## Chester Chun Seng Kam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8618723/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01

1040056 839539 21 376 9 18 g-index citations h-index papers 21 21 21 328 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Testing the Nonlinearity Assumption Underlying the Use of Reverse-Keyed Items: A Logical Response Perspective. Assessment, 2023, 30, 1569-1589.	3.1	3
2	Method factor due to the use of reverse-keyed items: Is it simply a response style artifact?. Current Psychology, 2022, 41, 1204-1212.	2.8	2
3	Bifactor Model Is Not the Best-Fitting Model for Self-Esteem: Investigation With a Novel Technique. Assessment, 2021, 28, 1799-1812.	3.1	Ο
4	Why Do People Agree With Both Regular and Reversed Items? A Logical Response Perspective. Assessment, 2021, 28, 1110-1124.	3.1	8
5	Testing the Assumption of Population Homogeneity in the Measurement of Dispositional Optimism: Factor Mixture Modeling Analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2020, 102, 238-249.	2.1	9
6	Factor structure of the attitudes toward cheating scale: An exploratory structural equation modeling analysis. Current Psychology, 2020, 39, 1843-1852.	2.8	0
7	Investigating Response Heterogeneity in the Context of Positively and Negatively Worded Items by Using Factor Mixture Modeling. Organizational Research Methods, 2020, 23, 322-341.	9.1	21
8	Expanded format shows better response consistency than Likert-scale format in the measurement of optimism. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 152, 109606.	2.9	9
9	Careless Responding Threatens Factorial Analytic Results and Construct Validity of Personality Measure. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1258.	2.1	16
10	Examination of the validity of instructed response items in identifying careless respondents. Personality and Individual Differences, 2018, 129, 83-87.	2.9	47
11	Academic dishonesty among Hong Kong secondary school students: application of theory of planned behaviour. Educational Psychology, 2018, 38, 945-963.	2.7	20
12	Why Do We Still Have an Impoverished Understanding of the Item Wording Effect? An Empirical Examination. Sociological Methods and Research, 2018, 47, 574-597.	6.8	16
13	Plagiarism of Chinese Secondary School Students in Hong Kong. Ethics and Behavior, 2018, 28, 316-335.	1.8	7
14	Novel Insights Into Item Keying/Valence Effect Using Latent Difference (LD) Modeling Analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2018, 100, 389-397.	2.1	5
15	Psychometric quality of a student evaluation of teaching survey in higher education. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 2017, 42, 788-800.	5.6	30
16	Trait procrastination, self-efficacy and achievement goals: the mediation role of boredom coping strategies. Educational Psychology, 2017, 37, 854-872.	2.7	9
17	Validation of a Chinese Version of the Psychological Sense of School Membership (C-PSSM): Tests of Measurement Invariance and Latent Mean Differences. Current Psychology, 2016, 35, 83-91.	2.8	2
18	Further Considerations in Using Items With Diverse Content to Measure Acquiescence. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2016, 76, 164-174.	2.4	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	ls the Dark Triad Better Studied Using a Variable- or a Person-Centered Approach? An Exploratory Investigation. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0161628.	2.5	18
20	How Careless Responding and Acquiescence Response Bias Can Influence Construct Dimensionality. Organizational Research Methods, 2015, 18, 512-541.	9.1	112
21	Implications of Item Keying and Item Valence forÂtheÂlnvestigation of Construct Dimensionality. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2015, 50, 457-469.	3.1	34