Sue M Evans

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

143 papers	3,341 citations	159525 30 h-index	182361 51 g-index
145 all docs	145 docs citations	145 times ranked	5076 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Attitudes of doctors and nurses towards incident reporting: a qualitative analysis. Medical Journal of Australia, 2004, 181, 36-39.	0.8	247
2	Data Linkage: A powerful research tool with potential problems. BMC Health Services Research, 2010, 10, 346.	0.9	166
3	Impact of clinical registries on quality of patient care and clinical outcomes: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0183667.	1.1	149
4	Laparoscopic and robotic-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localised prostate cancer. The Cochrane Library, 2017, 2017, CD009625.	1.5	103
5	Clinicalâ€quality registries: their role in quality improvement. Medical Journal of Australia, 2010, 192, 244-245.	0.8	98
6	Development of a Standardized Set of Patient-centered Outcomes for Advanced Prostate Cancer: An International Effort for a Unified Approach. European Urology, 2015, 68, 891-898.	0.9	91
7	Laparoscopic and robotâ€assisted vs open radical prostatectomy for the treatment of localized prostate cancer: a Cochrane systematic review. BJU International, 2018, 121, 845-853.	1.3	88
8	The current use of active surveillance in an Australian cohort of men: a pattern of care analysis from the <scp>V</scp>ictorian Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2015, 115, 50-56.	1.3	80
9	Evaluation of an intervention aimed at improving voluntary incident reporting in hospitals. Quality and Safety in Health Care, 2007, 16, 169-175.	2.5	79
10	Development of clinicalâ€quality registries in Australia: the way forward. Medical Journal of Australia, 2011, 194, 360-363.	0.8	79
11	Patterns of care for men diagnosed with prostate cancer in Victoria from 2008 to 2011. Medical Journal of Australia, 2013, 198, 540-545.	0.8	74
12	Cross-reactivity study of low molecular weight heparins and heparinoid in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. Thrombosis Research, 1996, 81, 525-532.	0.8	71
13	â€œProstate cancer is far more hiddenâ€¦â€ Perceptions of stigma, social isolation and help-seeking among men with prostate cancer. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2018, 27, e12790.	0.7	69
14	Lung cancer prognostic index: a risk score to predict overall survival after the diagnosis of non-small-cell lung cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 2017, 117, 744-751.	2.9	66
15	Assessing clinical handover between paramedics and the trauma team. Injury, 2010, 41, 460-464.	0.7	61
16	The Prostate Cancer Registry: monitoring patterns and quality of care for men diagnosed with prostate cancer. BJU International, 2013, 111, E158-66.	1.3	61
17	Measuring the quality of hospital care: an inventory of indicators. Internal Medicine Journal, 2009, 39, 352-360.	0.5	60
18	A review of hospital characteristics associated with improved performance. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2012, 24, 483-494.	0.9	58

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The Victorian Lung Cancer Registry Pilot: Improving the Quality of Lung Cancer Care Through the Use of a Disease Quality Registry. Lung, 2014, 192, 749-758.	1.4	42
20	Prioritizing quality indicator development across the healthcare system: identifying what to measure. Internal Medicine Journal, 2009, 39, 648-654.	0.5	41
21	Gleason group concordance between biopsy and radical prostatectomy specimens: A cohort study from Prostate Cancer Outcome Registry â€" Victoria. Prostate International, 2016, 4, 145-151.	1.2	41
22	The state of <scp>TRUS</scp> biopsy sepsis: readmissions to Victorian hospitals with <scp>TRUS</scp> biopsyâ€related infection over 5 years. BJU International, 2015, 116, 49-53.	1.3	40
23	Development and validation of reporting guidelines for studies involving data linkage. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2011, 35, 486-489.	0.8	39
24	Positive surgical margins: rate, contributing factors and impact on further treatment: findings from the Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2014, 114, 680-690.	1.3	39
25	Timeliness of lung cancer care in Victoria: a retrospective cohort study. Medical Journal of Australia, 2016, 204, 75-75.	0.8	39
26	Consumer perceptions of safety in hospitals. BMC Public Health, 2006, 6, 41.	1.2	37
27	The open blast pelvis. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery: British Volume, 2012, 94-B, 829-835.	3.4	37
28	Clinical handover in the trauma setting: a qualitative study of paramedics and trauma team members. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2010, 19, e57-e57.	1.8	36
29	Cohort profile: the TrueNTH Global Registry - an international registry to monitor and improve localised prostate cancer health outcomes. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017006.	0.8	35
30	Randomized controlled trial of alendronate in airways disease and low bone mineral density. Chronic Respiratory Disease, 2004, 1, 131-137.	1.0	32
31	Randomized clinical trial of threeâ€layer tubular bandaging system for venous leg ulcers. Wound Repair and Regeneration, 2012, 20, 822-829.	1.5	32
32	Quality of care achievements of the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Registryâ€"Victoria. Medical Journal of Australia, 2016, 204, 319-319.	0.8	31
33	Development of an International Prostate Cancer Outcomes Registry. BJU International, 2016, 117, 60-67.	1.3	31
34	Examining communication and team performance during clinical handover in a complex environment: the private sector postâ€anaesthetic care unit. Medical Journal of Australia, 2009, 190, S157-60.	0.8	30
35	Dynamic Thromboembolic Risk Modelling to Target Appropriate Preventative Strategies for Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Cancers, 2019, 11, 50.	1.7	30
36	Comparison of oncological and healthâ€related quality of life outcomes between open and robotâ€assisted radical prostatectomy for localisedÂprostate cancer â€" findings from the populationâ€based Victorian Prostate Cancer Registry. BJU International, 2016, 118, 563-569.	1.3	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Biopsychosocial factors associated with non-recovery after a minor transport-related injury: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0198352.	1.1	28
38	The Unrecognized Psychosocial Factors Contributing to Bleeding Risk in Warfarin Therapy. Stroke, 2011, 42, 2866-2871.	1.0	27
39	The Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Registry (UGICR): a clinical quality registry to monitor and improve care in upper gastrointestinal cancers. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031434.	0.8	27
40	Decline in cancer pathology notifications during the 2020 COVID‐19‐related restrictions in Victoria. Medical Journal of Australia, 2021, 214, 281-283.	0.8	27
41	A familial platelet function disorder associated with abnormal signalling through the glycoprotein VI pathway. British Journal of Haematology, 2007, 137, 569-577.	1.2	26
42	Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review. Hpb, 2020, 22, 187-203.	0.1	26
43	Minimising harm from heatwaves: a survey of awareness, knowledge, and practices of health professionals and care providers in Victoria, Australia. International Journal of Public Health, 2012, 57, 297-304.	1.0	25
44	Pressure injury identification, measurement, coding, and reporting: Key challenges and opportunities. International Wound Journal, 2018, 15, 417-423.	1.3	25
45	Cross-sectional study of characteristics of clinical registries in Australia: a resource for clinicians and policy makers. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2018, 30, 192-199.	0.9	23
46	Impact of clinical registries on quality of patient care and health outcomes: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e010654.	0.8	22
47	Exploring patient‐reported outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention: A qualitative study. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 457-465.	1.1	22
48	Active surveillance of men with low risk prostate cancer: evidence from the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Registry‐Victoria. Medical Journal of Australia, 2018, 208, 439-443.	0.8	22
49	Who is responsible for the care of patients treated with warfarin therapy?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2009, 190, 674-677.	0.8	21
50	A retrospective analysis of Victorian and South Australian clinical registries for prostate cancer: trends in clinical presentation and management of the disease. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 607.	1.1	21
51	The Influence of Comorbidity and the Simplified Comorbidity Score on Overall Survival in Non‐Small Cell Lung Cancer‐A Prospective Cohort Study. Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 2016, 11, 748-757.	0.5	20
52	Monitoring quality of care for patients with pancreatic cancer: a modified Delphi consensus. Hpb, 2019, 21, 444-455.	0.1	20
53	Trends in Conservative Management for Low-risk Prostate Cancer in a Population-based Cohort of Australian Men Diagnosed Between 2009 and 2016. European Urology Oncology, 2021, 4, 319-322.	2.6	20
54	Inter-professional clinical handover in post-anaesthetic care units: tools to improve quality and safety. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2016, 28, 573-579.	0.9	19

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Tools for predicting patient-reported outcomes in prostate cancer patients undergoing radical prostatectomy: a systematic review of prognostic accuracy and validity. Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases, 2017, 20, 378-388.	2.0	19
56	Clinical quality registries for clinician–level reporting: strengths and limitations. Medical Journal of Australia, 2017, 206, 427-429.	0.8	18
57	Large institutional variations in use of androgen deprivation therapy with definitive radiotherapy in a population–based cohort of men with intermediate–and high–risk prostate cancer. BJU International, 2017, 120, 35-42.	1.3	17
58	Development of Indicators to Assess Quality of Care for Prostate Cancer. European Urology Focus, 2018, 4, 57-63.	1.6	17
59	Forecasting annual incidence and mortality rate for prostate cancer in Australia until 2022 using autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e031331.	0.8	17
60	Diagnostic and treatment factors associated with poor survival from prostate cancer are differentially distributed between regional and metropolitan Victoria, Australia. BMC Urology, 2016, 16, 54.	0.6	16
61	Optimum Tools for Predicting Clinical Outcomes in Prostate Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review of Prognostic Accuracy and Validity. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2017, 15, e827-e834.	0.9	16
62	Characteristics and Quality of National Cardiac Registries: A Systematic Review. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2021, 14, e007963.	0.9	16
63	Validation of the Indonesian version of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: A Rasch analysis. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0215128.	1.1	15
64	Barriers and enablers to the implementation of multidisciplinary team meetings: a qualitative study using the theoretical domains framework. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2021, 30, 792-803.	1.8	15
65	Prognostic models based on administrative data alone inadequately predict the survival outcomes for critically ill patients at 180 days post–hospital discharge. Journal of Critical Care, 2012, 27, 422.e11-422.e21.	1.0	14
66	Predictors and rate of adjuvant radiation therapy following radical prostatectomy: A report from the <scp>P</scp>rostate <scp>C</scp>ancer <scp>R</scp>egistry. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 2016, 60, 247-254.	0.9	14
67	Men's perceptions of prostate cancer diagnosis and care: insights from qualitative interviews in Victoria, Australia. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 704.	1.1	14
68	Anti-PF4-heparin immunoglobulin G is the major class of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia antibody: findings of an enzyme-linked immunofiltration assay using membrane-bound hPF4-heparin. British Journal of Haematology, 2001, 112, 69-75.	1.2	13
69	Two distinct subgroups of tirofiban-induced thrombocytopenia exist due to drug dependent antibodies that cause platelet activation and increased ischaemic events. Platelets, 2005, 16, 462-468.	1.1	13
70	An appraisal of analytical tools used in predicting clinical outcomes following radiation therapy treatment of men with prostate cancer: a systematic review. Radiation Oncology, 2017, 12, 56.	1.2	13
71	Patterns of care and outcomes for men diagnosed with prostate cancer in Victoria: an update. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2018, 88, 1037-1042.	0.3	13
72	Under–utilisation of high–dose–rate brachytherapy boost in men with intermediate–high risk prostate cancer treated with external beam radiotherapy. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 2018, 62, 256-261.	0.9	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Development of quality indicators to monitor radiotherapy care for men with prostate cancer: A modified Delphi method. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2018, 128, 308-314.	0.3	12
74	Protocol for a national prevalence study of advance care planning documentation and self-reported uptake in Australia. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e018024.	0.8	12
75	Do patients have a say? A narrative review of the development of patient-reported outcome measures used in elective procedures for coronary revascularisation. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 1369-1380.	1.5	11
76	Quality Indicators for Global Benchmarking of Localized Prostate Cancer Management. Journal of Urology, 2018, 200, 319-326.	0.2	11
77	Patient-Reported Outcomes After Radiation Therapy in Men With Prostate Cancer: AÂSystematic Review of Prognostic Tool Accuracy and Validity. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2017, 98, 318-337.	0.4	10
78	Pilot for the Australian Breast Device Registry (ABDR): a national opt-out clinical quality registry for breast device surgery. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e017778.	0.8	10
79	Clinical registries: the urgent need to address ethical hurdles. Medical Journal of Australia, 2013, 198, 134-135.	0.8	9
80	Towards a strategy for clinical quality registries in Australia. Australian Health Review, 2019, 43, 284.	0.5	9
81	Predictors of realâ€world utilisation of docetaxel combined with androgen deprivation therapy in metastatic hormoneâ€sensitive prostate cancer. Internal Medicine Journal, 2022, 52, 1339-1346.	0.5	9
82	Prostate care and prostate cancer from the perspectives of undiagnosed men: a systematic review of qualitative research. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e022842.	0.8	8
83	Geospatial and temporal variation of prostate cancer incidence. Public Health, 2021, 190, 7-15.	1.4	8
84	Protocol for a pilot randomised controlled clinical trial to compare the effectiveness of a graduated three layer straight tubular bandaging system when compared to a standard short stretch compression bandaging system in the management of people with venous ulceration: 3VSS2008. Trials, 2010, 11, 26.	0.7	7
85	Enough is enough â€¦ a call to action to improve ethical and governance review processes in Australia. Internal Medicine Journal, 2016, 46, 1362-1364.	0.5	7
86	Extent and predictors of grade upgrading and downgrading in an Australian cohort according to the new prostate cancer grade groupings. Asian Journal of Urology, 2019, 6, 321-329.	0.5	7
87	Pressure injury data in Australian acute care settings: A comparison of three data sets. International Wound Journal, 2020, 17, 578-586.	1.3	7
88	Establishing a global quality of care benchmark report. Health Informatics Journal, 2021, 27, 146045822110157.	1.1	7
89	Validity of the indicator â€˜death in lowâ€mortality diagnosisâ€related groupsâ€™ for measuring patient safety and healthcare quality in hospitals. Internal Medicine Journal, 2010, 40, 250-257.	0.5	6
90	Comparison of Patient-Reported Quality-of-Life and Complications in Men With Prostate Cancer, Between Two Modes of Administration. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2016, 14, 284-289.	0.9	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Prostate cancer outcomes for men who present with symptoms at diagnosis. BJU International, 2017, 119, 862-871.	1.3	6
92	Biopsychosocial barriers affecting recovery after a minor transport–related injury: A qualitative study from Victoria. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 1003-1012.	1.1	6
93	Prostate cancer awareness, case-finding, and early diagnosis: Interviews with undiagnosed men in Australia. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0211539.	1.1	6
94	A randomised controlled trial comparing completeness of responses of three methods of collecting patient-reported outcome measures in men diagnosed with prostate cancer. Quality of Life Research, 2019, 28, 687-694.	1.5	6
95	(Dis)concordance of comorbidity data and cancer status across administrative datasets, medical charts, and self-reports. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 858.	0.9	6
96	Prospective evaluation of patient–reported quality of life outcomes after external beam radiation treatment for prostate cancer in Victoria: A cohort study by the Victorian Prostate Cancer Registry. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 2016, 60, 420-427.	0.9	5
97	Tools for Predicting Clinical and Patient-reported Outcomes in Prostate Cancer Patients Undergoing Androgen Deprivation Therapy: A Systematic Review of Prognostic Accuracy and Validity. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2017, 15, 629-634.e8.	0.9	5
98	Symptoms and feelings valued by patients after a percutaneous coronary intervention: a discrete-choice experiment to inform development of a new patient-reported outcome. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e023141.	0.8	5
99	Impact of comorbidity on health outcome after a transport-related injury. Injury Prevention, 2020, 26, 254-261.	1.2	5
100	Neuroendocrine cells in prostate cancer correlate with poor outcomes: A systematic review and meta–analysis.. BJU International, 2021, , .	1.3	5
101	Large variation in conservative management of low–risk prostate cancer in Australia and New Zealand. BJU International, 2022, 130, 17-19.	1.3	5
102	Comparison of urinary and sexual patient-reported outcomes between open radical prostatectomy and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a propensity score matched, population-based study in Victoria. BMC Urology, 2022, 22, 18.	0.6	5
103	Enhanced ethanol production in multiple batch fermentations with an auto-flocculating yeast strain. Bioresource Technology, 1985, 7, 261-278.	0.3	4
104	Improving venous ulcer healing: designing and reporting randomised controlled trials. International Wound Journal, 2010, 7, 41-47.	1.3	4
105	The association between personality disorder and an act of deliberate self harm in the older person. International Psychogeriatrics, 2011, 23, 299-307.	0.6	4
106	Need for a roadmap for development of a coordinated national registry programme. Internal Medicine Journal, 2015, 45, 1189-1192.	0.5	4
107	Development of South Australian-Victorian Prostate Cancer Health Outcomes Research Dataset. BMC Research Notes, 2016, 9, 37.	0.6	4
108	Study protocol of an equivalence randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of three different approaches to collecting Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) data using the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Registry-Victoria (PCOR-VIC). BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 75.	0.9	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Risks of using medical record and administrative data for prognostic models. Medical Journal of Australia, 2017, 207, 126-126.	0.8	4
110	Clinical quality registries for clinician–level reporting: strengths and limitations. Medical Journal of Australia, 2018, 208, 323-323.	0.8	4
111	Measuring psychosocial outcomes of men living with prostate cancer: feasibility of regular assessment of patient–reported outcomes. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2021, 30, e13393.	0.7	4
112	Opportunities and barriers for the use of Australian cancer registries as platforms for randomized clinical trials. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2022, 18, 344-352.	0.7	4
113	Do Rehabilitation and Intermediate Care Services Fail Patients with Primary Lower Limb Amputation?. Physiotherapy, 2003, 89, 30-38.	0.2	3
114	Population-based study of grade progression in patients who harboured Gleason 3Â+Â3. World Journal of Urology, 2017, 35, 1689-1699.	1.2	3
115	Biopsychosocial factors associated with non-recovery after a minor transport-related injury: protocol for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016314.	0.8	3
116	System complexities affecting recovery after a minor transport-related injury: The need for a person-centred approach. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 2019, 51, 120-126.	0.8	3
117	The association between quality care and outcomes for a real-world population of Australian patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer. Hpb, 2022, 24, 950-962.	0.1	3
118	A qualitative investigation of the supportive care experiences of people living with pancreatic and oesophagogastric cancer. BMC Health Services Research, 2022, 22, 213.	0.9	3
119	Osteoporosis screening in people with airways disease. Chronic Respiratory Disease, 2005, 2, 5-12.	1.0	2
120	Application of Variable Life Adjusted Displays (VLAD) on Victorian Admitted Episodes Dataset (VAED). BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 278.	0.9	2
121	In Regard to Yang etÂal. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2018, 100, 1294-1295.	0.4	2
122	Australian validation of the Cancer of the Prostate Risk Assessment Post–Surgical score to predict biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2018, 88, E183-E188.	0.3	2
123	Potential solutions to improve the governance of multicentre health services research. Medical Journal of Australia, 2018, 209, 187.	0.8	2
124	What matters most to patients following percutaneous coronary interventions? A new patient-reported outcome measure developed using Rasch analysis. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0222185.	1.1	2
125	Development of Technologic Solutions to Address Complex Local Requirements of an International Prostate Cancer Clinical Quality Registry. JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics, 2019, 3, 1-11.	1.0	2
126	Barriers and enablers to the implementation of protocol-based imaging in pancreatic cancer: A qualitative study using the theoretical domains framework. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0243312.	1.1	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Mapping disadvantage: identifying inequities in functional outcomes for prostate cancer survivors based on geography. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, 283.	1.1	2
128	Warfarin versus aspirin for stroke prevention (BAFTA). Lancet, The, 2007, 370, 1606.	6.3	1
129	Designing incentives for good€quality hospital care. Medical Journal of Australia, 2012, 197, 554-554.	0.8	1
130	Interpolation to define clinical tumor stage in prostate cancer using clinical description of digital rectal examination. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018, 14, e412-e419.	0.7	1
131	Development of a percutaneous coronary intervention patient level composite measure for a clinical quality registry. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 44.	0.9	1
132	Monitoring Quality of Care in Men Diagnosed with Prostate Cancer: Developing Consensus Quality Indicators Using Modified-Delphi Methodology. Journal of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, 2015, 1, .	0.2	1
133	Self€reported lack of energy or feeling depressed 12Âmonths after treatment in men diagnosed with prostate cancer within a population€based registry. Psycho-Oncology, 2021, , .	1.0	1
134	Establishing metastatic prostate cancer quality indicators using a modified Delphi approach. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer, 2022, , .	0.9	1
135	Prognostic models to predict survival in patients with pancreatic cancer: a systematic review. Hpb, 2022, , .	0.1	1
136	Codesigning a patient support portal with health professionals and men with prostate cancer: An action research study. Health Expectations, 2022, , .	1.1	1
137	Systematic review of the predictors of health service use in pancreatic cancer.. American Journal of Cancer Research, 2022, 12, 622-650.	1.4	1
138	Who is responsible for the care of patients treated with warfarin therapy?. Medical Journal of Australia, 2009, 191, 575-577.	0.8	0
139	Emerging Evidence of a Clinical Quality Registry as a Driver of Improvement in Lung Cancer Outcomes. Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 2014, 9, e28.	0.5	0
140	Androgen deprivation therapy use with post€prostatectomy radiotherapy in the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Registry Victoria. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 2019, 63, 124-130.	0.9	0
141	Quality of Care Indicators in Pancreatic Cancer. , 2021, , 79-93.		0
142	Perception of safety climate among Indonesian nurses: A cross-sectional survey. Journal of Public Health Research, 2021, 10, .	0.5	0
143	Impact of delay from transperineal biopsy to radical prostatectomy upon objective measures of cancer control. Asian Journal of Urology, 2021, 9, 170-176.	0.5	0