Katherine L Mcneill

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8939871/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Professional development to support principals' vision of science instruction: Building from their prior experiences to support the science practices. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2022, 59, 3-29.	3.3	6
2	Considering discussion types to support collective sensemaking during a storyline unit. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2022, 59, 195-222.	3.3	8
3	Planning for student-driven discussions: A revelatory case of curricular sensemaking for epistemic agency. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 2022, 31, 408-457.	2.9	6
4	Redesign or relabel?ÂHow a commercial curriculum and its implementation oversimplify key features of the NGSS. Science Education, 2021, 105, 5-32.	3.0	20
5	How Science Teachers DiALoG Classrooms: Towards a Practical and Responsive Formative Assessment of Oral Argumentation. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 2021, 30, 803-815.	3.9	4
6	Developing Research-Based Instructional Materials to Support Large-Scale Transformation of Science Teaching and Learning: The Approach of the OpenSciEd Middle School Program. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 2021, 32, 780-804.	2.5	22
7	Becoming an urban science teacher: How beginning teachers negotiate contradictory school contexts. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2020, 57, 3-32.	3.3	23
8	Acting with epistemic agency: Characterizing student critique during argumentation discussions. Science Education, 2020, 104, 953-982.	3.0	32
9	An analysis of science instruction for the science practices: Examining coherence across system levels and components in current systems of science education in Kâ€8 schools. Science Education, 2020, 104, 446-478.	3.0	20
10	Teachers' framing of argumentation goals: Working together to develop individual versus communal understanding. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2019, 56, 821-844.	3.3	44
11	The impact of multimedia educative curriculum materials (MECMs) on teachers' beliefs about scientific argumentation. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 2019, 28, 173-190.	5.4	6
12	Subject-Specific Instructional Leadership in K8 Schools: The Supervision of Science in an Era of Reform. Leadership and Policy in Schools, 2019, 18, 460-484.	1.5	7
13	Instructional leadership in the era of the NGSS: Principals' understandings of science practices. Science Education, 2018, 102, 452-473.	3.0	31
14	Key challenges and future directions for educational research on scientific argumentation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2018, 55, 5-18.	3.3	56
15	Developing Educative Materials to Support Middle-School Science Teachers' PCK for Argumentation: Comparing Multimedia to Text-Based Supports. Advances in STEM Education, 2018, , 241-264.	0.5	0
16	Teachers' enactments of curriculum: <i>Fidelity to Procedure</i> versus <i>Fidelity to Goal</i> for scientific argumentation. International Journal of Science Education, 2018, 40, 1455-1475.	1.9	25
17	What is (or should be) scientific evidence use in kâ€12 classrooms?. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2017, 54, 672-689.	3.3	55
18	Moving Beyond Pseudoargumentation: Teachers' Enactments of an Educative Science Curriculum Focused on Argumentation. Science Education, 2017, 101, 426-457.	3.0	58

KATHERINE L MCNEILL

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	â€~Does it answer the question or is it French fries?': an exploration of language supports for scientific argumentation. International Journal of Science Education, 2017, 39, 528-547.	1.9	22
20	Multimedia Educative Curriculum Materials (MECMs): Teachers' Choices in Using MECMs Designed to Support Scientific Argumentation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 2017, 28, 36-56.	2.5	15
21	An exploration of teacher learning from an educative reform-oriented science curriculum: Case studies of teacher curriculum use. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2017, 54, 141-168.	3.3	47
22	Scientific Argumentation for All? Comparing Teacher Beliefs About Argumentation in High, Mid, and Low Socioeconomic Status Schools. Science Education, 2016, 100, 410-436.	3.0	22
23	Teachers' Pedagogical Design Capacity for Scientific Argumentation. Science Education, 2016, 100, 645-672.	3.0	42
24	Pedagogical content knowledge of argumentation: Using classroom contexts to assess highâ€quality PCK rather than pseudoargumentation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2016, 53, 261-290.	3.3	76
25	Learning in a community of practice: Factors impacting englishâ€learning students' engagement in scientific argumentation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2016, 53, 527-553.	3.3	65
26	Secondary science students' beliefs about class discussions: a case study comparing and contrasting academic tracks. International Journal of Science Education, 2016, 38, 2047-2068.	1.9	1
27	Factors impacting teachers' argumentation instruction in their science classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 2016, 38, 2026-2046.	1.9	53
28	Assessment at the Intersection of Science and Literacy. Theory Into Practice, 2015, 54, 228-237.	1.6	9
29	Conducting Talk in Secondary Science Classrooms: Investigating Instructional Moves and Teachers' Beliefs. Science Education, 2013, 97, 367-394.	3.0	108
30	The Impact of High School Science Teachers' Beliefs, Curricular Enactments and Experience on Student Learning During an Inquiry-based Urban Ecology Curriculum. International Journal of Science Education, 2013, 35, 2608-2644.	1.9	50
31	Toward a Lived Science Curriculum in Intersecting Figured Worlds: An Exploration of Individual Meanings in Science Education. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2013, 50, 501-529.	3.3	25
32	Teachers' Pedagogical Content Knowledge of Scientific Argumentation: The Impact of Professional Development on K-12 Teachers. Science Education, 2013, 97, 936-972.	3.0	126
33	Connecting Urban Youth with their Environment: The Impact of an Urban Ecology Course on Student Content Knowledge, Environmental Attitudes and Responsible Behaviors. Research in Science Education, 2012, 42, 1007-1026.	2.3	20
34	For whom is argument and explanation a necessary distinction? A response to Osborne and Patterson. Science Education, 2012, 96, 808-813.	3.0	65
35	Urban High School Students' Critical Science Agency: Conceptual Understandings and Environmental Actions Around Climate Change. Research in Science Education, 2012, 42, 373-399.	2.3	82
36	Examining the effect of teachers' adaptations of a middle school science inquiryâ€oriented curriculum unit on student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2011, 48, 149-169.	3.3	106

KATHERINE L MCNEILL

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Elementary students' views of explanation, argumentation, and evidence, and their abilities to construct arguments over the school year. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2011, 48, 793-823.	3.3	163
38	Scientific discourse in three urban classrooms: The role of the teacher in engaging high school students in argumentation. Science Education, 2010, 94, 203-229.	3.0	121
39	A learning progression for scientific argumentation: Understanding student work and designing supportive instructional contexts. Science Education, 2010, 94, 765-793.	3.0	323
40	Teachers' use of curriculum to support students in writing scientific arguments to explain phenomena. Science Education, 2009, 93, 233-268.	3.0	143
41	Synergy Between Teacher Practices and Curricular Scaffolds to Support Students in Using Domain-Specific and Domain-General Knowledge in Writing Arguments to Explain Phenomena. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 2009, 18, 416-460.	2.9	141
42	Learningâ€goalsâ€driven design model: Developing curriculum materials that align with national standards and incorporate projectâ€based pedagogy. Science Education, 2008, 92, 1-32.	3.0	242
43	Scientific explanations: Characterizing and evaluating the effects of teachers' instructional practices on student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2008, 45, 53-78.	3.3	232
44	Supporting Students' Construction of Scientific Explanations by Fading Scaffolds in Instructional Materials. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 2006, 15, 153-191.	2.9	518