
16	Protection without Discrimination: Pregnancy and Occupational Health Regulations. European Journal of Risk Regulation, 2016, 7, 404-412.	1.2	10
17	Use of uncertainty factors by the European Commission Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits: a follow-up. Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 2018, 48, 513-521.	3.9	10
18	Does industry take the susceptible subpopulation of asthmatic individuals into consideration when setting derived noâ€effect levels?. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 2016, 36, 1379-1391.	2.8	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	A novel approach to monitor skin permeation of metals in vitro. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2020, 115, 104693.	2.7	8
20	Socioscientific Issues in Science Education: An opportunity to Incorporate Education about Risk and Risk Analysis?. Risk Analysis, 2021, 41, 2209-2219.	2.7	7
21	Comparison of Data Used for Setting Occupational Exposure Limits. International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2010, 16, 249-9A.	1.2	7
22	Awareness and understanding of occupational exposure limits in Sweden. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2013, 65, 304-310.	2.7	6
23	Comparative analysis of toxicological evaluations for dermal exposure performed under two different EU regulatory frameworks. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2014, 68, 51-58.	2.7	6
24	Comparing Data from the Poisons Information Centre with Employersâ€™ Accident Reports Reveal Under-Recognized Hazards at the Workplace. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 2018, 62, 517-529.	1.4	6
25	Will worker DNELs derived under the European REACH regulation extend the landscape of occupational exposure guidance values?. Archives of Toxicology, 2019, 93, 1187-1200.	4.2	6
26	Calls made to the Poisons Information Centre reveal need for improved risk management of cleaning agents in the workplace. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 2020, 26, 140-148.	1.9	6
27	Occupational exposure limits: A comparative study of the levels today and development during the past 10 years. Toxicology Letters, 2007, 172, S123-S124.	0.8	4
28	Risk assessment and occupational exposure limits. Toxicology Letters, 2008, 180, S74.	0.8	4
29	Setting Risk-Based Occupational Exposure Limits for No-Threshold Carcinogens. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA), 2014, 20, 1329-1344.	3.4	4
30	An Overview of Cleaning Agentsâ€™ Health Hazards and Occupational Injuries and Diseases Attributed to Them in Sweden. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 2022, 66, 741-753.	1.4	4
31	Throwing the Baby Out with the Bath Water? Occupational Hygienistsâ€™ Views on the Revised Dutch System for Occupational Exposure Limits. Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 2013, 57, 581-92.	1.9	3
32	Exposures to lead during urban combat training. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 2021, 235, 113773.	4.3	3
33	Management of bias and conflict of interest among occupational exposure limit expert groups. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2021, 123, 104929.	2.7	2
34	Covert Chemicals, Tangible Trust: Risk Management of Chemicals in the Workplace. Policy and Practice in Health and Safety, 2014, 12, 91-106.	0.5	1
35	Industry Derived Occupational Exposure Limits: A Survey of Professionals on the Dutch System of Exposure Guidelines. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 2019, 63, 1004-1012.	1.4	1
36	Occupational Exposure Limits in Comparative Perspective: Unity and Diversity Within the European Union. , 2010, , 133-150.		1

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Nanoteknik och riskbedÃ¶mning som nytt kunskapsinnehÃ¥ll i gymnasiets naturvetenskapliga kurser â€“ en designstudie. Nordic Studies in Science Education, 2016, 12, 218-234.	0.2	1
38	A comparison of occupational exposure limits in Asia and Europe. Toxicology Letters, 2011, 205, S241.	0.8	0
39	Comparing the safety margins in the European indicative occupational exposure limits and the derived no-effect levels under reach. Toxicology Letters, 2011, 205, S268.	0.8	0
40	Risk Perception and Its Foundation among Swedish Individuals Occupationally Exposed to Air Pollutants and Chemicals: A Comparison of 1975 and 2011. , 2013, 2013, 1-8.		0
41	How do expert groups judge data sufficiency to set Occupational Exposure Limits?. Toxicology Letters, 2017, 280, S94-S95.	0.8	0
42	A scoping survey of attitudes towards occupational exposure limits and REACH derived no effect levels for workers among chemical risk managers at Swedish workplaces. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, 2020, 33, 611-620.	1.3	0
43	Facts and values in studentsâ€™ reasoning about gene technology in the frame of risk â€“ a thick comprehension. Environmental Education Research, 0, , 1-14.	2.9	0