

Colin J Rees

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8641471/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

62
papers

2,554
citations

257429

24
h-index

197805

49
g-index

63
all docs

63
docs citations

63
times ranked

2938
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Barriers and facilitators to colonoscopy following fecal immunochemical test screening for colorectal cancer: A key informant interview study. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 1652-1662.	2.2	9
2	Systematic review and meta-analysis: Associations between metabolic syndrome and colorectal neoplasia outcomes. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 681-694.	1.4	5
3	The <sc>COLOâ€œCOHORT</sc> (Colorectal Cancer Cohort) study: Protocol for a multiâ€œcentre, observational research study and development of a consentâ€œforâ€œcontact research platform. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 1216-1226.	1.4	2
4	Trial protocol for COLOâ€œDETECT: A randomized controlled trial of lesion detection comparing colonoscopy assisted by the GI Geniusâ„¢ artificial intelligence endoscopy module with standard colonoscopy. <i>Colorectal Disease</i> , 2022, 24, 1227-1237.	1.4	7
5	Using faecal immunochemical test (FIT) undertaken in a national screening programme for large-scale gut microbiota analysis. <i>Gut</i> , 2021, 70, gutjnl-2020-321594.	12.1	3
6	WASH multicentre randomised controlled trial: water-assisted sigmoidoscopy in English NHS bowel scope screening. <i>Gut</i> , 2021, 70, 845-852.	12.1	5
7	Multicentre prospective study of COVID-19 transmission following outpatient GI endoscopy in the UK. <i>Gut</i> , 2021, 70, 825-828.	12.1	30
8	P79â€œ...The effect of Endocuff/Endocuff Vision on lower GI endoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. , 2021, , .		0
9	Colorectal Cancer Screening and Surveillance for Non-Hereditary High-Risk Groupsâ€œIs It Time for a Re-Think?. <i>Current Treatment Options in Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 19, 48-67.	0.8	3
10	COVID-19 transmission following outpatient endoscopy during pandemic acceleration phase involving SARS-CoV-2 VOC 202012/01 variant in UK. <i>Gut</i> , 2021, 70, 2227-2229.	12.1	15
11	Patient barriers and facilitators of colonoscopy use: A rapid systematic review and thematic synthesis of the qualitative literature. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2021, 145, 106413.	3.4	18
12	Uncovering undiagnosed liver disease: prevalence and opportunity for intervention in a population attending colonoscopy. <i>BMJ Open Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 8, e000638.	2.7	7
13	A risk-stratified approach to colorectal cancer prevention and diagnosis. <i>Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2020, 17, 773-780.	17.8	74
14	COVID-19 as a barrier to attending for gastrointestinal endoscopy: weighing up the risks. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2020, 5, 960-962.	8.1	16
15	Safely restarting GI endoscopy in the era of COVID-19. <i>Gut</i> , 2020, 69, 2063-2070.	12.1	46
16	Sustained colonoscopy quality improvement using a simple intervention bundle. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 285-292.	1.8	10
17	British Society of Gastroenterology/Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland/Public Health England post-polypectomy and post-colorectal cancer resection surveillance guidelines. <i>Gut</i> , 2020, 69, 201-223.	12.1	228
18	Patient experience of gastrointestinal endoscopy: informing the development of the Newcastle ENDOPREMâ„¢. <i>Frontline Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 11, 209-217.	1.8	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	BowelScope: Accuracy of Detection Using Endocuff Optimisation of Mucosal Abnormalities (the) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 11 1959-1965.	12.1	6
20	Restarting gastrointestinal endoscopy in the deceleration and early recovery phases of COVID-19 pandemic: Guidance from the British Society of Gastroenterology. <i>Clinical Medicine</i> , 2020, 20, 352-358.	1.9	54
21	Artificial intelligence “upping the game in gastrointestinal endoscopy?”. <i>Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2019, 16, 584-585.	17.8	21
22	Rapid review of factors associated with flexible sigmoidoscopy screening use. <i>Preventive Medicine</i> , 2019, 120, 8-18.	3.4	2
23	Using specialist screening practitioners (SSPs) to increase uptake of bowel scope (flexible) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50 9, e023801.	1.9	1
24	Factors affecting adenoma detection rate in a national flexible sigmoidoscopy screening programme: a retrospective analysis. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2019, 4, 239-247.	8.1	12
25	Improved adenoma detection with Endocuff Vision: the ADENOMA randomised controlled trial. <i>Gut</i> , 2019, 68, 280-288.	12.1	101
26	Eicosapentaenoic acid and/or aspirin for preventing colorectal adenomas during colonoscopic surveillance in the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme: the seAFOod RCT. <i>Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation</i> , 2019, 6, 1-154.	0.7	7
27	Can technology increase adenoma detection rate?. <i>Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 11, 1756283X1774631.	3.2	13
28	Chromoendoscopy versus autofluorescence imaging for neoplasia detection in patients with longstanding ulcerative colitis (FIND-UC): an international, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2018, 3, 305-316.	8.1	31
29	Impact of a new distal attachment on colonoscopy performance in an academic screening center. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 87, 280-287.	1.0	27
30	Using primary care-based paper and telephone interventions to increase uptake of bowel scope screening in Yorkshire: a protocol of a randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e024616.	1.9	0
31	Eicosapentaenoic acid and aspirin, alone and in combination, for the prevention of colorectal adenomas (seAFOod Polyp Prevention trial): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 2â€”2 factorial trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 392, 2583-2594.	13.7	102
32	Use of Two Self-referral Reminders and a Theory-Based Leaflet to Increase the Uptake of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy in the English Bowel Scope Screening Program: Results From a Randomized Controlled Trial in London. <i>Annals of Behavioral Medicine</i> , 2018, 52, 941-951.	2.9	15
33	Future directions in therapeutic gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2018, 3, 663-664.	8.1	4
34	The B-ADENOMA Study: Bowelscope “Accuracy of Detection using Endocuff Optimisation of Mucosal Abnormalities: Study Protocol for randomised controlled trial. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2018, 06, E872-E877.	1.8	1
35	Managing underperformance in endoscopy: a pragmatic approach. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2018, 88, 737-744.e1.	1.0	18
36	Future directions in diagnostic gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2018, 3, 595-597.	8.1	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Narrow band imaging optical diagnosis of small colorectal polyps in routine clinical practice: the Detect Inspect Characterise Resect and Discard 2 (DISCARD 2) study. <i>Gut</i> , 2017, 66, 887-895.	12.1	171
38	British Society of Gastroenterology position statement on serrated polyps in the colon and rectum. <i>Gut</i> , 2017, 66, 1181-1196.	12.1	250
39	Improving uptake of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening: a randomized trial of nonparticipant reminders in the English Screening Programme. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2017, 49, 35-43.	1.8	20
40	UK key performance indicators and quality assurance standards for colonoscopy. <i>Gut</i> , 2016, 65, 1923-1929.	12.1	253
41	Expert opinions and scientific evidence for colonoscopy key performance indicators. <i>Gut</i> , 2016, 65, 2045-2060.	12.1	71
42	Are there biological differences between screen-detected and interval colorectal cancers in the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme?. <i>British Journal of Cancer</i> , 2016, 115, 261-265.	6.4	4
43	ESGE Survey: worldwide practice patterns amongst gastroenterologists regarding the endoscopic management of Barrett's esophagus. <i>Endoscopy International Open</i> , 2016, 04, E36-E41.	1.8	8
44	European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy "Establishing the key unanswered research questions within gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 884-891.	1.8	14
45	Using Specialist Screening Practitioners (SSPs) to increase uptake of the Bowel Scope (Flexible) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 54.	1.2	4
46	Reporting systems in gastrointestinal endoscopy: Requirements and standards facilitating quality improvement: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy position statement. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2016, 4, 172-176.	3.8	38
47	Uptake of Bowel Scope (Flexible Sigmoidoscopy) Screening in the English National Programme: the first 14 months. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2016, 23, 77-82.	2.3	46
48	Patients' experience of colonoscopy in the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 232-240.	1.8	40
49	Assessment and management of the malignant colorectal polyp. <i>Frontline Gastroenterology</i> , 2015, 6, 117-126.	1.8	16
50	A multicenter pragmatic study of an evidence-based intervention to improve adenoma detection: the Quality Improvement in Colonoscopy (QIC) study. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 217-224.	1.8	42
51	Implementing a national flexible sigmoidoscopy screening program: results of the English early pilot. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 225-231.	1.8	17
52	Patient-derived measures of GI endoscopy: a meta-narrative review of the literature. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 81, 1130-1140.e9.	1.0	38
53	Quality in colonoscopy: European perspectives and practice. <i>Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2014, 8, 29-47.	3.0	20
54	Non-neoplastic findings at colonoscopy after positive faecal occult blood testing: Data from the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. <i>Journal of Medical Screening</i> , 2014, 21, 89-94.	2.3	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Colonoscopic factors associated with adenoma detection in a national colorectal cancer screening program. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 203-211.	1.8	93
56	Quality in gastrointestinal endoscopy. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2014, 46, 526-528.	1.8	38
57	Development and validation of a nurse-assessed patient comfort score for colonoscopy. <i>Gastrointestinal Endoscopy</i> , 2013, 77, 255-261.	1.0	63
58	A randomized controlled trial of eicosapentaenoic acid and/or aspirin for colorectal adenoma prevention during colonoscopic surveillance in the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (The Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT/Overlock 10 Tf 50 237.	1.6	36
59	The National Health Service Bowel Cancer Screening Program: the early years. <i>Expert Review of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</i> , 2013, 7, 421-437.	3.0	31
60	Colonoscopy quality measures: experience from the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. <i>Gut</i> , 2012, 61, 1050-1057.	12.1	290
61	<i>Fusobacterium necrophorum</i> infection associated with portal vein thrombosis. <i>Journal of Medical Microbiology</i> , 2005, 54, 993-995.	1.8	17
62	Postcolonoscopy colorectal cancer: how low can we go?. <i>Frontline Gastroenterology</i> , 0, , flgastro-2022-102136.	1.8	0