

Suzanne B Coopey

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8677038/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

50
papers

1,257
citations

430874

18
h-index

361022

35
g-index

51
all docs

51
docs citations

51
times ranked

1519
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy: Does Saving the Nipple Impact Short- and Long-Term Patient Satisfaction?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2022, 29, 1033-1040.	1.5	5
2	Axillary Downstaging in ER+/HER2~ Breast Cancer: OncotypeDX As a Tool to Guide Neoadjuvant Approach. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 1265-1267.	1.5	1
3	Similar rates of residual disease in patients with DCIS within 2~mm of lumpectomy margin regardless of the presence of invasive carcinoma. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 186, 807-814.	2.5	1
4	Management and outcomes of men diagnosed with primary breast cancer. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2021, 188, 561-569.	2.5	7
5	How Protective are Nipple-Sparing Prophylactic Mastectomies in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 5657-5662.	1.5	15
6	ASO Visual Abstract: How Protective are Nipple-Sparing Prophylactic Mastectomies in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, 28, 594-595.	1.5	1
7	ASO Visual Abstract: Nipple-Sparing~Mastectomy~Versus~Skin-Sparing~Mastectomy~”Does~Saving the~Nipple~Have an Impact~on Short- and~Long-Term~Patient~Satisfaction?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
8	Performance of Breast Cancer Risk-Assessment Models in a Large Mammography Cohort. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2020, 112, 489-497.	6.3	59
9	Long-Term Outcomes of Multiple-Wire Localizations for More Extensive Breast Cancer: Multiple-Wire Excision Does Not Increase Recurrence, Unplanned Imaging, or Biopsies. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2020, 20, 215-219.	2.4	4
10	Much Ado About Nipples. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 321-322.	1.5	0
11	Baseline Screening MRI Uptake and Findings in Women with ~%~ Lifetime Risk of Breast Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 3595-3602.	1.5	4
12	ASO Author Reflections: Breast Cancer Detection of Baseline Screening MRI in High-Risk Women Who Are Not in the Highest Risk Groups. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 3603-3604.	1.5	0
13	Chemoprevention acceptance and adherence in women with high-risk breast lesions. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2019, 25, 190-195.	1.0	13
14	Enhanced Recovery Minimizes Opioid Use and Hospital Stay for Patients Undergoing Mastectomy with Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 3464-3471.	1.5	11
15	Radiofrequency identification tag localization is comparable to wire localization for non-palpable breast lesions. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 177, 735-739.	2.5	41
16	Incidental breast carcinoma: incidence, management, and outcomes in 4804 bilateral reduction mammoplasties. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 177, 741-748.	2.5	11
17	Atypical ductal hyperplasia in men with gynecomastia: what is their breast cancer risk?. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 175, 1-4.	2.5	8
18	Nipple Discharge After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With and Without Associated Pregnancy. <i>Clinical Breast Cancer</i> , 2019, 19, e534-e539.	2.4	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Twenty-Five Year Trends in the Incidence of Ductal Carcinoma in Situ in US Women. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2019, 228, 932-939.	0.5	13
20	Evaluating the Rate of Upgrade to Invasive Breast Cancer and/or Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Following a Core Biopsy Diagnosis of Non-classic Lobular Carcinoma In Situ. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2019, 26, 55-61.	1.5	36
21	Pathologic findings in reduction mammoplasty specimens: a surrogate for the population prevalence of breast cancer and high-risk lesions. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2019, 173, 201-207.	2.5	24
22	Incidental atypical hyperplasia/LCIS in mammoplasty specimens and subsequent risk of breast cancer.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2019, 37, 1561-1561.	1.6	0
23	The impact of patient age on breast cancer risk prediction models. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2018, 24, 592-598.	1.0	8
24	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. <i>Advances in Surgery</i> , 2018, 52, 113-126.	1.3	20
25	Pathologic findings in reduction mammoplasty procedures identified by natural language processing of breast pathology reports: A surrogate for the population incidence of cancer and high risk lesions.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2018, 36, e13569-e13569.	1.6	2
26	Breast Cancer Risk Prediction in Women with Atypical Breast Lesions. , 2018, , 103-113.		1
27	Reassessing risk models for atypical hyperplasia: age may not matter. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 165, 285-291.	2.5	14
28	Using machine learning to parse breast pathology reports. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 161, 203-211.	2.5	87
29	Oncologic Safety of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Women with Breast Cancer. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2017, 225, 361-365.	0.5	108
30	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Pitfalls and Challenges. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 24, 2863-2868.	1.5	6
31	Do Lumpectomy Cavity Shaved Margins Really Not Impact Re-Excision Rates in Breast Cancer? A Reply. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2017, 24, 586-587.	1.5	0
32	Patient experience with breast reconstruction process following bilateral mastectomy in BRCA mutation carriers. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2017, 214, 687-694.	1.8	10
33	Positive Nipple Margins in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies: Rates, Management, and Oncologic Safety. <i>Journal of the American College of Surgeons</i> , 2016, 222, 1149-1155.	0.5	43
34	Should New "No Ink On Tumor" Lumpectomy Margin Guidelines be Applied to Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS)? A Retrospective Review Using Shaved Cavity Margins. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 3453-3458.	1.5	19
35	Factors Associated with Recurrence Rates and Long-Term Survival in Women Diagnosed with Breast Cancer Ages 40 and Younger. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 3212-3220.	1.5	26
36	Comparison of intra-operative specimen mammography to standard specimen mammography for excision of non-palpable breast lesions: a randomized trial. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2016, 155, 513-519.	2.5	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Intraoperative micro-computed tomography (micro-CT): a novel method for determination of primary tumour dimensions in breast cancer specimens. <i>British Journal of Radiology</i> , 2016, 89, 20150581.	2.2	40
38	Implications of New Lumpectomy Margin Guidelines for Breast-Conserving Surgery: Changes in Reexcision Rates and Predicted Rates of Residual Tumor. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2016, 23, 729-734.	1.5	42
39	Lumpectomy specimen margins are not reliable in predicting residual disease in breast conserving surgery. <i>American Journal of Surgery</i> , 2015, 210, 93-98.	1.8	16
40	The Nipple is Just Another Margin. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 22, 3764-3766.	1.5	20
41	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Irradiated Breasts: Selecting Patients to Minimize Complications. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 22, 3331-3337.	1.5	64
42	False-negative rate of combined mammography and ultrasound for women with palpable breast masses. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2015, 153, 699-702.	2.5	23
43	Use of Preoperative Paravertebral Block Decreases Length of Stay in Patients Undergoing Mastectomy Plus Immediate Reconstruction. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2013, 20, 1282-1286.	1.5	56
44	Increasing Eligibility for Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2013, 20, 3218-3222.	1.5	132
45	The role of chemoprevention in modifying the risk of breast cancer in women with atypical breast lesions. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2012, 136, 627-633.	2.5	115
46	Association of pathologic complete response following neoadjuvant chemotherapy with survival among young women with breast cancer. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2012, 30, 1122-1122.	1.6	38
47	The Safety of Multiple Re-excisions after Lumpectomy for Breast Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2011, 18, 3797-3801.	1.5	48
48	Lumpectomy Cavity Shaved Margins Do Not Impact Re-excision Rates in Breast Cancer Patients. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2011, 18, 3036-3040.	1.5	42
49	Axillary Ultrasound Evaluation in Breast Cancer Patients: A Multidisciplinary Viewpoint and Middle Ground. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 0, , .	1.3	3
50	Imaging Evaluation of the Axilla – A National Survey of Clinical Practice Among Radiologists. <i>Journal of Breast Imaging</i> , 0, , .	1.3	0