

Angus Morrison-Saunders

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8885182/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

94
papers

4,253
citations

117625

34
h-index

118850

62
g-index

104
all docs

104
docs citations

104
times ranked

2863
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Analysing corporate forest disclosure: How does business value biodiversity?. <i>Business Strategy and the Environment</i> , 2023, 32, 624-638.	14.3	8
2	Where we work determines what we eat: A qualitative exploration of the multi-dimensional influences on meat consumption when home and office working during the Covid 19 lockdown in London, UK. <i>Appetite</i> , 2022, 178, 106147.	3.7	1
3	Understanding community perceptions of an urban stream before and after a discussion of revitalization possibilities using photo-elicitation. <i>Environment, Development and Sustainability</i> , 2021, 23, 3946-3965.	5.0	1
4	Taking an environmental ethics perspective to understand what we should expect from EIA in terms of biodiversity protection. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2021, 86, 106508.	9.2	15
5	When is an Offset Not an Offset? A Framework of Necessary Conditions for Biodiversity Offsets. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2021, 67, 424-435.	2.7	9
6	Reflecting on, and revising, international best practice principles for EIA follow-up. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2021, 89, 106596.	9.2	16
7	Explaining the political nature of environmental impact assessment (EIA): A neo-Gramscian perspective. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2020, 244, 118694.	9.3	53
8	Gearing up impact assessment as a vehicle for achieving the UN sustainable development goals. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2020, 38, 113-117.	1.8	25
9	Embracing evolutionary change to advance impact assessment (IA). <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2020, 38, 100-103.	1.8	0
10	To what extent can mine rehabilitation restore recreational use of forest land? Learning from 50 years of practice in southwest Australia. <i>Land Use Policy</i> , 2020, 90, 104290.	5.6	16
11	Evaluating Environmental Impact Assessment report quality in South African national parks. <i>Koedoe</i> , 2020, 62, .	0.9	14
12	Planning mine restoration through ecosystem services to enhance community engagement and deliver social benefits. <i>Restoration Ecology</i> , 2020, 28, 937-946.	2.9	13
13	Establishing international best practice principles for impact assessment teaching and training. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2020, 38, 336-353.	1.8	4
14	Evaluating the effectiveness of integrating the environmental impact assessment and mine closure planning processes. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2020, 82, 106366.	9.2	12
15	Explanations for the Quality of Biodiversity Inputs to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in Areas with High Biodiversity Value. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 21, 1950009.	7.9	21
16	Distilling and Applying Criteria for Best Practice EIA Follow-Up. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2019, 21, 1950008.	7.9	39
17	The potential contribution of environmental impact assessment (EIA) to responsible tourism: The case of the Kruger National Park. <i>Tourism Management Perspectives</i> , 2019, 32, 100557.	5.2	13
18	Understanding visitor expectations for responsible tourism in an iconic national park: differences between local and international visitors. <i>Journal of Ecotourism</i> , 2019, 18, 284-294.	2.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Investigating the effectiveness of strategic environmental assessment in Thailand. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2019, 37, 356-368.	1.8	14
20	Measuring Kruger visitorsâ€™ place attachment to specific camps. <i>Koedoe</i> , 2019, 61, .	0.9	5
21	Biodiversity offsets in EIA: Getting the timing right. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2019, 75, 1-12.	9.2	23
22	Getting to â€˜agreedâ€™ post-mining land use â€˜ an ecosystem services approach. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2018, 36, 220-229.	1.8	27
23	Are current effectiveness criteria fit for purpose? Using a controversial strategic assessment as a test case. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 70, 34-44.	9.2	45
24	On legitimacy in impact assessment: An epistemologically-based conceptualisation. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2018, 69, 16-23.	9.2	26
25	Occupation to Profession: The Need, Drivers and Course of Action for Regulating the South African Environmental Control Officer Industry. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2018, 20, 1850011.	7.9	1
26	Whose Needs and What is to be Sustained. , 2018, , .		3
27	Understanding the long-term influence of EIA on organisational learning and transformation. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 64, 131-138.	9.2	16
28	Reconceptualising sustainability assessment. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 62, 205-215.	9.2	128
29	Land take and the effectiveness of project screening in Environmental Impact Assessment: Findings from an empirical study. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2017, 67, 117-123.	9.2	16
30	Managing marine environments and decision-making requires better application of the physical sedimentary sciences. <i>Australasian Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2017, 24, 200-221.	1.1	5
31	Complexities with extractive industries regulation on the African continent: What has â€˜best practiceâ€™ legislation delivered in South Africa?. <i>The Extractive Industries and Society</i> , 2017, 4, 267-277.	1.2	14
32	Operating small tourism firms in rural destinations: A social representations approach to examining how small tourism firms cope with non-tourism induced changes. <i>Tourism Management</i> , 2017, 58, 164-174.	9.8	35
33	Is the Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI) sufficient to generate transparency in environmental impact and legacy risks? The Zambian minerals sector. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 2016, 129, 427-436.	9.3	13
34	Global megatrends and their implications for environmental assessment practice. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2016, 61, 52-60.	9.2	102
35	Critical elements in implementing fundamental change in public environmental policy: Western Australiaâ€™s mine closure and rehabilitation securities reform. <i>Australasian Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2016, 23, 370-381.	1.1	9
36	Integrating mine closure planning with environmental impact assessment: challenges and opportunities drawn from African and Australian practice. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2016, 34, 117-128.	1.8	24

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Making sense of significance in environmental impact assessment. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2016, 34, 87-93.	1.8	14
38	A game theory perspective on environmental assessment: What games are played and what does this tell us about decision making rationality and legitimacy?. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2016, 57, 187-194.	9.2	37
39	Conclusion: reflections on the state of the art of sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		0
40	Puzzled: Navigating extractive policy information jigsaws for best practice and transparency. <i>The Extractive Industries and Society</i> , 2015, 2, 401-405.	1.2	9
41	Introducing the roots, evolution and effectiveness of sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		6
42	Key learnings from psychology for sustainability assessment. , 2015, , .		1
43	Determining the value and influence of informal strategic advice for environmental impact assessment: Western Australian perspectives. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2015, 33, 265-277.	1.8	5
44	Managing uncertainty, ambiguity and ignorance in impact assessment by embedding evolutionary resilience, participatory modelling and adaptive management. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2015, 151, 97-104.	7.8	65
45	Assessing the utility of environmental factors and objectives in environmental impact assessment practice: Western Australian insights. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2015, 33, 142-147.	1.8	8
46	Demonstrating the benefits of impact assessment for proponents. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2015, 33, 108-115.	1.8	34
47	Planning for artisanal and small-scale mining during EIA: Exploring the potential. <i>The Extractive Industries and Society</i> , 2015, 2, 813-819.	1.2	7
48	REFLECTIONS ON IMPACT ASSESSMENT RESEARCH SCHOLARSHIP FROM EDITOR AND ACADEMIC PERSPECTIVES. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2015, 17, 1550002.	7.9	3
49	Appraising the value of independent EIA follow-up verifiers. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2015, 50, 178-189.	9.2	22
50	International perspectives on the strengthening of impact assessment through integration and focus. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2014, 32, 27-30.	1.8	8
51	Welcome to a roundtable discussion on strengthening impact assessment. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2014, 32, 1-1.	1.8	6
52	Towards sustainability assessment follow-up. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2014, 45, 38-45.	9.2	44
53	Strengthening impact assessment: a call for integration and focus. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2014, 32, 2-8.	1.8	102
54	Impact assessment: Eroding benefits through streamlining?. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2014, 45, 46-53.	9.2	86

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Applying sustainability assessment thinking to a community-governed development: a sea cucumber farm in Madagascar. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2013, 31, 208-213.	1.8	8
56	Advancing the theory and practice of impact assessment: Setting the research agenda. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2013, 41, 1-9.	9.2	173
57	Conceptualising and managing trade-offs in sustainability assessment. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2013, 38, 54-63.	9.2	115
58	Exploring the psychology of trade-off decision-making in environmental impact assessment. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2013, 31, 13-23.	1.8	52
59	Reframing teaching relationships: from student-centred to subject-centred learning. <i>Teaching in Higher Education</i> , 2013, 18, 773-783.	2.6	15
60	Sustainability assessment: the state of the art. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2012, 30, 53-62.	1.8	291
61	Walking the sustainability assessment talk – Progressing the practice of environmental impact assessment (EIA). <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2012, 36, 34-41.	9.2	56
62	Re-evaluating Sustainability Assessment: Aligning the vision and the practice. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2011, 31, 1-7.	9.2	188
63	Learning about knowledge management for improving environmental impact assessment in a government agency: The Western Australian experience. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> , 2011, 92, 2260-2271.	7.8	50
64	COMPARING LEGISLATIVE MECHANISMS FOR SEA SCREENING AND DECISION-MAKING: AUSTRIAN AND AUSTRALIAN EXPERIENCES. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2010, 12, 399-423.	7.9	13
65	Teaching impact assessment: results of an international survey. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2010, 28, 245-250.	1.8	29
66	Editorial Recent and ongoing changes with IAPA. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2010, 28, 2-2.	1.8	1
67	Sustainability appraisal: jack of all trades, master of none?. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2009, 27, 321-329.	1.8	44
68	WHAT IS WRONG WITH EIA AND SEA ANYWAY? A SCEPTIC'S PERSPECTIVE ON SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT. , 2009, , 221-241.		7
69	Appraising the role of relationships between regulators and consultants for effective EIA. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2009, 29, 284-294.	9.2	45
70	Contributing to sustainability as an environmental impact assessment practitioner. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2008, 26, 91-98.	1.8	43
71	Environmental Interpretation Evaluation in Natural Areas. <i>Journal of Ecotourism</i> , 2008, 7, 1-14.	2.9	70
72	What is necessary to ensure natural justice in environmental impact assessment decision-making?. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2008, 26, 29-42.	1.8	38

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Effectiveness of environmental offsets in environmental impact assessment: practitioner perspectives from Western Australia. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2007, 25, 209-218.	1.8	50
74	SUSTAINABILITY INTEGRATION AND ASSESSMENT. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2006, 08, 281-298.	7.9	75
75	Improving quality. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2006, 24, 3-22.	1.8	47
76	WHAT IS WRONG WITH EIA AND SEA ANYWAY? A SCEPTIC'S PERSPECTIVE ON SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT. <i>Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management</i> , 2006, 08, 19-39.	7.9	89
77	Influence of On-site Interpretation Intensity on Visitors to Natural Areas. <i>Journal of Ecotourism</i> , 2005, 4, 161-177.	2.9	36
78	Learning from experience: emerging trends in environmental impact assessment follow-up. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2005, 23, 170-174.	1.8	32
79	Applying sustainability assessment models. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2005, 23, 293-302.	1.8	39
80	International principles for best practice EIA follow-up. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2005, 23, 175-181.	1.8	87
81	The impact of voluntary environmental protection instruments on company environmental performance. <i>Business Strategy and the Environment</i> , 2004, 13, 1-12.	14.3	88
82	Conceptualising sustainability assessment. <i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i> , 2004, 24, 595-616.	9.2	785
83	Practitioner Perspectives on the Role of Science in Environmental Impact Assessment. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 2003, 31, 683-695.	2.7	37
84	Effectiveness of non-legal EIA guidance from the perspective of consultants in Western Australia. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2003, 21, 251-256.	1.8	17
85	Visitor Attitudes Toward a Modified Natural Attraction. <i>Society and Natural Resources</i> , 2003, 16, 191-203.	1.9	22
86	Lessons from practice: towards successful follow-up. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2003, 21, 43-56.	1.8	60
87	Impact of Trail-side Interpretive Signs on Visitor Knowledge. <i>Journal of Ecotourism</i> , 2002, 1, 122-132.	2.9	38
88	Practitioner perspectives on what influences EIA quality. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2001, 19, 321-325.	1.8	40
89	Environmental impact assessment follow-up: good practice and future directions " findings from a workshop at the IAIA 2000 conference. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2001, 19, 175-185.	1.8	95
90	Roles and stakes in environmental impact assessment follow-up. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2001, 19, 289-296.	1.8	35

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Transparency in environment impact assessment decision-making: recent developments in Western Australia. <i>Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal</i> , 2000, 18, 260-270.	1.8	29
92	Exploring the EIA/Environmental Management Relationship. <i>Environmental Management</i> , 1999, 24, 281-295.	2.7	42
93	Environmental impact assessment as a tool for on going environmental management. <i>Project Appraisal</i> , 1996, 11, 95-104.	0.2	12
94	Teaching process sustainability: A role-playing case focused on finding new solutions to a waste-water management problem. , 0, , 180-198.		4