Michael Morrison

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/895185/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Dynamic consent: a patient interface for twenty-first century research networks. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2015, 23, 141-146.	2.8	476
2	Including all voices in international data-sharing governance. Human Genomics, 2018, 12, 13.	2.9	50
3	StemBANCC: Governing Access to Material and Data in a Large Stem Cell Research Consortium. Stem Cell Reviews and Reports, 2015, 11, 681-687.	5.6	49
4	Promissory futures and possible pasts: The dynamics of contemporary expectations in regenerative medicine. BioSocieties, 2012, 7, 3-22.	1.3	43
5	Towards â€~Engagement 2.0': Insights from a study of dynamic consent with biobank participants. Digital Health, 2015, 1, 205520761560564.	1.8	37
6	Patenting human pluripotent cells: balancing commercial, academic and ethical interests. Human Reproduction, 2010, 25, 14-21.	0.9	35
7	The European General Data Protection Regulation: challenges and considerations for iPSC researchers and biobanks. Regenerative Medicine, 2017, 12, 693-703.	1.7	33
8	Tensions in ethics and policy created by National Precision Medicine Programs. Human Genomics, 2018, 12, 22.	2.9	32
9	Patenting Foundational Technologies: Lessons From CRISPR and Other Core Biotechnologies. American Journal of Bioethics, 2018, 18, 36-48.	0.9	31
10	The evolution of withdrawal: negotiating research relationships in biobanking. Life Sciences, Society and Policy, 2014, 10, 16.	3.2	28
11	CRISPR in context: towards a socially responsible debate on embryo editing. Palgrave Communications, 2019, 5, .	4.7	24
12	Growth hormone, enhancement and the pharmaceuticalisation of short stature. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 131, 305-312.	3.8	20
13	Genome editing: the dynamics of continuity, convergence, and change in the engineering of life. New Genetics and Society, 2020, 39, 219-242.	1.2	19
14	Beyond the â€~embryo question': human embryonic stem cell ethics in the context of biomaterial donation in the UK. Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 2010, 21, 868-874.	2.4	18
15	Exploring the Role of Dedicated Online Biotechnology News Providers in the Innovation Economy. Science Technology and Human Values, 2012, 37, 262-285.	3.1	18
16	Key challenges in bringing CRISPR-mediated somatic cell therapy into the clinic. Genome Medicine, 2017, 9, 85.	8.2	17
17	Infrastructural expectations: exploring the promise of international large-scale induced pluripotent stem cell banks. New Genetics and Society, 2017, 36, 66-83.	1.2	15
18	Introduction to the article collection †Translation in healthcare: ethical, legal, and social implications'. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 74.	2.4	14

MICHAEL MORRISON

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	"A good collaboration is based on unique contributions from each side†assessing the dynamics of collaboration in stem cell science. Life Sciences, Society and Policy, 2017, 13, 7.	3.2	14
20	Governance of research consortia: challenges of implementing Responsible Research and Innovation within Europe. Life Sciences, Society and Policy, 2020, 16, 13.	3.2	13
21	Reflection on the enactment and impact of safety laws for regenerative medicine in Japan. Stem Cell Reports, 2021, 16, 1425-1434.	4.8	12
22	Overdiagnosis, medicalisation and social justice: commentary on Carteret al(2016) â€~A definition and ethical evaluation of overdiagnosis'. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2016, 42, 720-721.	1.8	11
23	Regulating human stem cell research and therapy in low-Âand middle-income countries: Malaysian perspectives. New Genetics and Society, 2018, 37, 2-20.	1.2	10
24	Gene therapy regulation: could in-body editing fall through the net?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 979-981.	2.8	10
25	Comparative lessons in regenerative medicine readiness: learning from the UK and Japanese experience. Regenerative Medicine, 2021, 16, 269-282.	1.7	7
26	Spatiotemporal readiness is key to preparing regenerative medicine for the clinic. Regenerative Medicine, 2021, 16, 229-235.	1.7	5
27	Traceability in stem cell research: from participant sample to induced pluripotent stem cell and back. Regenerative Medicine, 2016, 11, 73-79.	1.7	4
28	Making Cells Worthwhile: Calculations of Value in a European Consortium for Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Banking. Science As Culture, 2019, 28, 46-69.	3.2	4
29	Valuing height: diagnosis, valuation and the case of idiopathic short stature. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2019, 41, 502-516.	2.1	4
30	Biomodifying technologies and experimental space: organisational and regulatory implications for the translation and valuation of health research ESRC. Impact, 2019, 2019, 63-65.	0.1	3
31	Between Scylla and Charybdis: reconciling competing data management demands in the life sciences. BMC Medical Ethics, 2016, 17, 29.	2.4	2
32	Research using free text data in medical records could benefit from dynamic consent and other tools for responsible governance. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2020, 46, 380-381.	1.8	2
33	Implications of secondary findings for clinical contexts. , 2020, , 155-201.		2
34	Making bio-objects mobile: behind the scenes of a translational stem cell banking consortium. BioSocieties, 0, , 1.	1.3	2
35	Biocapital and Innovation Paths: The Exploitation of Regenerative Medicine. , 2013, , 58-87.		1
36	Creative regulatory practices to develop stem-cell technology: the way forward for Malaysia. Regenerative Medicine, 2022, 17, 91-105.	1.7	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Biomodifying the â€~natural': from Adaptive Regulation to Adaptive Societal Governance. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2022, 9, .	1.6	1
38	Response to Open Peer Commentaries on "Patenting Foundational Technologies: Lessons From CRISPR and Other Core Biotechnologies― American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, W10-W13.	0.9	0
39	Biocapital and Innovation Paths. , 0, , .		0
40	Making translational value: Identifying â€~good targets' for clinical research on gene editing and induced pluripotent stem cell technologies. SSM Qualitative Research in Health, 2022, 2, 100131.	1.5	0