Donna Rowen

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

90 papers	3,629 citations	126708 33 h-index	149479 56 g-index
93 all docs	93 docs citations	93 times ranked	3673 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	A review of studies mapping (or cross walking) non-preference based measures of health to generic preference-based measures. European Journal of Health Economics, 2010, 11, 215-225.	1.4	392
2	Use of generic and condition-specific measures of health-related quality of life in NICE decision-making: a systematic review, statistical modelling and survey. Health Technology Assessment, 2014, 18, 1-224.	1.3	276
3	Mapping to Obtain EQ-5D Utility Values for Use in NICE Health Technology Assessments. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 202-210.	0.1	202
4	Mapping SF-36 onto the EQ-5D index: how reliable is the relationship?. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2009, 7, 27.	1.0	144
5	Deriving a Preference-Based Measure for Cancer Using the EORTC QLQ-C30. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 721-731.	0.1	132
6	International Regulations and Recommendations for Utility Data for Health Technology Assessment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 11-19.	1.7	105
7	Review of Valuation Methods of Preference-Based Measures of Health for Economic Evaluation in Child and Adolescent Populations: Where are We Now and Where are We Going?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 325-340.	1.7	86
8	QLU-C10D: a health state classification system for a multi-attribute utility measure based on the EORTC QLQ-C30. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 625-636.	1.5	83
9	A Review of Generic Preference-Based Measures for Use in Cost-Effectiveness Models. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 21-31.	1.7	79
10	Australian Utility Weights for the EORTC QLU-C10D, a Multi-Attribute Utility Instrument Derived from the Cancer-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire, EORTC QLQ-C30. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 225-238.	1.7	77
11	A Comparison of Methods for Converting DCE Values onto the Full Health-Dead QALY Scale. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 328-340.	1.2	76
12	An Exploratory Study to Test the Impact on Three "Bolt-On" Items to the EQ-5D. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 52-60.	0.1	74
13	Estimating Preference-Based Single Index Measures for Dementia Using DEMQOL and DEMQOL-Proxy. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 346-356.	0.1	72
14	Developing a New Version of the SF-6D Health State Classification System From the SF-36v2: SF-6Dv2. Medical Care, 2020, 58, 557-565.	1.1	66
15	An Updated Systematic Review of Studies Mapping (or Cross-Walking) Measures of Health-Related Quality of Life to Generic Preference-Based Measures to Generate Utility Values. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2019, 17, 295-313.	1.0	63
16	Mapping onto Eq-5 D for patients in poor health. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2010, 8, 141.	1.0	61
17	Binary Choice Health State Valuation and Mode of Administration: Head-to-Head Comparison of Online and CAPI. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 104-113.	0.1	61
18	The Role of Condition-Specific Preference-Based Measures in Health Technology Assessment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 33-41.	1.7	61

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Estimating the SF-6D Value Set for a Population-Based Sample of Brazilians. Value in Health, 2011, 14, S108-S114.	0.1	59
20	Using a discrete choice experiment to value the QLU-C10D: feasibility and sensitivity to presentation format. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 637-649.	1.5	58
21	The development of a QALY measure for epilepsy: NEWQOL-6D. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2012, 24, 36-43.	0.9	52
22	Eliciting Societal Preferences for Weighting QALYs for Burden of Illness and End of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 210-222.	1.2	50
23	Preparatory study for the revaluation of the EQ-5D tariff: methodology report. Health Technology Assessment, 2014, 18, vii-xxvi, 1-191.	1.3	47
24	Future Directions in Valuing Benefits for Estimating QALYs: Is Time Up for the EQ-5D?. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 62-68.	0.1	45
25	Comparison of Generic, Condition-Specific, and Mapped Health State Utility Values for Multiple Myeloma Cancer. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 1059-1068.	0.1	42
26	A Portuguese Value Set for the SF-6D. Value in Health, 2010, 13, 624-630.	0.1	41
27	From KIDSCREEN-10 to CHU9D: creating a unique mapping algorithm for application in economic evaluation. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2014, 12, 134.	1.0	40
28	Experience-based utility and own health state valuation for a health state classification system: why and how to do it. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 881-891.	1.4	40
29	Vignette-Based Utilities: Usefulness, Limitations, and Methodological Recommendations. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 812-821.	0.1	39
30	Patient-reported utilities in advanced or metastatic melanoma, including analysis of utilities by time to death. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2014, 12, 140.	1.0	38
31	Improving the Measurement of QALYs in Dementia: Developing Patient- and Carer-Reported Health State Classification Systems Using Rasch Analysis. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 323-333.	0.1	37
32	Developing preference-based health measures: using Rasch analysis to generate health state values. Quality of Life Research, 2010, 19, 907-917.	1.5	36
33	It's All in the Name, or Is It? The Impact of Labeling on Health State Values. Medical Decision Making, 2012, 32, 31-40.	1.2	36
34	Estimating a Preference-Based Index from the Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation–Outcome Measure (CORE-OM). Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 381-395.	1.2	36
35	Mapping Functions in Health-Related Quality of Life. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 912-926.	1.2	35
36	Estimating a Dutch Value Set for the Pediatric Preference-Based CHU9D Using a Discrete Choice Experiment with Duration. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1234-1242.	0.1	35

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Condition-specific or generic preference-based measures in oncology? A comparison of the EORTC-8D and the EQ-5D-3L. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 1163-1176.	1.5	34
38	Comparison of health state utility values derived using time trade-off, rank and discrete choice data anchored on the full health-dead scale. European Journal of Health Economics, 2012, 13, 575-587.	1.4	32
39	Mapping the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General or -Colorectal to SF-6D in Chinese Patients with Colorectal Neoplasm. Value in Health, 2012, 15, 495-503.	0.1	31
40	U.K. utility weights for the <scp>EORTC QLU–C10D</scp>. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2019, 28, 1385-1401.	0.8	30
41	A Review of the Psychometric Performance of Selected Child and Adolescent Preference-Based Measures Used to Produce Utilities for Child and Adolescent Health. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 443-460.	0.1	29
42	Estimating a Preference-Based Index for Mental Health From the Recovering Quality of Life Measure: Valuation of Recovering Quality of Life Utility Index. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 281-290.	0.1	28
43	Comparison of General Population, Patient, and Carer Utility Values for Dementia Health States. Medical Decision Making, 2015, 35, 68-80.	1.2	27
44	Comparison of Modes of Administration and Alternative Formats for Eliciting Societal Preferences for Burden of Illness. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2016, 14, 89-104.	1.0	27
45	Exploring the Consistency of the SF-6D. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 1023-1031.	0.1	26
46	A Review of the Methods Used to Generate Utility Values in NICE Technology Assessments for Children and Adolescents. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 907-917.	0.1	25
47	Development of a New Quality of Life Measure for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Using Mixed Methods. Neurology, 2021, 96, e2438-e2450.	1.5	24
48	Valuing child and adolescent health: a qualitative study on different perspectives and priorities taken by the adult general public. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2021, 19, 222.	1.0	24
49	Comparing Generic and Condition-Specific Preference-Based Measures in Epilepsy: EQ-5D-3L and NEWQOL-6D. Value in Health, 2017, 20, 687-693.	0.1	23
50	Deriving a Preference-Based Measure for Myelofibrosis from the EORTC QLQ-C30 and the MF-SAF. Value in Health, 2015, 18, 846-855.	0.1	21
51	The Use of Mapping to Estimate Health State Utility Values. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 57-66.	1.7	21
52	Valuing states from multiple measures on the same visual analogue sale: a feasibility study. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2012, 21, 715-729.	0.8	19
53	Predicting SF-6D from the European Organization for Treatment and Research of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Scores in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 373-384.	0.1	18
54	Testing mapping algorithms of the cancer-specific EORTC QLQ-C30 onto EQ-5D in malignant mesothelioma. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 6.	1.0	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Using a Discrete-Choice Experiment Involving Cost to Value a Classification System Measuring the Quality-of-Life Impact of Self-Management for Diabetes. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 69-77.	0.1	17
56	Estimating a Preference-Based Single Index Measuring the Quality-of-Life Impact of Self-Management for Diabetes. Medical Decision Making, 2018, 38, 699-707.	1.2	16
57	The impact of adding an extra dimension to a preference-based measure. Social Science and Medicine, 2011, 73, 245-253.	1.8	15
58	Do Portuguese and UK health state values differ across valuation methods?. Quality of Life Research, 2011, 20, 609-619.	1.5	15
59	Valuing the AD-5D Dementia Utility Instrument: An Estimation of a General Population Tariff. Pharmacoeconomics, 2020, 38, 871-881.	1.7	15
60	What is the best approach to adopt for identifying the domains for a new measure of health, social care and carer-related quality of life to measure quality-adjusted life years? Application to the development of the EQ-HWB?. European Journal of Health Economics, 2021, 22, 1067-1081.	1.4	15
61	Developing a dementia-specific preference-Âbased quality of life measure (AD-5D) in Australia: a valuation study protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e018996.	0.8	14
62	Valuations of epilepsy-specific health states: a comparison of patients with epilepsy and the general population. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2014, 36, 12-17.	0.9	13
63	PROM Validation Using Paper-Based or Online Surveys: Data Collection Methods Affect the Sociodemographic and Health Profile of the Sample. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 845-850.	0.1	13
64	Deriving a Preference-Based Measure for People With Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy From the DMD-QoL. Value in Health, 2021, 24, 1499-1510.	0.1	13
65	Examining the Feasibility and Acceptability of Valuing the Arabic Version of SF-6D in a Lebanese Population. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 1037.	1.2	12
66	Producing a preference-based quality of life measure for people with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a mixed-methods study protocol. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e023685.	0.8	11
67	Discrete choice experiments or best-worst scaling? A qualitative study to determine the suitability of preference elicitation tasks in research with children and young people. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2021, 5, 26.	0.9	11
68	Estimating informal care inputs associated with EQ-5D for use in economic evaluation. European Journal of Health Economics, 2016, 17, 733-744.	1.4	10
69	Valuing health-related quality of life in heart failure: a systematic review of methods to derive quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) in trial-based costâ€"utility analyses. Heart Failure Reviews, 2019, 24, 549-563.	1.7	10
70	Does Changing the Age of a Child to be Considered in 3-Level Version of EQ-5D-Y Discrete Choice Experimentâ€"Based Valuation Studies Affect Health Preferences?. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 1196-1204.	0.1	10
71	Common Scale Valuations across Different Preference-Based Measures. Medical Decision Making, 2013, 33, 839-852.	1.2	9
72	Estimating an exchange rate between the EQ-5D-3L and ASCOT. European Journal of Health Economics, 2018, 19, 653-661.	1.4	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	A Systematic Review of the Methodologies and Modelling Approaches Used to Generate International EQ-5D-5L Value Sets. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 863-882.	1.7	8
74	Valuing quality in mental healthcare: A discrete choice experiment eliciting preferences from mental healthcare service users, mental healthcare professionals and the general population. Social Science and Medicine, 2022, 301, 114885.	1.8	7
75	Deriving a preference-based utility measure for cancer patients from the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer's Quality of Life Questionnaire C30: a confirmatory versus exploratory approach. Patient Related Outcome Measures, 2014, 5, 119.	0.7	6
76	Selection and validation of a classification system for a child-centred preference-based measure of oral health-related quality of life specific to dental caries. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2020, 4, 105.	0.9	6
77	Exploring the Issues of Valuing Child and Adolescent Health States Using a Mixed Sample of Adolescents and Adults. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 479-488.	1.7	6
78	Reducing the Floor Effect in the SF-6D: A Feasibility Study. Applied Research in Quality of Life, 2012, 7, 193-208.	1.4	5
79	Predicting Productivity Losses from Health-Related Quality of Life Using Patient Data. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2017, 15, 597-614.	1.0	5
80	Measuring What Matters: Little Evidence Supporting the Content Validity of EQ-5D in People with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy and Their Caregivers. Medical Decision Making, 2022, 42, 139-140.	1.2	5
81	Valuation of preference-based measures: can existing preference data be used to select a smaller sample of health states?. European Journal of Health Economics, 2019, 20, 245-255.	1.4	4
82	Assessment of the psychometric properties and refinement of the Health and Self-Management in Diabetes Questionnaire (HASMID). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2020, 18, 59.	1.0	4
83	What Matters for Evaluating the Quality of Mental Healthcare? Identifying Important Aspects in Qualitative Focus Groups with Service Users and Frontline Mental Health Professionals. Patient, 2022, 15, 669-678.	1.1	4
84	Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Prostate Cancer Prevention: What Impact Do Related Adverse Events Have on Quality of Life?. Health Outcomes Research in Medicine, 2012, 3, e169-e180.	0.6	3
85	Transforming challenges into opportunities: conducting health preference research during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 1191-1198.	1.5	1
86	A Discrete Choice Experiment to Elicit General Population Preferences Around the Factors Influencing the Choice to Make Clinical Negligence Claims. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 1404-1415.	0.1	1
87	Response to Comments on Mulhern et al., â€œImproving the Measurement of QALYs in Dementia: Developing Patient- and Carer-Reported Health State Classification Systems Using Rasch Analysisâ€ Value in Health, 2012, 15, 787-788.	0.1	0
88	Development of a preference-based heart disease-specific health state classification system using MacNew heart disease-related quality of life instrument. Quality of Life Research, 2022, 31, 257-268.	1.5	0
89	Preference-Weighted Health States. , 2014, , 5028-5030.		0
90	Preference-Based Measures of Health-Related Quality of Life. , 2014, , 5026-5028.		0