Chester Chun Seng Kam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8618723/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

1040056 839539 21 376 9 18 g-index citations h-index papers 21 21 21 328 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	How Careless Responding and Acquiescence Response Bias Can Influence Construct Dimensionality. Organizational Research Methods, 2015, 18, 512-541.	9.1	112
2	Examination of the validity of instructed response items in identifying careless respondents. Personality and Individual Differences, 2018, 129, 83-87.	2.9	47
3	Implications of Item Keying and Item Valence forÂtheÂlnvestigation of Construct Dimensionality. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2015, 50, 457-469.	3.1	34
4	Psychometric quality of a student evaluation of teaching survey in higher education. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 2017, 42, 788-800.	5.6	30
5	Investigating Response Heterogeneity in the Context of Positively and Negatively Worded Items by Using Factor Mixture Modeling. Organizational Research Methods, 2020, 23, 322-341.	9.1	21
6	Academic dishonesty among Hong Kong secondary school students: application of theory of planned behaviour. Educational Psychology, 2018, 38, 945-963.	2.7	20
7	Is the Dark Triad Better Studied Using a Variable- or a Person-Centered Approach? An Exploratory Investigation. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0161628.	2.5	18
8	Why Do We Still Have an Impoverished Understanding of the Item Wording Effect? An Empirical Examination. Sociological Methods and Research, 2018, 47, 574-597.	6.8	16
9	Careless Responding Threatens Factorial Analytic Results and Construct Validity of Personality Measure. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 1258.	2.1	16
10	Trait procrastination, self-efficacy and achievement goals: the mediation role of boredom coping strategies. Educational Psychology, 2017, 37, 854-872.	2.7	9
11	Testing the Assumption of Population Homogeneity in the Measurement of Dispositional Optimism: Factor Mixture Modeling Analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2020, 102, 238-249.	2.1	9
12	Expanded format shows better response consistency than Likert-scale format in the measurement of optimism. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 152, 109606.	2.9	9
13	Further Considerations in Using Items With Diverse Content to Measure Acquiescence. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 2016, 76, 164-174.	2.4	8
14	Why Do People Agree With Both Regular and Reversed Items? A Logical Response Perspective. Assessment, 2021, 28, 1110-1124.	3.1	8
15	Plagiarism of Chinese Secondary School Students in Hong Kong. Ethics and Behavior, 2018, 28, 316-335.	1.8	7
16	Novel Insights Into Item Keying/Valence Effect Using Latent Difference (LD) Modeling Analysis. Journal of Personality Assessment, 2018, 100, 389-397.	2.1	5
17	Testing the Nonlinearity Assumption Underlying the Use of Reverse-Keyed Items: A Logical Response Perspective. Assessment, 2023, 30, 1569-1589.	3.1	3
18	Validation of a Chinese Version of the Psychological Sense of School Membership (C-PSSM): Tests of Measurement Invariance and Latent Mean Differences. Current Psychology, 2016, 35, 83-91.	2.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Method factor due to the use of reverse-keyed items: Is it simply a response style artifact?. Current Psychology, 2022, 41, 1204-1212.	2.8	2
20	Factor structure of the attitudes toward cheating scale: An exploratory structural equation modeling analysis. Current Psychology, 2020, 39, 1843-1852.	2.8	0
21	Bifactor Model Is Not the Best-Fitting Model for Self-Esteem: Investigation With a Novel Technique. Assessment, 2021, 28, 1799-1812.	3.1	0