Chris Borgert

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8608674/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Can mode of action predict mixture toxicity for risk assessment?. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2004, 201, 85-96.	2.8	135
2	Endocrine disruption: Fact or urban legend?. Toxicology Letters, 2013, 223, 295-305.	0.8	131
3	Review of the toxicity of chemical mixtures: Theory, policy, and regulatory practice. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2006, 45, 119-143.	2.7	97
4	Evaluation of EPA's Tier 1 Endocrine Screening Battery and recommendations for improving the interpretation of screening results. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2011, 59, 397-411.	2.7	58
5	Hypothesis-driven weight of evidence framework for evaluating data within the US EPA's Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2011, 61, 185-191.	2.7	58
6	A critical review of methods for comparing estrogenic activity of endogenous and exogenous chemicals in human milk and infant formula Environmental Health Perspectives, 2003, 111, 1020-1036.	6.0	51
7	Distinguishing between endocrine disruption and non-specific effects on endocrine systems. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2018, 99, 142-158.	2.7	50
8	The human relevant potency threshold: Reducing uncertainty by human calibration of cumulative risk assessments. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2012, 62, 313-328.	2.7	48
9	Potency matters: Thresholds govern endocrine activity. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2013, 67, 83-88.	2.7	48
10	Evaluating Chemical Interaction Studies for Mixture Risk Assessment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA), 2001, 7, 259-306.	3.4	46
11	Recommended approaches to the scientific evaluation of ecotoxicological hazards and risks of endocrine-active substances. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 2017, 13, 267-279.	2.9	38
12	Relevance Weighting of Tier 1 Endocrine Screening Endpoints by Rank Order. Birth Defects Research Part B: Developmental and Reproductive Toxicology, 2014, 101, 90-113.	1.4	36
13	Information Quality in Regulatory Decision Making: Peer Review versus Good Laboratory Practice. Environmental Health Perspectives, 2012, 120, 927-934.	6.0	33
14	Review and recommendations on criteria to evaluate the relevance of pesticide interaction data for ecological risk assessments. Chemosphere, 2018, 209, 124-136.	8.2	31
15	A critique of the European Commission Document, "State of the Art Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters― Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 2012, 42, 465-473.	3.9	28
16	Synergism, antagonism, or additivity of dietary supplements: Application of theory to case studies. Thrombosis Research, 2005, 117, 123-132.	1.7	20
17	Predicting interactions from mechanistic information: Can omic data validate theories?. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2007, 223, 114-120.	2.8	19
18	Improving Weight of Evidence Approaches to Chemical Evaluations. Risk Analysis, 2015, 35, 186-192.	2.7	19

CHRIS BORGERT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Modernizing problem formulation for risk assessment necessitates articulation of mode of action. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2015, 72, 538-551.	2.7	19
20	Chemical Mixtures: An Unsolvable Riddle?. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA), 2004, 10, 619-629.	3.4	18
21	Human-relevant potency threshold (HRPT) for ERα agonism. Archives of Toxicology, 2018, 92, 1685-1702.	4.2	18
22	Does GLP enhance the quality of toxicological evidence for regulatory decisions?: TABLE 1 Toxicological Sciences, 2016, 151, 206-213.	3.1	17
23	Evaluation of the Inherent Toxicity Concept in Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 2020, 39, 2351-2360.	4.3	17
24	TOPICAL DOSE DELIVERY IN THE REPTILIAN EGG TREATMENT MODEL. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 2007, 26, 914.	4.3	15
25	Analysis of EPA's endocrine screening battery and recommendations for further review. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2015, 72, 552-561.	2.7	14
26	Principles of dose-setting in toxicology studies: the importance of kinetics for ensuring human safety. Archives of Toxicology, 2021, 95, 3651-3664.	4.2	12
27	Assessing Toxicity of Mixtures: The Search for Economical Study Designs. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA), 2002, 8, 305-326.	3.4	11
28	DOSE VERIFICATION AFTER TOPICAL TREATMENT OF ALLIGATOR (ALLIGATOR MISSISSIPPIENSIS) EGGS. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 2007, 26, 908.	4.3	11
29	The regulatory challenge of chemicals in the environment: Toxicity testing, risk assessment, and decision-making models. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2018, 99, 289-295.	2.7	11
30	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity: how to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Archives of Toxicology, 2020, 94, 2549-2557.	4.2	11
31	Conflict of interest or contravention of science?. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2007, 48, 4-5.	2.7	10
32	Hypothesis-driven weight-of-evidence analysis for the endocrine disruption potential of benzene. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2018, 100, 7-15.	2.7	9
33	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health - Part A: Current Issues, 2020, 83, 485.494	2.3	8
34	INTERACTIVE EFFECTS OF p,pâ€2-DICHLORODIPHENYLDICHLOROETHYLENE AND METHOXYCHLOR ON HORMON SYNTHESIS IN LARGEMOUTH BASS OVARIAN CULTURES. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 2004, 23, 1947.	NE 4.3	7
35	Conflict of interest: kill the messenger or follow the data? Conflict of interest. Environmental Science & Technology, 2007, 41, 665-666.	10.0	5
36	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Chemico-Biological Interactions, 2020, 326, 109099.	4.0	5

CHRIS BORGERT

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Toxicology in Vitro, 2020, 67, 104861.	2.4	5
38	Data Disclosure for Chemical Evaluations. Environmental Health Perspectives, 2013, 121, 145-148.	6.0	4
39	Response to Kortenkamp et al. Rebuttal. Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 2012, 42, 790-791.	3.9	3
40	Comment on "Mode of Action (MOA) Assignment Classifications for Ecotoxicology: An Evaluation of Approaches― Environmental Science & Technology, 2017, 51, 13509-13510.	10.0	3
41	A novel approach to calculating the kinetically derived maximum dose. Archives of Toxicology, 2022, 96, 809-816.	4.2	2
42	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Toxicology Letters, 2020, 331, 259-264.	0.8	1
43	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 2020, 78, 103396.	4.0	1
44	Human exposure to synthetic endocrine disrupting chemicals (S-EDCs) is generally negligible as compared to natural compounds with higher or comparable endocrine activity. How to evaluate the risk of the S-EDCs?. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 2020, 142, 111349.	3.6	1
45	Reproductive Toxicology. , 0, , 207-238.		0
46	Are all current ecotoxicity test results confounded by design and implementation issues?. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 2016, 12, 397-398.	2.9	0