
Haneen Deeb

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8937483/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



HANFEN DEER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mapping Details to Elicit Information and Cues to Deceit: The Effects of Map Richness1. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2022, 14, 11-19.	4.6	6
2	The Effect of Using Countermeasures in Interpreter-Absent and Interpreter-Present Interviews. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2022, 14, 53-72.	4.6	2
3	The effects of sketching while narrating on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. Acta Psychologica, 2021, 213, 103236.	1.5	8
4	Plausibility: A Verbal Cue to Veracity worth Examining?. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2021, 13, 47-53.	4.6	20
5	Combining the model statement and the sketching while narrating interview techniques to elicit information and detect lies in multiple interviews. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2021, 35, 1478-1491.	1.6	5
6	Lying about flying: The efficacy of the information protocol and model statement for detecting deceit. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 241-255.	1.6	14
7	Detecting smugglers: Identifying strategies and behaviours in individuals in possession of illicit objects. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 372-386.	1.6	8
8	Verbal cues to deceit when lying through omitting information. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 278-294.	2.0	7
9	Amplifying recall after delays via initial interviewing: Inoculating truth-tellers' memory as a function of encoding quality. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 209, 103130.	1.5	1
10	When and how are lies told? And the role of culture and intentions in intelligenceâ€gathering interviews. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 257-277.	2.0	2
11	The effects of a model statement on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. Acta Psychologica, 2020, 207, 103080.	1.5	10
12	Sketching while narrating as a tool to detect deceit. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 628-642.	1.6	17
13	Encouraging interviewees to say more and deception: The ghostwriter method. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2019, 24, 273-287.	2.0	16
14	The Efficacy of Using Countermeasures in a Model Statement Interview. European Journal of Psychology Applied To Legal Context, 2019, 12, 23-34.	4.6	18
15	Visuospatial counterâ€interrogation strategies by liars familiar with the alibi setting. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2018, 32, 105-116.	1.6	10
16	Police Officers' Perceptions of Statement Inconsistency. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 2018, 45, 644-665.	1.8	19
17	Using the model statement to elicit verbal differences between truth tellers and liars: The benefit of examining core and peripheral details Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2018, 7, 610-617.	1.1	22
18	The Devil's Advocate approach: An interview technique for assessing consistency among deceptive and truthâ€ŧelling pairs of suspects. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2018, 23, 37-52.	2.0	6

HANEEN DEEB

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Suspects' consistency in statements concerning two events when different question formats are used. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling, 2017, 14, 74-87.	0.6	23
20	The effectiveness of different model statement variants for eliciting information and cues to deceit. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 0, , .	2.0	4
21	Actions speak louder than words: The Devil's Advocate questioning protocol in opinions about protester actions. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 0, , .	1.6	2