## Mariana Boessio Vizzotto

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8688879/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

33 487 11 21 g-index

34 34 597
all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Exploring digital filters for internal root resorption: how can we improve the diagnosis of small lesions?. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, 2022, 51, 20210314.	1.3	O
2	The Impact of Cone-beam Computed Tomography on Diagnostic Thinking, Treatment Option, and Confidence in Dental Trauma Cases: A Before and After Study. Journal of Endodontics, 2022, 48, 320-328.	1.4	8
3	Impact of intracanal post-material on vertical root fractures diagnosis: A high-resolution cone-beam computed tomography study. Journal of International Oral Health, 2022, 14, 71.	0.0	1
4	Changes in alveolar bone morphology after traction of buccally vs palatally unilateral maxillary impacted canines: AÂcone-beam computed tomography study. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2021, 159, 258-270.	0.8	6
5	Benefits of using a photostimulable phosphor plate protective device. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, 2021, 50, 20200339.	1.3	6
6	Association between internal carotid artery calcifications detected as incidental findings and clinical characteristics associated with atherosclerosis: A dental volumetric tomography study. European Journal of Radiology, 2021, 145, 110045.	1.2	2
7	Avaliação da prevalência e localização de canais mandibulares bÃfidos. Um estudo em TCFC Faculdade De Odontologia De Porto Alegre Revista, 2021, 62, 36-42.	0.1	O
8	Can Cone-beam Computed Tomography Change Endodontists' Level of Confidence in Diagnosis and Treatment Planning? A Before and After Study. Journal of Endodontics, 2020, 46, 283-288.	1.4	24
9	Diagnostic efficacy of different cone beam computed tomography scanning protocols in the detection of chemically simulated external root resorption. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2020, 130, 322-327.	0.2	3
10	Undergraduate students as knowledge multipliers and facilitators in the teaching-learning process about a digital radiographic system. Revista Da ABENO, 2020, 20, 157-164.	0.0	0
11	Two-Year Split-Mouth Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial on the Progression of Proximal Carious Lesions on Primary Molars After Resin Infiltration. Pediatric Dentistry (discontinued), 2020, 42, 110-115.	0.4	0
12	Response to the Letter to the Editor. Pediatric Dentistry (discontinued), 2020, 42, 247-248.	0.4	O
13	Airway volume analysis: is there a correlation between two and three-dimensions?. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2018, 40, 262-267.	1.1	13
14	Is cone beam computed tomography accurate for postoperative evaluation of implants? An in vitro study. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2017, 124, 500-505.	0.2	8
15	Evaluation of a digital learning object ( <scp>DLO</scp> ) to support the learning process in radiographic dental diagnosis. European Journal of Dental Education, 2015, 19, 222-228.	1.0	14
16	Diagnostic accuracy of conventional and digital radiography forÂdetecting misfit between the tooth and restoration in metal-restored teeth. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 2015, 113, 39-47.	1.1	21
17	<scp>CBCT</scp> â€based volume of simulated root resorption – influence of <scp>FOV</scp> and voxel size. International Endodontic Journal, 2015, 48, 959-965.	2.3	28
18	Diagnostic reproducibility of the second mesiobuccal canal by CBCT: influence of potential factors. Oral Radiology, 2015, 31, 160-164.	0.9	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Diagnostic accuracy of cone beam computed tomography sections with various thicknesses for detecting misfit between the tooth and restoration in metal-restored teeth. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2015, 120, e131-e137.	0.2	16
20	Development of a New In Vitro Methodology to Simulate Internal Root Resorption. Journal of Endodontics, 2014, 40, 211-216.	1.4	19
21	Importance of diagnosing invasive cervical resorption. Dental Press Endodontics, 2014, 4, 62-68.	0.0	0
22	Detection of vertical root fractures: An investigation on the impact of using orthogonal and dissociated radiographs in conventional and digital systems. Dental Press Endodontics, 2014, 4, 46-50.	0.0	0
23	Detection of vertical root fractures by conventional radiographic examination and cone beam computed tomography $\hat{a}\in$ an <i>ii vitro</i> ii analysis. Dental Traumatology, 2013, 29, 41-46.	0.8	97
24	<scp>CBCT</scp> for the assessment of second mesiobuccal ( <scp>MB</scp> 2) canals in maxillary molar teeth: effect of voxel size and presence of root filling. International Endodontic Journal, 2013, 46, 870-876.	2.3	102
25	Comparative study between conventional and cone beam CT-synthesized half and total skull cephalograms. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, 2012, 41, 136-142.	1.3	17
26	A comparative study of lateral cephalograms and cone-beam computed tomographic images in upper airway assessment. European Journal of Orthodontics, 2012, 34, 390-393.	1.1	62
27	A simple radiographic approach to maxillary pathologies. General Dentistry, 2012, 60, 408-9.	0.4	1
28	Radiographic evaluation of alveolar bone height in the primary dentition: a retrospective follow-up study. Pediatric Dentistry (discontinued), 2011, 33, 312-5.	0.4	6
29	Influence of a programme of professional calibration in the variability of landmark identification using cone beam computed tomography-synthesized and conventional radiographic cephalograms.  Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, 2010, 39, 414-423.	1.3	14
30	The Quad-Helix Appliance in the Primary Dentition –Orthodontic and Orthopedic Measurements Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry, 2007, 32, 165-170.	0.5	10
31	Radiopacidade de dentes artificiais para treinamento pré-clÃnico de endodontia. Universidade Estadual Paulista Revista De Odontologia, 0, 48, .	0.3	2
32	Impact of dentists and equipment in the performing dental imaging examinations: a longitudinal analysis. Brazilian Oral Research, 0, 36, .	0.6	0
33	Can CBCT change the level of confidence of oral maxillofacial surgeons in mandibular third molar management?. Brazilian Oral Research, 0, 36, .	0.6	1