## Megan J Munsie

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8898989/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

361413 243625 2,107 52 20 44 citations h-index g-index papers 56 56 56 2041 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Isolation of pluripotent embryonic stem cells from reprogrammed adult mouse somatic cell nuclei. Current Biology, 2000, 10, 989-992.	3.9	352
2	New ISSCR Guidelines Underscore Major Principles for Responsible Translational Stem Cell Research. Cell Stem Cell, 2008, 3, 607-609.	11.1	218
3	Setting Global Standards for Stem Cell Research and Clinical Translation: TheÂ2016 ISSCR Guidelines. Stem Cell Reports, 2016, 6, 787-797.	4.8	172
4	Marketing of unproven stem cell–based interventions: A call to action. Science Translational Medicine, 2017, 9, .	12.4	147
5	ISSCR Guidelines for Stem Cell Research and Clinical Translation: The 2021 update. Stem Cell Reports, 2021, 16, 1398-1408.	4.8	134
6	Isolation and Production of Cells Suitable for Human Therapy: Challenges Ahead. Cell Stem Cell, 2009, 4, 20-26.	11.1	132
7	Therapeutic journeys: the hopeful travails of stem cell tourists. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2014, 36, 670-685.	2.1	89
8	Ethical issues in human organoid and gastruloid research. Development (Cambridge), 2017, 144, 942-945.	2.5	80
9	Debate ethics of embryo models from stem cells. Nature, 2018, 564, 183-185.	27.8	72
10	Toward Guidelines for Research on Human Embryo Models Formed from Stem Cells. Stem Cell Reports, 2020, 14, 169-174.	4.8	63
11	What if stem cells turn into embryos in a dish?. Nature Methods, 2015, 12, 917-919.	19.0	59
12	Stem Cell Tourism and the Political Economy of Hope. , 2017, , .		49
13	A question of ethics: Selling autologous stem cell therapies flaunts professional standards. Stem Cell Research, 2014, 13, 647-653.	0.7	41
14	Managing the potential and pitfalls during clinical translation of emerging stem cell therapies. Clinical and Translational Medicine, 2014, 3, 10.	4.0	37
15	An Interactive Multimedia Approach to Improving Informed Consent for Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Research. Cell Stem Cell, 2016, 18, 307-308.	11.1	37
16	The deadly business of an unregulated global stem cell industry. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2017, 43, 744-746.	1.8	36
17	Open for business: a comparative study of websites selling autologous stem cells in Australia and Japan. Regenerative Medicine, 2017, 12, 777-790.	1.7	34
18	The European General Data Protection Regulation: challenges and considerations for iPSC researchers and biobanks. Regenerative Medicine, 2017, 12, 693-703.	1.7	33

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Between hope and evidence: How community advisors demarcate the boundary between legitimate and illegitimate stem cell treatments. Health (United Kingdom), 2015, 19, 188-206.	1.5	32
20	Regulatory Loophole Enables Unproven Autologous Cell Therapies to Thrive in Australia. Stem Cells and Development, 2014, 23, 34-38.	2.1	26
21	The current state of stem cell therapy for ocular disease. Experimental Eye Research, 2018, 177, 65-75.	2.6	24
22	Stem cell miracles or Russian roulette?: patients' use of digital media to campaign for access to clinically unproven treatments. Health, Risk and Society, 2016, 17, 592-604.	1.7	21
23	Participant understanding and recall of informed consent for induced pluripotent stem cell biobanking. Cell and Tissue Banking, 2016, 17, 449-456.	1.1	20
24	â€~No one here's helping me, what do you do?': addressing patient need for support and advice about stem cell treatments. Regenerative Medicine, 2017, 12, 791-801.	1.7	18
25	Citizens' use of digital media to connect with health care: Socio-ethical and regulatory implications. Health (United Kingdom), 2019, 23, 367-384.	1.5	16
26	The politics of evidence in online illness narratives: An analysis of crowdfunding for purported stem cell treatments. Health (United Kingdom), 2019, 23, 436-457.	1.5	16
27	Ethical issues in genetic modification and why application matters. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development, 2018, 52, 7-12.	3.3	15
28	Regulating the stem cell industry: needs and responsibilities. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2017, 95, 663-664.	3.3	14
29	Developing a Reflexive, Anticipatory, and Deliberative Approach to Unanticipated Discoveries: Ethical Lessons from iBlastoids. American Journal of Bioethics, 2022, 22, 36-45.	0.9	13
30	Novel method for demonstrating nuclear contribution in mouse nuclear transfer. Reproduction, Fertility and Development, 1998, 10, 633.	0.4	11
31	Navigating the cartographies of trust: how patients and carers establish the credibility of online treatment claims. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2019, 41, 50-64.	2.1	11
32	Contact us for more information: an analysis of public enquiries about stem cells. Regenerative Medicine, 2019, 14, 1137-1150.	1.7	10
33	Transgenic Strategy for Demonstrating Nuclear Reprogramming in the Mouse. Cloning and Stem Cells, 2002, 4, 121-130.	2.6	9
34	A roundtable on responsible innovation with autologous stem cells in Australia, Japan and Singapore. Cytotherapy, 2018, 20, 1103-1109.	0.7	9
35	The direct-to-consumer market for stem cell-based interventions in Australia: exploring the experiences of patients. Regenerative Medicine, 2020, 15, 1238-1249.	1.7	9
36	Academic physician specialists' views toward the unproven stem cell intervention industry: areas of common ground and divergence. Cytotherapy, 2021, 23, 348-356.	0.7	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Ethical issues and public communication in the development of cell-based treatments for COVID-19: Lessons from the pandemic. Stem Cell Reports, 2021, 16, 2567-2576.	4.8	9
38	Australian regulation of autologous human cell and tissue products: implications for commercial stem cell clinics. Regenerative Medicine, 2020, 15, 1361-1369.	1.7	7
39	From the margins to mainstream: How providers of autologous â€̃stem cell treatments' legitimise their practice in Australia. Health (United Kingdom), 2021, 25, 51-68.	1.5	5
40	Academic Physician Specialists' Approaches to Counseling Patients Interested in Unproven Stem Cell and Regenerative Therapies—A Qualitative Analysis. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2021, 96, 3086-3096.	3.0	4
41	Regulating autologous stem cell interventions in Australia: updated review of the direct-to-consumer advertising restrictions. Australian Health Review, 2021, 45, 507-515.	1.1	2
42	Stem Cell Tourism in Context., 2017,, 1-29.		2
43	The Immortal Life of Ethics? The Alienation of Body Tissue, Ethics and the Informed Consent Procedure Within Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Research., 2017,, 61-87.		1
44	Seeing the Full Picture: The Hidden Cost of the Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine Revolution. Pancreatic Islet Biology, 2014, , 291-304.	0.3	1
45	Response to Open Peer Commentaries on "Developing a Reflexive, Anticipatory, and Deliberative Approach to Unanticipated Discoveries: Ethical Lessons from iBlastoids― American Journal of Bioethics, 2022, 22, W1-W3.	0.9	1
46	The peril of the promise of speculative cell banking: Statement from the ISCT Committee on the Ethics of Cell and Gene Therapy. Cytotherapy, 2022, , .	0.7	1
47	Transgenic Systems in Nuclear Reprogramming. , 2006, 325, 115-128.		0
48	Managing Hope. , 2017, , 59-82.		0
49	Hope â€~at Home': Stem Cell Treatments in Australia. , 2017, , 155-184.		0
50	Re-framing â€~Stem Cell Tourism'. , 2017, , 185-202.		0
51	Section 3: Locus of Care. , 2020, , 135-180.		0
52	Pulling the plug on the stem cell hype. Medical Journal of Australia, O, , .	1.7	O