Brendan Mulhern

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

46 papers	2,369 citations	331670 21 h-index	214800 47 g-index
48 all docs	48 docs citations	48 times ranked	4801 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Development of a classification (descriptive) system for a preferenceâ€based quality of life measure for dental caries (dental caries utility index) among adolescents. Journal of Public Health Dentistry, 2022, 82, 253-261.	1.2	5
2	Systematic Review of Conceptual, Age, Measurement and Valuation Considerations for Generic Multidimensional Childhood Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 379-431.	3.3	28
3	Preference Elicitation Techniques Used in Valuing Childrenâ€™s Health-Related Quality-of-Life: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 663-698.	3.3	5
4	Valuing SF-6Dv2 in Australia Using an International Protocol. Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 1151-1162.	3.3	3
5	Implausible States: Prevalence of EQ-5D-5L States in the General Population and Its Effect on Health State Valuation. Medical Decision Making, 2020, 40, 735-745.	2.4	4
6	The SF-6Dv2: How Does the New Classification System Impact the Distribution of Responses Compared with the Original SF-6D?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 1283-1288.	3.3	3
7	Healthâ€related quality of life and upperâ€limb impairment in children with cerebral palsy: developing a mapping algorithm. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 2020, 62, 854-860.	2.1	9
8	A systematic review of utility values in children with cerebral palsy. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 1-12.	3.1	11
9	A new method for valuing health: directly eliciting personal utility functions. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 257-270.	2.8	26
10	Manipulating the 5 Dimensions of the EuroQol Instrument: The Effects on Self-Reporting Actual Health and Valuing Hypothetical Health States. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 380-392.	2.4	11
11	Measuring the Burden of Schizophrenia Using Clinician and Patient-Reported Measures: An Exploratory Analysis of Construct Validity. Patient, 2019, 12, 405-417.	2.7	6
12	One Method, Many Methodological Choices: A Structured Review of Discrete-Choice Experiments for Health State Valuation. Pharmacoeconomics, 2019, 37, 29-43.	3.3	51
13	Comparing the UK EQ-5D-3L and English EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 699-713.	3.3	74
14	New methods for modelling EQ-5D-5L value sets: An application to English data. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2018, 27, 23-38.	1.7	61
15	Developing a dementia-specific preference-Âbased quality of life measure (AD-5D) in Australia: a valuation study protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e018996.	1.9	14
16	Valuing EQ-5D-5L health states â€˜in contextâ€™ using a discrete choice experiment. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 595-605.	2.8	8
17	Using a Discrete-Choice Experiment Involving Cost to Value a Classification System Measuring the Quality-of-Life Impact of Self-Management for Diabetes. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 69-77.	0.3	17
18	Valuing health-related quality of life: An EQ-5D-5L value set for England. Health Economics (United) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50	1.7	863

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	How Should Discrete Choice Experiments with Duration Choice Sets Be Presented for the Valuation of Health States?. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 306-318.	2.4	9
20	We Respect Their Autonomy and Dignity, But How Do We Value Patient-Reported Experiences?. MDM Policy and Practice, 2018, 3, 238146831880745.	0.9	2
21	Valuation of EuroQol Five-Dimensional Questionnaire, Youth Version (EQ-5D-Y) and EuroQol Five-Dimensional Questionnaire, Three-Level Version (EQ-5D-3L) Health States: The Impact of Wording and Perspective. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1291-1298.	0.3	70
22	Estimating a Dutch Value Set for the Pediatric Preference-Based CHU9D Using a Discrete Choice Experiment with Duration. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1234-1242.	0.3	35
23	SF-6D population norms for the Hong Kong Chinese general population. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2349-2359.	3.1	29
24	Estimating a Preference-Based Single Index Measuring the Quality-of-Life Impact of Self-Management for Diabetes. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 699-707.	2.4	16
25	Using Discrete Choice Experiments with Duration to Model EQ-5D-5L Health State Preferences. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 285-297.	2.4	27
26	Comparing Generic and Condition-Specific Preference-Based Measures in Epilepsy: EQ-5D-3L and NEWQOL-6D. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 687-693.	0.3	23
27	Is Dimension Order Important when Valuing Health States Using Discrete Choice Experiments Including Duration?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 439-451.	3.3	11
28	Developing preferenceâ€based measures for diabetes: <scp>DHP</scp>â€3D and <scp>DHP</scp>â€5D. Diabetic Medicine, 2017, 34, 1264-1275.	2.3	10
29	The Impact of Different DCE-Based Approaches When Anchoring Utility Scores. Pharmacoeconomics, 2016, 34, 805-814.	3.3	32
30	An Empirical Study of Two Alternative Comparators for Use in Time Trade-Off Studies. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 53-59.	0.3	14
31	Valuing Health Using Time Trade-Off and Discrete Choice Experiment Methods: Does Dimension Order Impact on Health State Values?. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 210-217.	0.3	21
32	The psychometric performance of generic preference-based measures for patients with pressure ulcers. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 117.	2.4	9
33	Comparing the measurement equivalence of EQ-5D-5L across different modes of administration. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 191.	2.4	30
34	Comparison of General Population, Patient, and Carer Utility Values for Dementia Health States. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 68-80.	2.4	27
35	Using generic preference-based measures in mental health: psychometric validity of the EQ-5D and SF-6D. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2014, 205, 236-243.	2.8	95
36	Valuations of epilepsy-specific health states: a comparison of patients with epilepsy and the general population. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2014, 36, 12-17.	1.7	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Responsiveness was similar between direct and mapped SF-6D inÂcolorectal cancer patients who declined. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2014, 67, 219-227.	5.0	16
38	Measurement invariance of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapyâ€"Colorectal quality-of-life instrument among modes of administration. Quality of Life Research, 2013, 22, 1415-1426.	3.1	19
39	Binary Choice Health State Valuation and Mode of Administration: Head-to-Head Comparison of Online and CAPI. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 104-113.	0.3	61
40	The development of a QALY measure for epilepsy: NEWQOL-6D. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2012, 24, 36-43.	1.7	52
41	Improving the Measurement of QALYs in Dementia: Developing Patient- and Carer-Reported Health State Classification Systems Using Rasch Analysis. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 323-333.	0.3	37
42	Estimating Preference-Based Single Index Measures for Dementia Using DEMQOL and DEMQOL-Proxy. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 346-356.	0.3	72
43	Response to Comments on Mulhern et al., â€œImproving the Measurement of QALYs in Dementia: Developing Patient- and Carer-Reported Health State Classification Systems Using Rasch Analysisâ€• Value in Health, 2012, 15, 787-788.	0.3	0
44	The Young Person's CORE: Development of a brief outcome measure for young people. Counselling and Psychotherapy Research, 2009, 9, 160-168.	3.2	95
45	The feasibility and effectiveness of a web-based personalised feedback and social norms alcohol intervention in UK university students: A randomised control trial. Addictive Behaviors, 2008, 33, 1192-1198.	3.0	130
46	The effectiveness of web-based interventions designed to decrease alcohol consumption â€" A systematic review. Preventive Medicine, 2008, 47, 17-26.	3.4	205