

Luca Faloppi

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8947237/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

94
papers

2,046
citations

201575

27
h-index

265120

42
g-index

97
all docs

97
docs citations

97
times ranked

3590
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	VEGF and VEGFR genotyping in the prediction of clinical outcome for HCC patients receiving sorafenib: The ALICE study. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 135, 1247-1256.	2.3	109
2	Pre-treatment lactate dehydrogenase levels as predictor of efficacy of first-line bevacizumab-based therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2012, 106, 799-804.	2.9	97
3	Immune inflammation indicators and implication for immune modulation strategies in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma patients receiving sorafenib. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2016, 7, 67142-67149.	0.8	91
4	The role of LDH serum levels in predicting global outcome in HCC patients treated with sorafenib: implications for clinical management. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2014, 14, 110.	1.1	80
5	Metformin and insulin impact on clinical outcome in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma receiving sorafenib: Validation study and biological rationale. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 86, 106-114.	1.3	76
6	The impact of gender on The efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer patients: The MOUSEION-01 study. <i>Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology</i> , 2022, 170, 103596.	2.0	76
7	VEGF and VEGFR polymorphisms affect clinical outcome in advanced renal cell carcinoma patients receiving first-line sunitinib. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 108, 1126-1132.	2.9	71
8	Effects of metformin on clinical outcome in diabetic patients with advanced HCC receiving sorafenib. <i>Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy</i> , 2015, 16, 2719-2725.	0.9	66
9	Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene promoter methylation and cetuximab treatment in colorectal cancer patients. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2011, 104, 1786-1790.	2.9	65
10	Mismatch repair deficiency may affect clinical outcome through immune response activation in metastatic gastric cancer patients receiving first-line chemotherapy. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> , 2017, 20, 156-163.	2.7	62
11	Hepatocellular carcinoma treatment over sorafenib: epigenetics, microRNAs and microenvironment. Is there a light at the end of the tunnel?. <i>Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets</i> , 2015, 19, 1623-1635.	1.5	58
12	The role of Micro-RNAs in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: From Molecular Biology to Treatment. <i>Molecules</i> , 2014, 19, 6393-6406.	1.7	56
13	Evolving strategies for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: From clinical-guided to molecularly-tailored therapeutic options. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2011, 37, 169-177.	3.4	49
14	The Role of LDH Serum Levels in Predicting Global Outcome in HCC Patients Undergoing TACE: Implications for Clinical Management. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2012, 7, e32653.	1.1	47
15	Prognostic clinical factors in pretreated colorectal cancer patients receiving regorafenib: Implications for clinical management. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2015, 6, 33982-33992.	0.8	46
16	Lactate Dehydrogenase in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Something Old, Something New. <i>BioMed Research International</i> , 2016, 2016, 1-7.	0.9	45
17	Sorafenib does not improve efficacy of chemotherapy in advanced pancreatic cancer: A GISCAD randomized phase II study. <i>Digestive and Liver Disease</i> , 2014, 46, 182-186.	0.4	40
18	The value of lactate dehydrogenase serum levels as a prognostic and predictive factor for advanced pancreatic cancer patients receiving sorafenib. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2015, 6, 35087-35094.	0.8	40

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Trans-arterial chemo-embolization (TACE), with either lipiodol (traditional TACE) or drug-eluting microspheres (precision TACE, pTACE) in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: efficacy and safety results from a large mono-institutional analysis. <i>Journal of Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research</i> , 2010, 29, 164.	3.5	39
20	Prediction of survival with second-line therapy in biliary tract cancer: Actualisation of the AGEO CT2BIL cohort and European multicentre validations. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2019, 111, 94-106.	1.3	36
21	Cancer Stem Cell Gene Profile as Predictor of Relapse in High Risk Stage II and Stage III, Radically Resected Colon Cancer Patients. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e72843.	1.1	36
22	Natural History of Malignant Bone Disease in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Final Results of a Multicenter Bone Metastasis Survey. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2014, 9, e105268.	1.1	33
23	Metronomic capecitabine versus best supportive care as second-line treatment in hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective study. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2017, 7, 42499.	1.6	30
24	<i>eNOS</i> polymorphisms and clinical outcome in advanced HCC patients receiving sorafenib: final results of the ePHAS study. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2016, 7, 27988-27999.	0.8	30
25	The role of PNI to predict survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with Sorafenib. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0232449.	1.1	29
26	Efficacy of sorafenib in BRAF-mutated non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and no response in synchronous BRAF wild type-hepatocellular carcinoma: a case report. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2016, 16, 429.	1.1	28
27	Molecular biomarkers of resistance to anti-EGFR treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer, from classical to innovation. <i>Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology</i> , 2013, 88, 272-283.	2.0	27
28	Early onset of hypertension and serum electrolyte changes as potential predictive factors of activity in advanced HCC patients treated with sorafenib: results from a retrospective analysis of the HCC-AVR group. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2016, 7, 15243-15251.	0.8	26
29	Phosphorylated AKT and MAPK expression in primary tumours and in corresponding metastases and clinical outcome in colorectal cancer patients receiving irinotecan-cetuximab. <i>Journal of Translational Medicine</i> , 2012, 10, 71.	1.8	25
30	Angiogenesis genotyping and clinical outcome during regorafenib treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2016, 6, 25195.	1.6	25
31	Toward molecularly selected chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer: State of the art and future perspectives. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2009, 35, 451-462.	3.4	24
32	Role of SIRT-3, p-mTOR and HIF-1 α in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients Affected by Metabolic Dysfunctions and in Chronic Treatment with Metformin. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> , 2019, 20, 1503.	1.8	24
33	Validation of a Simple Scoring System to Predict Sorafenib Effectiveness in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. <i>Targeted Oncology</i> , 2017, 12, 795-803.	1.7	23
34	Clinical and circulating biomarkers of survival and recurrence after radiofrequency ablation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. <i>Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology</i> , 2018, 129, 44-53.	2.0	23
35	ANGPT2 and NOS3 Polymorphisms and Clinical Outcome in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients Receiving Sorafenib. <i>Cancers</i> , 2019, 11, 1023.	1.7	23
36	The correlation between LDH serum levels and clinical outcome in advanced biliary tract cancer patients treated with first line chemotherapy. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2016, 6, 24136.	1.6	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Impact of Baseline Characteristics on the Overall Survival of HCC Patients Treated with Sorafenib: Ten Years of Experience. <i>Gastrointestinal Tumors</i> , 2019, 6, 92-107.	0.3	22
38	Prognostic Value for Incidental Antihypertensive Therapy With β -Blockers in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. <i>Medicine (United States)</i> , 2015, 94, e719.	0.4	18
39	The Role of Aspirin as Antitumoral Agent for Heavily Pretreated Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Receiving Capecitabine Monotherapy. <i>Clinical Colorectal Cancer</i> , 2017, 16, 38-43.	1.0	18
40	Tumor angiogenesis genotyping and efficacy of first-line chemotherapy in metastatic gastric cancer patients. <i>Pharmacogenomics</i> , 2013, 14, 1991-1998.	0.6	17
41	Cetuximab: still an option in the treatment of pancreatic cancer?. <i>Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy</i> , 2013, 13, 791-801.	1.4	17
42	The "angiogenetic ladder", step-wise angiogenesis inhibition in metastatic colorectal cancer. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2014, 40, 934-941.	3.4	16
43	Role of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) and VEGF-R Genotyping in Guiding the Metastatic Process in pT4a Resected Gastric Cancer Patients. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2012, 7, e38192.	1.1	15
44	Angiogenesis Genotyping and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Receiving Sorafenib: The ALICE-2 Study. <i>Targeted Oncology</i> , 2020, 15, 115-126.	1.7	15
45	Clinical Evidence for Three Distinct Gastric Cancer Subtypes: Time for a New Approach. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2013, 8, e78544.	1.1	14
46	The Immune Revolution in Gastrointestinal Tumours: Leading the Way or Just Following?. <i>Targeted Oncology</i> , 2016, 11, 593-603.	1.7	14
47	Multicenter prospective study of angiogenesis polymorphism validation in HCC patients treated with sorafenib. An INNOVATE study protocol. <i>Tumori</i> , 2018, 104, 476-479.	0.6	14
48	Angiogenesis genotyping in the selection of first-line treatment with either sunitinib or pazopanib for advanced renal cell carcinoma. <i>Oncotarget</i> , 2016, 7, 37599-37607.	0.8	14
49	Novel Perspectives for the Treatment of Gastric Cancer: From a Global Approach to a Personalized Strategy. <i>Current Oncology Reports</i> , 2010, 12, 175-185.	1.8	13
50	Interplay Between SIRT-3, Metabolism and Its Tumor Suppressor Role in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. <i>Digestive Diseases and Sciences</i> , 2017, 62, 1872-1880.	1.1	13
51	Association of <i>NOS3</i> and <i>ANGPT2</i> Gene Polymorphisms with Survival in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma Receiving Sorafenib: Results of the Multicenter Prospective INNOVATE Study. <i>Clinical Cancer Research</i> , 2020, 26, 4485-4493.	3.2	13
52	Angiogenesis polymorphisms profile in the prediction of clinical outcome of advanced HCC patients receiving sorafenib: Combined analysis of VEGF and HIF-1 α Final results of the ALICE-2 study.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2016, 34, 280-280.	0.8	13
53	HOXD8 hypermethylation as a fully sensitive and specific biomarker for biliary tract cancer detectable in tissue and bile samples. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2022, 126, 1783-1794.	2.9	12
54	Estimating Survival Probabilities of Advanced Gastric Cancer Patients in the Second-Line Setting: The Gastric Life Nomogram. <i>Oncology</i> , 2018, 95, 344-352.	0.9	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Three drugs vs two drugs first-line chemotherapy regimen in advanced gastric cancer patients: a retrospective analysis. SpringerPlus, 2015, 4, 743.	1.2	10
56	The Tower of Babel of liver metastases from colorectal cancer: Are we ready for one language?. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology, 2013, 85, 332-341.	2.0	8
57	Selected gastrointestinal cancer presentations from the American Society of Clinical Oncology annual meeting 2013 in review: it is not about the destination, it is about the journey. Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy, 2014, 15, 143-150.	0.9	7
58	Contemporary best practice in the management of urothelial carcinomas of the renal pelvis and ureter. Therapeutic Advances in Urology, 2019, 11, 175628721881537.	0.9	7
59	Beyond RAS: The Role of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) and its Network in the Prediction of Clinical Outcome During Anti-EGFR Treatment in Colorectal Cancer Patients. Current Drug Targets, 2014, 15, 1225-1230.	1.0	7
60	Prospective study of a molecular selection profile for RAS wild type colorectal cancer patients receiving irinotecan-cetuximab. Journal of Translational Medicine, 2015, 13, 140.	1.8	6
61	Panitumumab for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: a review. Immunotherapy, 2015, 7, 721-738.	1.0	6
62	Prognostic Role of a New Index Tested in European and Korean Advanced Biliary Tract Cancer Patients: the PECS Index. Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer, 2022, 53, 289-298.	0.6	6
63	Role of $\alpha 24$ integrin in <i>HER-3</i> -negative, <i>K-RAS</i> wild-type metastatic colorectal tumors receiving cetuximab. Future Oncology, 2013, 9, 1207-1214.	1.1	5
64	Tracking the 2015 Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium: bridging cancer biology to clinical gastrointestinal oncology. OncoTargets and Therapy, 2015, 8, 1149.	1.0	5
65	Retrospective survival analysis in patients with metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes mellitus. Tumori, 2021, 107, 550-555.	0.6	5
66	Clinical impact of tumoral angiogenesis on renal cell carcinoma management: where do we stand?. Expert Review of Precision Medicine and Drug Development, 2016, 1, 229-231.	0.4	4
67	Prognostic Role of a New Index (RAPID Index) in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients Receiving Sorafenib: Training and Validation Cohort. Gastrointestinal Tumors, 2019, 6, 71-80.	0.3	4
68	Phase II study of pharmacogenetic-tailored therapy in elderly colorectal cancer patients. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2012, 44, 74-79.	0.4	3
69	Targeted therapy for solid tumors and risk of hypertension: a meta-analysis of 68077 patients from 93 phase III studies. Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy, 2019, 17, 917-927.	0.6	3
70	Ang-2 polymorphisms in relation to outcome in advanced HCC patients receiving sorafenib.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, e15666-e15666.	0.8	3
71	Immunotherapy in genitourinary cancers: where are we going?. Expert Review of Precision Medicine and Drug Development, 2017, 2, 73-78.	0.4	2
72	A prognostic model in patients with advanced biliary tract cancer receiving first-line chemotherapy. Acta Oncologica, 2021, 60, 1317-1324.	0.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	LDH serum levels as a predictive factor for global outcome in pretreated colorectal cancer patients receiving regorafenib: Implications for clinical management.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 497-497.	0.8	2
74	Angiogenesis genotyping and clinical outcome during regorafenib treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer patients.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 595-595.	0.8	1
75	Expression of stem cell markers in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and clinical relevance.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2013, 31, e15058-e15058.	0.8	1
76	Risk-adjusted analysis of survival variability among hospitals treating biliary malignancy. Journal of Chemotherapy, 2022, 34, 543-549.	0.7	1
77	Palliative Treatment. , 2012, , 209-214.		0
78	Correlation of activated AKT and MAPK expression in liver metastases with clinical outcome in colorectal cancer patients receiving irinotecan/cetuximab treatment.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 449-449.	0.8	0
79	Cancer stem cells profile and clinical outcome in stage III colon cancer patients receiving adjuvant oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 474-474.	0.8	0
80	Tumor angiogenesis genotyping and efficacy of first-line chemotherapy in metastatic gastric cancer patients.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2014, 32, 64-64.	0.8	0
81	Molecular selection for colorectal cancer (CRC) patients receiving cetuximab: Final results of a prospective study.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 596-596.	0.8	0
82	LDH serum levels as prognostic and predictive factor in advanced biliary tract cancer patients treated with first-line chemotherapy.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 313-313.	0.8	0
83	Prognostic clinical factors in pretreated colorectal cancer patients receiving regorafenib: Implications for clinical management.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 591-591.	0.8	0
84	eNOS polymorphisms in relation to outcome in advanced HCC patients receiving sorafenib.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 230-230.	0.8	0
85	Angiogenic profile and pathological features in the prediction of clinical outcome of advanced renal cell carcinoma patients receiving sunitinib.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, 458-458.	0.8	0
86	The role of sidedness, EGFR gene copy number (GCN) and EGFR promoter methylation in RAS/BRAF wild type (WT) colorectal cancer (CRC) patients receiving irinotecan/cetuximab.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 628-628.	0.8	0
87	Metformin effects on clinical outcome in advanced HCC patients receiving sorafenib: Validation study.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, e15684-e15684.	0.8	0
88	Optimizing renal function and outcome of patients with cT2 renal cell carcinoma. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S39-S39.	0.7	0
89	RISE-HEP project part 1: Treatment sequences evaluation in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, e15663-e15663.	0.8	0
90	Multicentric prospective study of validation of angiogenesis-related gene polymorphisms in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib: Interim analysis of INNOVATE study.. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 4075-4075.	0.8	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	The role of PNI to predict survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with Sorafenib. , 2020, 15, e0232449.		0
92	The role of PNI to predict survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with Sorafenib. , 2020, 15, e0232449.		0
93	The role of PNI to predict survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with Sorafenib. , 2020, 15, e0232449.		0
94	The role of PNI to predict survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma treated with Sorafenib. , 2020, 15, e0232449.		0