
Jacopo Desiderio

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8561779/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Open versus laparoscopic versus robotic gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumour resections: A multicentre cohort study. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2021, 17, e2198.	1.2	8
2	Modified ypTNM Staging Classification for Gastric Cancer after Neoadjuvant Therapy: A Multi-Institutional Study. Oncologist, 2021, 26, e99-e110.	1.9	11
3	Gastrectomy for stage IV gastric cancer: a comparison of different treatment strategies from the SEER database. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 7150.	1.6	12
4	Enhanced recovery after surgery for gastric cancer (ERAS-GC): optimizing patient outcome. Translational Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 5, 11-11.	1.5	19
5	Multicenter Validation Study of the American Joint Commission on Cancer (8th Edition) for Gastric Cancer: Proposal for a Simplified and Improved TNM Staging System. Journal of Cancer, 2020, 11, 3483-3491.	1.2	10
6	Feasibility of robotic resection of gastrointestinal stromal tumors along the entire gastrointestinal tract. Updates in Surgery, 2019, 71, 695-700.	0.9	6
7	Postoperative dynamic survival of gastric cancer patients: A multiâ€institutional, international analysis of 22 265 patients. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 120, 685-697.	0.8	0
8	Risk factors of lymph node metastasis or lymphovascular invasion for early gastric cancer: a practical and effective predictive model based on international multicenter data. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 1048.	1.1	13
9	Difference in the short-term outcomes of laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma between the east and west: a retrospective study from the IMIGASTRIC trial. Journal of Cancer, 2019, 10, 4106-4113.	1.2	5
10	Development and external validation of a nomogram for predicting the conditional probability of survival after D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer: A multicentre study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1934-1942.	0.5	11
11	Does Intra-Abdominal Infection after Curative Gastrectomy Affect Patients' Long-Term Prognosis? A Multi-Center Study Based on a Large Sample Size. Surgical Infections, 2019, 20, 271-277.	0.7	8
12	Indications for adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with AJCC stage IIa T3N0M0 and T1N2M0 gastric cancer—an east and west multicenter study. BMC Gastroenterology, 2019, 19, 205.	0.8	6
13	Enhanced Recovery after Surgery for Gastric Cancer Patients Improves Clinical Outcomes at a US Cancer Center. Journal of Gastric Cancer, 2018, 18, 230.	0.9	24
14	Development and External Validation of a Simplified Nomogram Predicting Individual Survival After RO Resection for Gastric Cancer: An International, Multicenter Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2383-2390.	0.7	27
15	The 30-year experience—A meta-analysis of randomised and high-quality non-randomised studies of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapyÂin the treatment of gastric cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 79, 1-14.	1.3	157
16	Robotic right hemicolectomy: Analysis of 108 consecutive procedures and multidimensional assessment of the learning curve. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 28-36.	0.8	61
17	Rationale and design of the Early Sleeve gastrectomy In New Onset Diabetic Obese Patients (ESINODOP) trial. Endocrine, 2017, 55, 748-753.	1.1	1
18	Minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: A comparison between robotic, laparoscopic and open surgery. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 23, 2376.	1.4	69

JACOPO DESIDERIO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	New totally intracorporeal reconstructive approach after robotic total gastrectomy: Technical details and short-term outcomes. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 23, 4293.	1.4	5
20	Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage: our experience and review of the literature. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2016, 2, 83-87.	0.3	5
21	Robotic double-loop reconstruction method following total gastrectomy. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, E55-E56.	1.0	0
22	Analysis of long-term results after liver surgery for metastases from colorectal and non-colorectal tumors: A retrospective cohort study. International Journal of Surgery, 2016, 30, 25-30.	1.1	11
23	Robotic Total Gastrectomy With Intracorporeal Robot-Sewn Anastomosis. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e1922.	0.4	14
24	Autoimmune pancreatitis: a case of difficult diagnosis. Przeglad Gastroenterologiczny, 2015, 1, 51-53.	0.3	2
25	Robotic, laparoscopic and open surgery for gastric cancer compared on surgical, clinical and oncological outcomes: a multi-institutional chart review. A study protocol of the International study group on Minimally Invasive surgery for GASTRIc Cancer—IMIGASTRIC. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008198.	0.8	23
26	Establishing a multi-institutional registry to compare the outcomes of robotic, laparoscopic, and open surgery for gastric cancer. Surgery, 2015, 157, 830-831.	1.0	9
27	Current status of minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: AÂliterature review to highlight studies limits. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 17, 34-40.	1.1	23
28	Road Accident due to a Pancreatic Insulinoma. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e537.	0.4	5
29	Laparoscopic Peritoneal Lavage. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e334.	0.4	60
30	Robotic pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy: Technical considerations. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S59-S63.	1.1	6
31	Can the measurement of amylase in drain after distal pancreatectomy predict post-operative pancreatic fistula?. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S30-S33.	1.1	7
32	Distal pancreatectomy with splenic preservation: A short-term outcome analysis of the Warshaw technique. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S40-S43.	1.1	10
33	Robotic distal pancreatectomy with or without preservation of spleen: a technical note. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 295.	0.8	16
34	Robotic rectal resection for cancer: A prospective cohort study to analyze surgical, clinical and oncological outcomes. International Journal of Surgery, 2014, 12, 1456-1461.	1.1	9
35	Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy in a case of duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumor. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 372.	0.8	8
36	Role of Damage Control Surgery in the Treatment of Hinchey III and IV Sigmoid Diverticulitis. Medicine (United States), 2014, 93, e184.	0.4	27

JACOPO DESIDERIO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Laparoscopic versus open left colectomy in patients with sigmoid colon cancer: Prospective cohort study with long-term follow-up. International Journal of Surgery, 2014, 12, 745-750.	1.1	13
38	Robotic gastric resection of large gastrointestinal stromal tumors. International Journal of Surgery, 2013, 11, 191-196.	1.1	23
39	Could radiofrequency ablation replace liver resection for small hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with compensated cirrhosis? A 5-year follow-up. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2013, 398, 55-62.	0.8	18
40	Current status of robotic distal pancreatectomy: A systematic review. Surgical Oncology, 2013, 22, 201-207.	0.8	51
41	Robotic right colectomy for cancer with intracorporeal anastomosis: short-term outcomes from a single institution. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2013, 28, 807-814.	1.0	62
42	The treatment of anal fistulas with biologically derived products: is innovation better than conventional surgical treatment? An update. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2013, 17, 259-273.	0.8	28
43	Intracorporeal versus extracorporeal anastomosis during laparoscopic right hemicolectomy – Systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2013, 22, 1-13.	0.8	95
44	Liver resection versus radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases International, 2013, 12, 270-277.	0.6	10
45	Treatment of Hinchey stage III–IV diverticulitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2013, 28, 447-457.	1.0	79
46	Surgical approach of complicated diverticulitis with colovesical fistula: technical note in a particular condition. Open Medicine (Poland), 2012, 7, 578-583.	0.6	3