
Suzanne B Coopey

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8677038/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



SUZANNE R COOPEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Increasing Eligibility for Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 3218-3222.	1.5	132
2	The role of chemoprevention in modifying the risk of breast cancer in women with atypical breast lesions. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2012, 136, 627-633.	2.5	115
3	Oncologic Safety of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Women with Breast Cancer. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2017, 225, 361-365.	0.5	108
4	Using machine learning to parse breast pathology reports. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017, 161, 203-211.	2.5	87
5	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in Irradiated Breasts: Selecting Patients to Minimize Complications. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3331-3337.	1.5	64
6	Performance of Breast Cancer Risk-Assessment Models in a Large Mammography Cohort. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2020, 112, 489-497.	6.3	59
7	Use of Preoperative Paravertebral Block Decreases Length of Stay in Patients Undergoing Mastectomy Plus Immediate Reconstruction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 1282-1286.	1.5	56
8	The Safety of Multiple Re-excisions after Lumpectomy for Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 3797-3801.	1.5	48
9	Positive Nipple Margins in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies: Rates, Management, and Oncologic Safety. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2016, 222, 1149-1155.	0.5	43
10	Lumpectomy Cavity Shaved Margins Do Not Impact Re-excision Rates in Breast Cancer Patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 3036-3040.	1.5	42
11	Implications of New Lumpectomy Margin Guidelines for Breast-Conserving Surgery: Changes in Reexcision Rates and Predicted Rates of Residual Tumor. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 729-734.	1.5	42
12	Radiofrequency identification tag localization is comparable to wire localization for non-palpable breast lesions. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 177, 735-739.	2.5	41
13	Intraoperative micro-computed tomography (micro-CT): a novel method for determination of primary tumour dimensions in breast cancer specimens. British Journal of Radiology, 2016, 89, 20150581.	2.2	40
14	Association of pathologic complete response following neoadjuvant chemotherapy with survival among young women with breast cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2012, 30, 1122-1122.	1.6	38
15	Evaluating the Rate of Upgrade to Invasive Breast Cancer and/or Ductal Carcinoma In Situ Following a Core Biopsy Diagnosis of Non-classic Lobular Carcinoma In Situ. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 55-61.	1.5	36
16	Factors Associated with Recurrence Rates and Long-Term Survival in Women Diagnosed with Breast Cancer Ages 40 and Younger. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3212-3220.	1.5	26
17	Pathologic findings in reduction mammoplasty specimens: a surrogate for the population prevalence of breast cancer and high-risk lesions. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 173, 201-207.	2.5	24
18	False-negative rate of combined mammography and ultrasound for women with palpable breast masses. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2015, 153, 699-702.	2.5	23

SUZANNE B COOPEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Nipple is Just Another Margin. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 3764-3766.	1.5	20
20	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy. Advances in Surgery, 2018, 52, 113-126.	1.3	20
21	Should New "No Ink On Tumor―Lumpectomy Margin Guidelines be Applied to Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS)? A Retrospective Review Using Shaved Cavity Margins. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3453-3458.	1.5	19
22	Lumpectomy specimen margins are not reliableÂin predicting residual disease in breast conserving surgery. American Journal of Surgery, 2015, 210, 93-98.	1.8	16
23	How Protective are Nipple-Sparing Prophylactic Mastectomies in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 5657-5662.	1.5	15
24	Comparison of intra-operative specimen mammography to standard specimen mammography for excision of non-palpable breast lesions: a randomized trial. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 155, 513-519.	2.5	14
25	Reassessing risk models for atypical hyperplasia: age may not matter. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017, 165, 285-291.	2.5	14
26	Chemoprevention acceptance and adherence in women with high-risk breast lesions. Breast Journal, 2019, 25, 190-195.	1.0	13
27	Twenty-Five Year Trends in the Incidence of Ductal Carcinoma in Situ in US Women. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2019, 228, 932-939.	0.5	13
28	Enhanced Recovery Minimizes Opioid Use and Hospital Stay for Patients Undergoing Mastectomy with Reconstruction. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 3464-3471.	1.5	11
29	Incidental breast carcinoma: incidence, management, and outcomes in 4804 bilateral reduction mammoplasties. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 177, 741-748.	2.5	11
30	Patient experience with breast reconstruction process following bilateral mastectomy in BRCA mutation carriers. American Journal of Surgery, 2017, 214, 687-694.	1.8	10
31	The impact of patient age on breast cancer risk prediction models. Breast Journal, 2018, 24, 592-598.	1.0	8
32	Atypical ductal hyperplasia in men with gynecomastia: what is their breast cancer risk?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 175, 1-4.	2.5	8
33	Management and outcomes of men diagnosed with primary breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 188, 561-569.	2.5	7
34	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: Pitfalls and Challenges. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 2863-2868.	1.5	6
35	Nipple Discharge After Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy With and Without Associated Pregnancy. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2019, 19, e534-e539.	2.4	6
36	Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy versus Skin-Sparing Mastectomy: Does Saving the Nipple Impact Short- and Long-Term Patient Satisfaction?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1033-1040.	1.5	5

SUZANNE B COOPEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Long-Term Outcomes of Multiple-Wire Localizations for More Extensive Breast Cancer: Multiple-Wire Excision Does Not Increase Recurrence, Unplanned Imaging, or Biopsies. Clinical Breast Cancer, 2020, 20, 215-219.	2.4	4
38	Baseline Screening MRI Uptake and Findings in Women with ≥ 20% Lifetime Risk of Breast Cancer. Annal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 3595-3602.	^S 1.5	4
39	Axillary Ultrasound Evaluation in Breast Cancer Patients: A Multidisciplinary Viewpoint and Middle Ground. Journal of Breast Imaging, 0, , .	1.3	3
40	Pathologic findings in reduction mammoplasty procedures identified by natural language processing of breast pathology reports: A surrogate for the population incidence of cancer and high risk lesions Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 36, e13569-e13569.	1.6	2
41	Axillary Downstaging in ER+/HER2â^ Breast Cancer: OncotypeDX As a Tool to Guide Neoadjuvant Approach. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 1265-1267.	1.5	1
42	Similar rates of residual disease in patients with DCIS within 2Âmm of lumpectomy margin regardless of the presence of invasive carcinoma. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2021, 186, 807-814.	2.5	1
43	ASO Visual Abstract: How Protective are Nipple-Sparing Prophylactic Mastectomies in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 594-595.	1.5	1
44	Breast Cancer Risk Prediction in Women with Atypical Breast Lesions. , 2018, , 103-113.		1
45	Do Lumpectomy Cavity Shaved Margins Really Not Impact Re-Excision Rates in Breast Cancer? A Reply. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 586-587.	1.5	0
46	Much Ado About Nipples. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 321-322.	1.5	0
47	ASO Author Reflections: Breast Cancer Detection of Baseline Screening MRI in High-Risk Women Who Are Not in the Highest Risk Groups. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 3603-3604.	1.5	0
48	ASO Visual Abstract: Nipple-SparingÂMastectomyÂVersusÂSkin-SparingÂMastectomy—DoesÂSaving theÂNippleÂHave an ImpactÂon Short- andÂLong-TermÂPatientÂSatisfaction?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	1.5	0
49	Incidental atypical hyperplasia/LCIS in mammoplasty specimens and subsequent risk of breast cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 1561-1561.	1.6	0
50	Imaging Evaluation of the Axilla—A National Survey of Clinical Practice Among Radiologists. Journal of Breast Imaging, 0, , .	1.3	0