## Clément Orczyk

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8649284/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Image Guided Focal Therapy for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Visible Prostate Cancer: Defining a 3-Dimensional Treatment Margin Based on Magnetic Resonance Imaging Histology Co-Registration Analysis. Journal of Urology, 2015, 194, 364-370.	0.4	146
2	Five-year Outcomes of Magnetic Resonance Imaging–based Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer: A Large Cohort Study. European Urology, 2020, 78, 443-451.	1.9	94
3	Mediumâ€ŧerm oncological outcomes in a large cohort of men treated with either focal or hemiâ€ablation using highâ€intensity focused ultrasonography for primary localized prostate cancer. BJU International, 2019, 124, 431-440.	2.5	93
4	Prostate tumour volumes: evaluation of the agreement between magnetic resonance imaging and histology using novel coâ€registration software. BJU International, 2014, 114, E105-E112.	2.5	74
5	Cancer Control Outcomes Following Focal Therapy Using High-intensity Focused Ultrasound in 1379 Men with Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer: A Multi-institute 15-year Experience. European Urology, 2022, 81, 407-413.	1.9	41
6	Natural history of prostate cancer on active surveillance: stratification by MRI using the PRECISE recommendations in a UK cohort. European Radiology, 2021, 31, 1644-1655.	4.5	37
7	Role of MRI in planning radical prostatectomy: what is the added value?. World Journal of Urology, 2019, 37, 1289-1292.	2.2	26
8	Pathological Findings and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Concordance at Salvage Radical Prostatectomy for Local Recurrence following Partial Ablation Using High Intensity Focused Ultrasound. Journal of Urology, 2019, 201, 1134-1143.	0.4	19
9	Prostate cancer heterogeneity: texture analysis score based on multiple magnetic resonance imaging sequences for detection, stratification and selection of lesions at time of biopsy. BJU International, 2019, 124, 76-86.	2.5	18
10	The Role of Percentage of Prostate-specific Antigen Reduction After Focal Therapy Using High-intensity Focused Ultrasound for Primary Localised Prostate Cancer. Results from a Large Multi-institutional Series. European Urology, 2020, 78, 155-160.	1.9	18
11	Update on Multiparametric Prostate MRI During Active Surveillance: Current and Future Trends and Role of the PRECISE Recommendations. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2021, 216, 943-951.	2.2	18
12	Imaging of prostate cancer: a platform for 3D co-registration of in-vivo MRI ex-vivo MRI and pathology. Proceedings of SPIE, 2012, 8316, 83162M.	0.8	17
13	Prostate cancer in deceased organ donors: A review. Transplantation Reviews, 2014, 28, 1-5.	2.9	13
14	Prediction of significant prostate cancer in biopsy-naÃ⁻ve men: Validation of a novel risk model combining MRI and clinical parameters and comparison to an ERSPC risk calculator and PI-RADS. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0221350.	2.5	13
15	Targeted biopsy of the prostate: does this result in improvement in detection of highâ€grade cancer or the occurrence of the Will Rogers phenomenon?. BJU International, 2019, 124, 643-648.	2.5	13
16	Prostate cancer treated with irreversible electroporation: MRI-based volumetric analysis and oncological outcome. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019, 58, 143-147.	1.8	13
17	Prostate Radiofrequency Focal Ablation (ProRAFT) Trial: A Prospective Development Study Evaluating a Bipolar Radiofrequency Device to Treat Prostate Cancer. Journal of Urology, 2021, 205, 1090-1099.	0.4	12
18	Prostate cancer measurements on serial MRI during active surveillance: it's time to be PRECISE. British Journal of Radiology, 2020, 93, 20200819.	2.2	11

CLéMENT ORCZYK

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	What tumours should we treat with focal therapy based on risk category, grade, size and location?. Current Opinion in Urology, 2015, 25, 212-219.	1.8	10
20	Conventional radical versus focal treatment for localised prostate cancer: a propensity score weighted comparison of 6-year tumour control. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2021, 24, 1120-1128.	3.9	10
21	Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Targeted Biopsies Compared to Transperineal Mapping Biopsies Before Focal Ablation in Localised and Metastatic Recurrent Prostate Cancer After Radiotherapy. European Urology, 2022, 81, 598-605.	1.9	9
22	A prospective comparative analysis of the accuracy of HistoScanning and multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging in the localization of prostate cancer among men undergoing radical prostatectomy. Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2016, 34, 3.e1-3.e8.	1.6	8
23	HistoScanningTM to Detect and Characterize Prostate Cancer—a Review of Existing Literature. Current Urology Reports, 2017, 18, 97.	2.2	8
24	Outcomes of the RAFT trial: robotic surgery after focal therapy. BJU International, 2021, 128, 504-510.	2.5	8
25	3D Registration of mpMRI for Assessment of Prostate Cancer Focal Therapy. Academic Radiology, 2017, 24, 1544-1555.	2.5	7
26	Mapping Contemporary Biopsy Zones to Traditional Prostatic Anatomy: The Key to Understanding Relationships Between Prostate Cancer Topography, Magnetic Resonance Imaging Conspicuity, and Clinical Risk. European Urology, 2021, 80, 263-265.	1.9	3
27	MP38-07 SHOULD WE AIM FOR THE CENTRE OF AN MRI PROSTATE LESION? CORRELATION BETWEEN MPMRI AND 3-DIMENSIONAL 5MM TRANSPERINEAL PROSTATE MAPPING BIOPSIES FROM THE PROMIS TRIAL. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, .	0.4	2
28	Relationship of prostate cancer topography and tumour conspicuity on multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e050376.	1.9	2
29	Re: Magnetic Resonance Imaging Underestimation of Prostate Cancer Geometry: Use of Patient Specific Molds toÂCorrelate Images with Whole Mount Pathology. Journal of Urology, 2017, 198, 1436-1437.	0.4	1
30	Re: Simpa S. Salami, Jeffrey J. Tosoian, Srinivas Nallandhighal, et al. Serial Molecular Profiling of Low-grade Prostate Cancer to Assess Tumor Upgrading: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. Eur Urol. In press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2020.06.041. European Urology, 2021, 79, e98-e99.	1.9	1
31	Diagnostic potential of radiological apical tumor involvement. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2022, , 1.	1.8	1
32	MP7-15 A PROSPECTIVE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF HISTOSCANNINGTM AND MULTIPARAMETRIC 3TESLA MRI FOR THE PREDICTION OF CANCER FOCI IN MEN UNDERGOING RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY. Journal of Urology, 2014, 191, .	0.4	0
33	MP58-05 PROSTATE TUMOR VOLUMES: AGREEMENT BETWEEN MRI AND HISTOLOGY USING NOVEL CO-REGISTRATION SOFTWARE. Journal of Urology, 2014, 191, .	0.4	0
34	MP70-02 CORRELATION OF MPMRI CONTOURS WITH 3-DIMENSIONAL 5MM TRANSPERINEAL PROSTATE MAPPING BIOPSY WITHIN THE PROMIS TRIAL PILOT: WHAT MARGINS ARE REQUIRED?. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, .	0.4	0
35	MP70-18 PROSTATE RADIOFREQUENCY ABLATION FOCAL TREATMENT (PRORAFT): INTERIM RESULTS OF A PROSPECTIVE DEVELOPMENT STUDY. Journal of Urology, 2017, 197, .	0.4	0
36	MP77-20 PREDICTION OF SIGNIFICANT PROSTATE CANCER IN BIOPSY-NAÃVE MEN: EXTERNAL VALIDATION OF A NOVEL RISK MODEL COMBINING MRI AND CLINICAL PARAMETERS. Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, .	0.4	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	MP14-10 PROSTATE CANCER HETEROGENEITY: TEXTURE ANALYSIS OF MULTIPLE MRI SEQUENCES FOR DETECTION AND SELECTION OF BIOPSY TARGETS. Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, .	0.4	0
38	Re: Quantitation of hypoechoic lesions for the prediction and Gleason grading of prostate cancer: a prospective study. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 803-804.	2.2	0
39	MP30-05 PROSTATE RADIOFREQUENCY ABLATION FOCAL TREATMENT (PRORAFT): RESULTS OF A PROSPECTIVE DEVELOPMENT STUDY FOR LOCALISED PROSTATE CANCER. Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, .	0.4	0
40	MP40-14 IS LOCAL ANAESTHETIC TRANSPERINEAL PROSTATE BIOPSY FEASIBLE AND ACCEPTABLE? A COMPARISON OF PATIENT EXPERIENCE UNDER LOCAL ANESTHETIC (LA) OR SEDATION Journal of Urology, 2018, 199, .	0.4	0
41	High-Intensity-Focused Ultrasound for Prostate Cancer. , 2021, , 197-213.		0
42	Re: Does the Visibility of Grade Group 1 Prostate Cancer on Baseline Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging Impact Clinical Outcomes?. Journal of Urology, 2020, 204, 1065-1066.	0.4	0