## Jacopo Desiderio

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8561779/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The 30-year experience—A meta-analysis of randomised and high-quality non-randomised studies of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapyÂin the treatment of gastric cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 79, 1-14.	1.3	157
2	Intracorporeal versus extracorporeal anastomosis during laparoscopic right hemicolectomy – Systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgical Oncology, 2013, 22, 1-13.	0.8	95
3	Treatment of Hinchey stage III–IV diverticulitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2013, 28, 447-457.	1.0	79
4	Minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: A comparison between robotic, laparoscopic and open surgery. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 23, 2376.	1.4	69
5	Robotic right colectomy for cancer with intracorporeal anastomosis: short-term outcomes from a single institution. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2013, 28, 807-814.	1.0	62
6	Robotic right hemicolectomy: Analysis of 108 consecutive procedures and multidimensional assessment of the learning curve. Surgical Oncology, 2017, 26, 28-36.	0.8	61
7	Laparoscopic Peritoneal Lavage. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e334.	0.4	60
8	Current status of robotic distal pancreatectomy: A systematic review. Surgical Oncology, 2013, 22, 201-207.	0.8	51
9	The treatment of anal fistulas with biologically derived products: is innovation better than conventional surgical treatment? An update. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2013, 17, 259-273.	0.8	28
10	Role of Damage Control Surgery in the Treatment of Hinchey III and IV Sigmoid Diverticulitis. Medicine (United States), 2014, 93, e184.	0.4	27
11	Development and External Validation of a Simplified Nomogram Predicting Individual Survival After RO Resection for Gastric Cancer: An International, Multicenter Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 2383-2390.	0.7	27
12	Enhanced Recovery after Surgery for Gastric Cancer Patients Improves Clinical Outcomes at a US Cancer Center. Journal of Gastric Cancer, 2018, 18, 230.	0.9	24
13	Robotic gastric resection of large gastrointestinal stromal tumors. International Journal of Surgery, 2013, 11, 191-196.	1.1	23
14	Robotic, laparoscopic and open surgery for gastric cancer compared on surgical, clinical and oncological outcomes: a multi-institutional chart review. A study protocol of the International study group on Minimally Invasive surgery for GASTRIc Cancer—IMIGASTRIC. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e008198.	0.8	23
15	Current status of minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: AÂliterature review to highlight studies limits. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 17, 34-40.	1.1	23
16	Enhanced recovery after surgery for gastric cancer (ERAS-GC): optimizing patient outcome. Translational Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2020, 5, 11-11.	1.5	19
17	Could radiofrequency ablation replace liver resection for small hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with compensated cirrhosis? A 5-year follow-up. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2013, 398, 55-62.	0.8	18
18	Robotic distal pancreatectomy with or without preservation of spleen: a technical note. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 295.	0.8	16

JACOPO DESIDERIO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Robotic Total Gastrectomy With Intracorporeal Robot-Sewn Anastomosis. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e1922.	0.4	14
20	Laparoscopic versus open left colectomy in patients with sigmoid colon cancer: Prospective cohort study with long-term follow-up. International Journal of Surgery, 2014, 12, 745-750.	1.1	13
21	Risk factors of lymph node metastasis or lymphovascular invasion for early gastric cancer: a practical and effective predictive model based on international multicenter data. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 1048.	1.1	13
22	Gastrectomy for stage IV gastric cancer: a comparison of different treatment strategies from the SEER database. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 7150.	1.6	12
23	Analysis of long-term results after liver surgery for metastases from colorectal and non-colorectal tumors: A retrospective cohort study. International Journal of Surgery, 2016, 30, 25-30.	1.1	11
24	Development and external validation of a nomogram for predicting the conditional probability of survival after D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer: A multicentre study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 45, 1934-1942.	0.5	11
25	Modified ypTNM Staging Classification for Gastric Cancer after Neoadjuvant Therapy: A Multi-Institutional Study. Oncologist, 2021, 26, e99-e110.	1.9	11
26	Liver resection versus radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases International, 2013, 12, 270-277.	0.6	10
27	Distal pancreatectomy with splenic preservation: A short-term outcome analysis of the Warshaw technique. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S40-S43.	1.1	10
28	Multicenter Validation Study of the American Joint Commission on Cancer (8th Edition) for Gastric Cancer: Proposal for a Simplified and Improved TNM Staging System. Journal of Cancer, 2020, 11, 3483-3491.	1.2	10
29	Robotic rectal resection for cancer: A prospective cohort study to analyze surgical, clinical and oncological outcomes. International Journal of Surgery, 2014, 12, 1456-1461.	1.1	9
30	Establishing a multi-institutional registry to compare the outcomes of robotic, laparoscopic, and open surgery for gastric cancer. Surgery, 2015, 157, 830-831.	1.0	9
31	Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy in a case of duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumor. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 12, 372.	0.8	8
32	Does Intra-Abdominal Infection after Curative Gastrectomy Affect Patients' Long-Term Prognosis? A Multi-Center Study Based on a Large Sample Size. Surgical Infections, 2019, 20, 271-277.	0.7	8
33	Open versus laparoscopic versus robotic gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumour resections: A multicentre cohort study. International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 2021, 17, e2198.	1.2	8
34	Can the measurement of amylase in drain after distal pancreatectomy predict post-operative pancreatic fistula?. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S30-S33.	1.1	7
35	Robotic pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy: Technical considerations. International Journal of Surgery, 2015, 21, S59-S63.	1.1	6
36	Feasibility of robotic resection of gastrointestinal stromal tumors along the entire gastrointestinal tract. Updates in Surgery, 2019, 71, 695-700.	0.9	6

JACOPO DESIDERIO

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Indications for adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with AJCC stage IIa T3NOMO and T1N2MO gastric cancer—an east and west multicenter study. BMC Gastroenterology, 2019, 19, 205.	0.8	6
38	Road Accident due to a Pancreatic Insulinoma. Medicine (United States), 2015, 94, e537.	0.4	5
39	Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage: our experience and review of the literature. Wideochirurgia I Inne Techniki Maloinwazyjne, 2016, 2, 83-87.	0.3	5
40	Difference in the short-term outcomes of laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma between the east and west: a retrospective study from the IMIGASTRIC trial. Journal of Cancer, 2019, 10, 4106-4113.	1.2	5
41	New totally intracorporeal reconstructive approach after robotic total gastrectomy: Technical details and short-term outcomes. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 23, 4293.	1.4	5
42	Surgical approach of complicated diverticulitis with colovesical fistula: technical note in a particular condition. Open Medicine (Poland), 2012, 7, 578-583.	0.6	3
43	Autoimmune pancreatitis: a case of difficult diagnosis. Przeglad Gastroenterologiczny, 2015, 1, 51-53.	0.3	2
44	Rationale and design of the Early Sleeve gastrectomy In New Onset Diabetic Obese Patients (ESINODOP) trial. Endocrine, 2017, 55, 748-753.	1.1	1
45	Robotic double-loop reconstruction method following total gastrectomy. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, E55-E56.	1.0	0
46	Postoperative dynamic survival of gastric cancer patients: A multiâ€institutional, international analysis of 22 265 patients. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 120, 685-697.	0.8	0