
Karl Friedrich Kowalewski

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8584868/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
1	Laparoscopic Versus Open Pancreaticoduodenectomy. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 271, 54-66.	2.1	195
2	Machine Learning for Surgical Phase Recognition. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 273, 684-693.	2.1	135
3	Learning Curves of Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy in Bariatric Surgery: a Systematic Review and Introduction of a Standardization. Obesity Surgery, 2020, 30, 640-656.	1.1	61
4	Validation of the mobile serious game application Touch Surgeryâ"¢ for cognitive training and assessment of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 4058-4066.	1.3	59
5	Development and validation of a sensor- and expert model-based training system for laparoscopic surgery: the iSurgeon. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 2155-2165.	1.3	56
6	Systematic reviews in surgery—recommendations from the Study Center of the German Society of Surgery. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2021, 406, 1723-1731.	0.8	51
7	Skills in minimally invasive and open surgery show limited transferability to robotic surgery: results from a prospective study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1656-1667.	1.3	49
8	Halsted's "See One, Do One, and Teach One―versus Peyton's Four-Step Approach: A Randomized Tr for Training of Laparoscopic Suturing and Knot Tying. Journal of Surgical Education, 2018, 75, 510-515.	ial 1.2	45
9	LapTrain: multi-modality training curriculum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy—results of a randomized controlled trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 3830-3838.	1.3	44
10	Functional outcomes after laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted rectal resection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 81-95.	1.3	43
11	Sensor-based machine learning for workflow detection and as key to detect expert level in laparoscopic suturing and knot-tying. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 3732-3740.	1.3	41
12	Radiomics in Renal Cell Carcinoma—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers, 2021, 13, 1348.	1.7	38
13	Sequential learning of psychomotor and visuospatial skills for laparoscopic suturing and knot tying—a randomized controlled trial "The Shoebox Study―DRKS00008668. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2016, 401, 893-901.	0.8	31
14	Holmium Versus Thulium Laser Enucleation of the Prostate: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. European Urology Focus, 2022, 8, 545-554.	1.6	30
15	The comprehensive complication index (CCI): proposal of a new reporting standard for complications in major urological surgery. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1631-1639.	1.2	28
16	The Heidelberg VR Score: development and validation of a composite score for laparoscopic virtual reality training. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 2093-2103.	1.3	23
17	Face validity of the pulsatile organ perfusion trainer for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 714-722.	1.3	21
18	One or two trainees per workplace for laparoscopic surgery training courses: results from a randomized controlled trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 1523-1531.	1.3	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Impact of visual–spatial ability on laparoscopic camera navigation training. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1174-1183.	1.3	19
20	Frailty predicts outcome of partial nephrectomy and guides treatment decision towards active surveillance and tumor ablation. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 2843-2851.	1.2	19
21	App-based serious gaming for training of chest tube insertion: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2017, 18, 56.	0.7	18
22	Robotic-assisted cholecystectomy is superior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the initial training for surgical novices in an ex vivo porcine model: a randomized crossover study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 1064-1079.	1.3	18
23	Spectral organ fingerprints for machine learning-based intraoperative tissue classification with hyperspectral imaging in a porcine model. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, .	1.6	17
24	Does rating with a checklist improve the effect of E-learning for cognitive and practical skills in bariatric surgery? A rater-blinded, randomized-controlled trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2019, 33, 1532-1543.	1.3	16
25	Partial nephrectomy preserves renal function without increasing the risk of complications compared with radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinomas of stages pT2–3a. International Journal of Urology, 2020, 27, 906-913.	0.5	14
26	Sequential learning of psychomotor and visuospatial skills for laparoscopic suturing and knot tying – study protocol for a randomized controlled trial "The shoebox study― Trials, 2016, 17, 14.	0.7	13
27	Self-directed training with e-learning using the first-person perspective for laparoscopic suturing and knot tying: a randomised controlled trial. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2020, 34, 869-879.	1.3	13
28	Prophylactic abdominal or retroperitoneal drain placement in major uro-oncological surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies on radical prostatectomy, cystectomy and partial nephrectomy. World Journal of Urology, 2020, 38, 1905-1917.	1.2	13
29	Treatment decision satisfaction and regret after focal HIFU for localized prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 1121-1129.	1.2	13
30	Robot-Assisted Simple Prostatectomy <i>vs</i> Endoscopic Enucleation of the Prostate: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Comparative Trials. Journal of Endourology, 2022, 36, 1018-1028.	1.1	13
31	Robotic-Assisted Versus Conventional Open Partial Nephrectomy (Robocop): A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of 249 Patients. Urologia Internationalis, 2021, 105, 490-498.	0.6	10
32	Does rating the operation videos with a checklist score improve the effect of E-learning for bariatric surgical training? Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2017, 18, 134.	0.7	9
33	The Influence of Obesity on Treatment and Outcome of Severely Burned Patients. Journal of Burn Care and Research, 2019, 40, 996-1008.	0.2	9
34	A Systematic Review of Learning Curves in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Procedures. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 2020, 85, 324-331.	0.5	9
35	Interrupted versus Continuous Suturing for Vesicourethral Anastomosis During Radical Prostatectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. European Urology Focus, 2019, 5, 980-991.	1.6	8
36	Development and validity evidence of an objective structured assessment of technical skills score for minimally invasive linear-stapled, hand-sewn intestinal anastomoses: the A-OSATS score. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 4529-4541.	1.3	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Telestration with augmented reality for visual presentation of intraoperative target structures in minimally invasive surgery: a randomized controlled study. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 7453-7461.	1.3	8
38	Baseline Modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS) Predicts Radiologic Response and Overall Survival in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer Treated With Docetaxel Chemotherapy. Anticancer Research, 2022, 42, 1911-1918.	0.5	8
39	Learning from the surgeon's real perspective - First-person view versus laparoscopic view in e-learning for training of surgical skills? Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2017, 3, 7-13.	0.5	7
40	The Comprehensive Complication Index for Advanced Monitoring of Complications Following Endoscopic Surgery of the Lower Urinary Tract. Journal of Endourology, 2021, 35, 490-496.	1.1	7
41	Peritoneal flap for lymphocele prophylaxis following robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy with pelvic lymph node dissection: study protocol and trial update for the randomized controlled PELYCAN study. Trials, 2021, 22, 236.	0.7	7
42	Impact of perioperative blood transfusions on oncologic outcomes after radical cystectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. Surgical Oncology, 2021, 38, 101592.	0.8	7
43	Randomized controlled trial of robotic-assisted versus conventional laparoscopic fundoplication: 12Âyears follow-up. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2022, 36, 5627-5634.	1.3	7
44	A systematic review and meta-analysis of 30-day readmission rates following burns. Burns, 2020, 46, 1013-1020.	1.1	5
45	Triggers and oncologic outcome of salvage radical prostatectomy, salvage radiotherapy and active surveillance after focal therapy of prostate cancer. World Journal of Urology, 2021, 39, 3747-3754.	1.2	5
46	A comprehensive molecular characterization of the 8q22.2 region reveals the prognostic relevance of OSR2 mRNA in muscle invasive bladder cancer. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0248342.	1.1	4
47	Single―vs multipleâ€layer wound closure for flank incisions: results of a prospective, randomised, doubleâ€blinded multicentre study. BJU International, 2021, 127, 64-70.	1.3	3
48	Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial on a multimodal training curriculum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy - LapTrain. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2017, 5, 11-14.	0.5	2
49	Surgical Performance Is Not Negatively Impacted by Wearing a Commercial Full-Face Mask with Ad Hoc 3D-Printed Filter Connection as a Substitute for Personal Protective Equipment during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Controlled Cross-Over Trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 550.	1.0	2
50	Interrupted versus continuous suturing for vesicourethral anastomosis during radical prostatectomy: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e019823.	0.8	2
51	New Evidence and Innovative Approaches to Blinding in Robot-assisted Radical Cystectomy. European Urology, 2022, 81, 615-615.	0.9	2
52	Value of Radiomics of Perinephric Fat for Prediction of Intraoperative Complexity in Renal Tumor Surgery. Urologia Internationalis, 2022, 106, 604-615.	0.6	2
53	ROBOCOP II (ROBOtic assisted versus conventional open partial nephrectomy) randomised, controlled feasibility trial: clinical trial protocol. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e052087.	0.8	1
54	Citation classics in general medical journals: assessing the quality of evidence; a systematic review. Gastroenterology and Hepatology From Bed To Bench, 2020, 13, 101-114.	0.6	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Pancreatic surgery with or without drainage: propensity score-matched study. British Journal of Surgery, 2022, 109, 739-745.	0.1	1
56	Comorbidity Scores and Machine Learning Methods Can Improve Risk Assessment in Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer. Bladder Cancer, 2022, 8, 155-163.	0.2	1
57	780 A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 30-day Readmission Rates Following Burns. Journal of Burn Care and Research, 2020, 41, S224-S224.	0.2	Ο
58	Re: Editorial Comment from Dr Bertolo <i>etÂal</i> . to Partial nephrectomy preserves renal function without increasing the risk of complications compared with radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinomas of stages pT2–3a. International Journal of Urology, 2021, 28, 133-133.	0.5	0
59	Pilot evaluation of an objective structured assessment of technical skills tool for chest tube insertion. GMS Journal for Medical Education, 2018, 35, Doc48.	0.1	Ο
60	Comment on: "Predictive factors for opioid-free management after robotic radical prostatectomy: the value of a single-port robotic platform". Minerva Urology and Nephrology, 2021, 73, 677-679.	1.3	0