Aurora Seco

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8561758/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

71061 110317 5,510 162 41 64 citations h-index g-index papers 162 162 162 4620 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Microalgae cultivation in wastewater: Nutrient removal from anaerobic membrane bioreactor effluent. Bioresource Technology, 2012, 126, 247-253.	4.8	186
2	A pilot-scale study of struvite precipitation in a stirred tank reactor: Conditions influencing the process. Bioresource Technology, 2008, 99, 6285-6291.	4.8	163
3	Struvite precipitation assessment in anaerobic digestion processes. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2008, 141, 67-74.	6.6	160
4	Experimental study of the anaerobic urban wastewater treatment in a submerged hollow-fibre membrane bioreactor at pilot scale. Bioresource Technology, 2011, 102, 8799-8806.	4.8	159
5	Metabolic shift of polyphosphate-accumulating organisms with different levels of polyphosphate storage. Water Research, 2012, 46, 1889-1900.	5.3	148
6	New frontiers from removal to recycling of nitrogen and phosphorus from wastewater in the Circular Economy. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 300, 122673.	4.8	127
7	Instrumentation and control of anaerobic digestion processes: a review and some research challenges. Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology, 2015, 14, 615-648.	3.9	118
8	Phosphorus recovery by struvite crystallization in WWTPs: Influence of the sludge treatment line operation. Water Research, 2010, 44, 2371-2379.	5. 3	117
9	Adsorption of Heavy Metals from Aqueous Solutions onto Activated Carbon in Single Cu and Ni Systems and in Binary Cu-Ni, Cu-Cd and Cu-Zn Systems. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 1997, 68, 23-30.	1.6	116
10	Struvite formation from the supernatants of an anaerobic digestion pilot plant. Bioresource Technology, 2010, 101, 118-125.	4.8	116
11	A review on anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBRs) focused on modelling and control aspects. Bioresource Technology, 2018, 270, 612-626.	4.8	106
12	Cadmium and Zinc Adsorption onto Activated Carbon: Influence of Temperature, pH and Metal/Carbon Ratio. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 1996, 66, 279-285.	1.6	103
13	Single and competitive adsorption of Cd and Zn onto a granular activated carbon. Water Research, 1996, 30, 3050-3060.	5.3	98
14	Methane recovery efficiency in a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor (SAnMBR) treating sulphate-rich urban wastewater: Evaluation of methane losses with the effluent. Bioresource Technology, 2012, 118, 67-72.	4.8	95
15	Sewage sludge management for phosphorus recovery as struvite in EBPR wastewater treatment plants. Bioresource Technology, 2008, 99, 4817-4824.	4.8	88
16	An improved sampling strategy based on trajectory design for application of the Morris method to systems with many input factors. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2012, 37, 103-109.	1.9	86
17	The operating cost of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) treating sulphate-rich urban wastewater. Separation and Purification Technology, 2014, 126, 30-38.	3.9	86
18	Economic and environmental sustainability of submerged anaerobic MBR-based (AnMBR-based) technology as compared to aerobic-based technologies for moderate-/high-loaded urban wastewater treatment. Journal of Environmental Management, 2016, 166, 45-54.	3.8	69

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Biological nutrient removal model No.1 (BNRM1). Water Science and Technology, 2004, 50, 69-70.	1.2	66
20	Effect of pH, cation concentration and sorbent concentration on cadmium and copper removal by a granular activated carbon. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 1999, 74, 911-918.	1.6	64
21	Modelling biological and chemically induced precipitation of calcium phosphate in enhanced biological phosphorus removal systems. Water Research, 2011, 45, 3744-3752.	5.3	64
22	Wastewater nutrient removal in a mixed microalgae–bacteria culture: effect of light and temperature on the microalgae–bacteria competition. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2018, 39, 503-515.	1.2	64
23	Interactions between calcium precipitation and the polyphosphate-accumulating bacteria metabolism. Water Research, 2008, 42, 3415-3424.	5.3	62
24	Nitrite inhibition of microalgae induced by the competition between microalgae and nitrifying bacteria. Water Research, 2020, 172, 115499.	5.3	62
25	DESASS: A software tool for designing, simulating and optimising WWTPs. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2008, 23, 19-26.	1.9	60
26	Using SOM and PCA for analysing and interpreting data from a P-removal SBR. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2008, 21, 919-930.	4.3	57
27	Biological Nutrient Removal Model No. 2 (BNRM2): a general model for wastewater treatment plants. Water Science and Technology, 2013, 67, 1481-1489.	1.2	53
28	Resource recovery from sulphate-rich sewage through an innovative anaerobic-based water resource recovery facility (WRRF). Water Science and Technology, 2018, 78, 1925-1936.	1.2	53
29	Occurrence of priority pollutants in WWTP effluents and Mediterranean coastal waters of Spain. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2011, 62, 615-625.	2.3	51
30	Water resource recovery by means of microalgae cultivation in outdoor photobioreactors using the effluent from an anaerobic membrane bioreactor fed with pre-treated sewage. Bioresource Technology, 2016, 218, 447-454.	4.8	51
31	Cadmium and Copper Removal by a Granular Activated Carbon in Laboratory Column Systems. Separation Science and Technology, 2000, 35, 1039-1053.	1.3	50
32	Relating ions concentration variations to conductivity variations in a sequencing batch reactor operated for enhanced biological phosphorus removal. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2006, 21, 845-851.	1.9	47
33	Microbial community characterization during anaerobic digestion of Scenedesmus spp. under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. Algal Research, 2017, 27, 121-130.	2.4	47
34	Use of neurofuzzy networks to improve wastewater flow-rate forecasting. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2009, 24, 686-693.	1.9	46
35	Performance of an outdoor membrane photobioreactor for resource recovery from anaerobically treated sewage. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2018, 178, 665-674.	4.6	45
36	Multivariate SPC of a sequencing batch reactor for wastewater treatment. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 2007, 85, 82-93.	1.8	44

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Environmental impact of submerged anaerobic MBR (SAnMBR) technology used to treat urban wastewater at different temperatures. Bioresource Technology, 2013, 149, 532-540.	4.8	43
38	Design methodology for submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR): A case study. Separation and Purification Technology, 2015, 141, 378-386.	3.9	43
39	Improving membrane photobioreactor performance by reducing light path: operating conditions and key performance indicators. Water Research, 2020, 172, 115518.	5.3	43
40	Energy saving in the aeration process by fuzzy logic control. Water Science and Technology, 1998, 38, 209.	1.2	42
41	Fermentation and elutriation of primary sludge: Effect of SRT on process performance. Water Research, 2007, 41, 747-756.	5.3	42
42	An advanced control strategy for biological nutrient removal in continuous systems based on pH and ORP sensors. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2012, 183, 212-221.	6.6	42
43	Endocrine disrupter compounds removal in wastewater using microalgae: Degradation kinetics assessment. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2018, 334, 313-321.	6.6	42
44	Effect of light intensity, light duration and photoperiods in the performance of an outdoor photobioreactor for urban wastewater treatment. Algal Research, 2019, 40, 101511.	2.4	42
45	P-recovery in a pilot-scale struvite crystallisation reactor for source separated urine systems using seawater and magnesium chloride as magnesium sources. Science of the Total Environment, 2019, 672, 88-96.	3.9	42
46	A supervisory control system for optimising nitrogen removal and aeration energy consumption in wastewater treatment plants. Water Science and Technology, 2002, 45, 309-316.	1.2	41
47	Removal and fate of endocrine disruptors chemicals under lab-scale postreatment stage. Removal assessment using light, oxygen and microalgae. Bioresource Technology, 2013, 149, 142-148.	4.8	40
48	Economic and environmental sustainability of an AnMBR treating urban wastewater and organic fraction of municipal solid waste. Journal of Environmental Management, 2016, 179, 83-92.	3.8	40
49	Comparison of different predictive models for nutrient estimation in a sequencing batch reactor for wastewater treatment. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 2006, 84, 75-81.	1.8	39
50	A methodology for sequencing batch reactor identification with artificial neural networks: A case study. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 2009, 33, 465-472.	2.0	38
51	Short and long-term experiments on the effect of sulphide on microalgae cultivation in tertiary sewage treatment. Bioresource Technology, 2017, 244, 15-22.	4.8	37
52	PDMS membranes for feasible recovery of dissolved methane from AnMBR effluents. Journal of Membrane Science, 2020, 604, 118070.	4.1	37
53	A modification to the Activated Sludge Model No. 2 based on the competition between phosphorus-accumulating organisms and glycogen-accumulating organisms. Water Science and Technology, 2001, 43, 161-171.	1.2	35
54	An extension of ASM2d including pH calculation. Water Research, 2004, 38, 4029-4038.	5.3	34

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Nitrogen recovery using a membrane contactor: Modelling nitrogen and pH evolution. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2020, 8, 103880.	3.3	34
56	Optimisation of sludge line management to enhance phosphorus recovery in WWTP. Water Research, 2008, 42, 4609-4618.	5.3	33
57	Effect of pH and HNO2 concentration on the activity of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in a partial nitritation reactor. Water Science and Technology, 2013, 67, 2587-2594.	1.2	33
58	The Role of Potassium, Magnesium and Calcium in the Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal Treatment Plants. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2005, 26, 983-992.	1.2	32
59	Alkylphenols and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in eastern Mediterranean Spanish coastal marine bivalves. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2011, 176, 169-181.	1.3	32
60	Anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR) treating urban wastewater in mild climates. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 314, 123763.	4.8	32
61	A filtration model applied to submerged anaerobic MBRs (SAnMBRs). Journal of Membrane Science, 2013, 444, 139-147.	4.1	31
62	Precipitation assessment in wastewater treatment plants operated for biological nutrient removal: A case study in Murcia, Spain. Journal of Environmental Management, 2009, 90, 850-857.	3.8	30
63	Nonlinear control of an activated sludge aeration process: use of fuzzy techniques for tuning PID controllers. ISA Transactions, 1999, 38, 231-241.	3.1	29
64	Effect of intracellular P content on phosphate removal in Scenedesmus sp. Experimental study and kinetic expression. Bioresource Technology, 2015, 175, 325-332.	4.8	29
65	Acclimatised rumen culture for raw microalgae conversion into biogas: Linking microbial community structure and operational parameters in anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR). Bioresource Technology, 2019, 290, 121787.	4.8	29
66	Co-digestion of harvested microalgae and primary sludge in a mesophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR): Methane potential and microbial diversity. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 298, 122521.	4.8	29
67	Sludge management modeling to enhance P-recovery as struvite in wastewater treatment plants. Journal of Environmental Management, 2017, 196, 340-346.	3.8	28
68	Performance of a membrane-coupled high-rate algal pond for urban wastewater treatment at demonstration scale. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 301, 122672.	4.8	28
69	Micropollutants removal in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor and in an aerobic conventional treatment plant. Water Science and Technology, 2012, 65, 2242-2250.	1.2	27
70	A new strategy to maximize organic matter valorization in municipalities: Combination of urban wastewater with kitchen food waste and its treatment with AnMBR technology. Waste Management, 2017, 62, 274-289.	3.7	27
71	Outdoor flat-panel membrane photobioreactor to treat the effluent of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor. Influence of operating, design, and environmental conditions. Water Science and Technology, 2018, 78, 195-206.	1.2	27
72	Optimum design and operation of primary sludge fermentation schemes for volatile fatty acids production. Water Research, 2006, 40, 53-60.	5.3	26

#	Article	lF	Citations
73	Effect of pH, substrate and free nitrous acid concentrations on ammonium oxidation rate. Bioresource Technology, 2012, 124, 478-484.	4.8	25
74	AnMBR, reclaimed water and fertigation: Two case studies in Italy and Spain to assess economic and technological feasibility and CO2 emissions within the EU Innovation Deal initiative. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2020, 270, 122398.	4.6	25
75	An integral approach to sludge handling in a WWTP operated for EBPR aiming phosphorus recovery: Simulation of alternatives, LCA and LCC analyses. Water Research, 2020, 175, 115647.	5.3	25
76	Real-time control strategy for nitrogen removal via nitrite in a SHARON reactor using pH and ORP sensors. Process Biochemistry, 2012, 47, 1510-1515.	1.8	24
77	Fermentation of Municipal Primary Sludge: Effect of Srt and Solids Concentration on Volatile Fatty Acid Production. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2002, 23, 863-875.	1.2	23
78	Anaerobic treatment of urban wastewater in membrane bioreactors: evaluation of seasonal temperature variations. Water Science and Technology, 2014, 69, 1581-1588.	1.2	23
79	Exploring the limits of anaerobic biodegradability of urban wastewater by AnMBR technology. Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology, 2018, 4, 1877-1887.	1.2	23
80	Study of the Adsorption of Cd and Zn onto an Activated Carbon: Influence of pH, Cation Concentration, and Adsorbent Concentration. Separation Science and Technology, 1999, 34, 1577-1593.	1.3	22
81	Model-based automatic tuning of a filtration control system for submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR). Journal of Membrane Science, 2014, 465, 14-26.	4.1	22
82	The Effect of Sludge Age on the Deterioration of the Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal Process. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 1999, 20, 1055-1063.	1.2	21
83	Potential use of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste in anaerobic co-digestion with wastewater in submerged anaerobic membrane technology. Waste Management, 2016, 56, 158-165.	3.7	21
84	Assessment of cross-flow filtration as microalgae harvesting technique prior to anaerobic digestion: Evaluation of biomass integrity and energy demand. Bioresource Technology, 2018, 269, 188-194.	4.8	21
85	Unveiling microbial structures during raw microalgae digestion and co-digestion with primary sludge to produce biogas using semi-continuous AnMBR systems. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 699, 134365.	3.9	21
86	A systematic approach for fine-tuning of fuzzy controllers applied to WWTPs. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2010, 25, 670-676.	1.9	20
87	Short-term effect of ammonia concentration and salinity on activity of ammonia oxidizing bacteria. Water Science and Technology, 2010, 61, 3008-3016.	1.2	20
88	Study of the influence of temperature and precipitations on the levels of BTEX in natural waters. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2013, 263, 131-138.	6.5	20
89	Calcium effect on enhanced biological phosphorus removal. Water Science and Technology, 2006, 53, 29-37.	1.2	19
90	Low cost-sensors as a real alternative to on-line nitrogen analysers in continuous systems. Water Science and Technology, 2009, 60, 3261-3268.	1.2	19

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Effect of temperature on ammonium removal in Scenedesmus sp Bioresource Technology, 2015, 191, 346-349.	4.8	19
92	Influence of food waste addition over microbial communities in an Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor plant treating urban wastewater. Journal of Environmental Management, 2018, 217, 788-796.	3.8	19
93	Monitoring pH and electric conductivity in an EBPR sequencing batch reactor. Water Science and Technology, 2004, 50, 145-152.	1.2	18
94	Mixed microalgae culture for ammonium removal in the absence of phosphorus: Effect of phosphorus supplementation and process modeling. Process Biochemistry, 2014, 49, 2249-2257.	1.8	18
95	Instrumentation, control, and automation for submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2015, 36, 1795-1806.	1.2	18
96	A plant-wide energy model for wastewater treatment plants: application to anaerobic membrane bioreactor technology. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2016, 37, 2298-2315.	1.2	18
97	Mathematical modelling of filtration in submerged anaerobic MBRs (SAnMBRs): Long-term validation. Journal of Membrane Science, 2013, 446, 303-309.	4.1	17
98	Use of rumen microorganisms to boost the anaerobic biodegradability of microalgae. Algal Research, 2017, 24, 309-316.	2.4	17
99	Comprehensive assessment of the microalgae-nitrifying bacteria competition in microalgae-based wastewater treatment systems: Relevant factors, evaluation methods and control strategies. Algal Research, 2022, 61, 102563.	2.4	17
100	A semi-industrial scale AnMBR for municipal wastewater treatment at ambient temperature: performance of the biological process. Water Research, 2022, 215, 118249.	5.3	17
101	Calibration and Validation of Activated Sludge Model No.2d for Spanish Municipal Wastewater. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2002, 23, 849-862.	1.2	16
102	On-line monitoring of photosynthetic activity based on pH data to assess microalgae cultivation. Journal of Environmental Management, 2020, 276, 111343.	3.8	16
103	Economic analysis of the scale-up and implantation of a hollow fibre membrane contactor plant for nitrogen recovery in a full-scale wastewater treatment plant. Separation and Purification Technology, 2021, 275, 119128.	3.9	16
104	Calibration of denitrifying activity of polyphosphate accumulating organisms in an extended ASM2d model. Water Research, 2010, 44, 5284-5297.	5.3	15
105	Reliable method for assessing the COD mass balance of a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor (SAMBR) treating sulphate-rich municipal wastewater. Water Science and Technology, 2012, 66, 494-502.	1.2	15
106	Removal of algae from biological cultures: a challenge for electrocoagulation?. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 2016, 91, 82-87.	1.6	15
107	Selecting the most suitable microalgae species to treat the effluent from an anaerobic membrane bioreactor. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2020, 41, 267-276.	1.2	15
108	Identification and quantification of microbial populations in activated sludge and anaerobic digestion processes. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2015, 36, 45-53.	1.2	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Effect of sludge age on microbial consortia developed in MFCs. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 2018, 93, 1290-1299.	1.6	14
110	Anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) scale-up from laboratory to pilot-scale for microalgae and primary sludge co-digestion: Biological and filtration assessment. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 316, 123930.	4.8	14
111	Outdoor microalgaeâ€based urban wastewater treatment: Recent advances, applications, and future perspectives. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 2021, 8, e1518.	2.8	14
112	Calibration and simulation of ASM2d at different temperatures in a phosphorus removal pilot plant. Water Science and Technology, 2006, 53, 199-206.	1.2	13
113	Global sensitivity analysis of a filtration model for submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBR). Bioresource Technology, 2014, 158, 365-373.	4.8	13
114	Effect of long residence time and high temperature over anaerobic biodegradation of Scenedesmus microalgae grown in wastewater. Journal of Environmental Management, 2018, 218, 425-434.	3.8	13
115	Thermophilic anaerobic conversion of raw microalgae: Microbial community diversity in high solids retention systems. Algal Research, 2019, 41, 101533.	2.4	13
116	Assessing and modeling nitrite inhibition in microalgae-bacteria consortia for wastewater treatment by means of photo-respirometric and chlorophyll fluorescence techniques. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 808, 152128.	3.9	13
117	Influence of sludge age on enhanced phosphorus removal in biological systems. Water Science and Technology, 1996, 34, 41.	1.2	12
118	Real-time optimization of the key filtration parameters in an AnMBR: Urban wastewater mono-digestion vs. co-digestion with domestic food waste. Waste Management, 2018, 80, 299-309.	3.7	12
119	Plant-wide modelling in wastewater treatment: showcasing experiences using the Biological Nutrient Removal Model. Water Science and Technology, 2020, 81, 1700-1714.	1.2	12
120	A software for the integrated design of wastewater treatment plants. Environmental Modelling and Software, 1998, 13, 31-44.	1.9	11
121	Modelling of an Activated Primary Settling Tank Including the Fermentation Process and VFA Elutriation. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2002, 23, 1147-1156.	1.2	11
122	Effect of pH on biological phosphorus uptake. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 2006, 95, 875-882.	1.7	11
123	Application of the general model †Biological Nutrient Removal Model No. 1' to upgrade two full-scale WWTPs. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2012, 33, 1005-1012.	1.2	11
124	Treatment of a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor (SAnMBR) effluent by an activated sludge system: The role of sulphide and thiosulphate in the process. Journal of Environmental Management, 2015, 147, 213-218.	3.8	11
125	Characterization of activated sludge settling properties with a sludge collapse-acceleration stage. Separation and Purification Technology, 2019, 209, 32-41.	3.9	11
126	Production of microalgal external organic matter in a <i>Chlorella</i> dominated culture: influence of temperature and stress factors. Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology, 2020, 6, 1828-1841.	1.2	11

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Insights into the biological process performance and microbial diversity during thermophilic microalgae co-digestion in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR). Algal Research, 2020, 50, 101981.	2.4	11
128	Isobaric Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of 1-Butanol + N,N-Dimethylformamide and 1-Pentanol + N,N-Dimethylformamide Systems at 50.00 and 100.00 kPa. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 1995, 40, 589-592.	1.0	10
129	Wastewater COD characterization: analysis of respirometric and physicalâ€ehemical methods for determining biodegradable organic matter fractions. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 2010, 85, 536-544.	1.6	10
130	Monitoring pH and ORP in a SHARON reactor. Water Science and Technology, 2011, 63, 2505-2512.	1.2	10
131	Widening the applicability of AnMBR for urban wastewater treatment through PDMS membranes for dissolved methane capture: Effect of temperature and hydrodynamics. Journal of Environmental Management, 2021, 287, 112344.	3.8	10
132	Study of the Factors Affecting Activated Sludge Settling in Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plants. Water Science and Technology, 1992, 25, 273-279.	1.2	9
133	Application of a fuzzy algorithm for pH control in a struvite crystallisation reactor. Water Science and Technology, 2006, 53, 161-168.	1.2	9
134	Using Unfold-PCA for batch-to-batch start-up process understanding and steady-state identification in a sequencing batch reactor. Journal of Chemometrics, 2008, 22, 81-90.	0.7	9
135	DETECTION AND PREVENTION OF ENHANCED BIOLOGICAL PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL DETERIORATION CAUSED BY ZOOGLOEA OVERABUNDANCE. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2008, 29, 35-42.	1.2	9
136	Enrichment of AOB and NOB Population by Applying a BABE Reactor in an Activated Sludge Pilot Plant. Water Environment Research, 2015, 87, 369-377.	1.3	9
137	Fate of endocrine disruptor compounds in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) coupled to an activated sludge reactor. Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology, 2018, 4, 226-233.	1.2	9
138	Process understanding of a wastewater batch reactor with block-wise PLS. Environmetrics, 2007, 18, 551-560.	0.6	8
139	Behavior of mixed Chlorophyceae cultures under prolonged dark exposure. Respiration rate modeling. Ecological Engineering, 2016, 91, 265-269.	1.6	8
140	Filtration process cost in submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBRs) for urban wastewater treatment. Separation Science and Technology, 2016, 51, 517-524.	1.3	8
141	Kinetic modeling of autotrophic microalgae mainline processes for sewage treatment in phosphorus-replete and -deplete culture conditions. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 797, 149165.	3.9	8
142	Modeling multiple reactive solute transport with adsorption under equilibrium and nonequilibrium conditions. Advances in Water Resources, 1994, 17, 363-374.	1.7	7
143	Calcium phosphate precipitation in a SBR operated for EBPR: interactions with the biological process. Water Science and Technology, 2008, 58, 427-433.	1.2	7
144	Modeling light and temperature influence on ammonium removal by Scenedesmus sp. under outdoor conditions. Water Science and Technology, 2016, 74, 1964-1970.	1.2	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	Energy and environmental impact of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) demonstration plant treating urban wastewater., 2020,, 289-310.		7
146	Anaerobic membrane bioreactors for resource recovery from municipal wastewater: a comprehensive review of recent advances. Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology, 2021, 7, 1944-1965.	1.2	7
147	Global sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of a microalgae model for wastewater treatment. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 806, 150504.	3.9	7
148	Control of activated sludge settleability using preaeration and preprecipitation. Water Research, 1993, 27, 293-296.	5.3	6
149	Model performance of partial least squares in utilizing the visible spectroscopy data for estimation of algal biomass in a photobioreactor. Environmental Technology and Innovation, 2018, 10, 122-131.	3.0	5
150	Dataset to assess the shadow effect of an outdoor microalgae culture. Data in Brief, 2019, 25, 104143.	0.5	5
151	Design of nutrient removal activated sludge systems. Water Science and Technology, 2003, 47, 115-122.	1.2	4
152	Use of Biological and Sedimentation Models for Designing PeñÃscola WWTP. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2004, 25, 681-687.	1.2	3
153	Guidelines for alkylphenols estimation as alkylphenol polyethoxylates pollution indicator in wastewater treatment plant effluents. Analytical Methods, 2013, 5, 2209.	1.3	3
154	Biological nutrient removal model No.1 (BNRM1). Water Science and Technology, 2004, 50, 69-78.	1.2	2
155	Evaluation of Activated Sludge Model No.2 at High Phosphorus Concentrations. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2001, 22, 497-507.	1.2	1
156	DSC: software tool for simulation-based design of control strategies applied to wastewater treatment plants. Water Science and Technology, 2011, 63, 796-803.	1.2	1
157	A mathematical approach to predict the solids concentration in anaerobic membrane bioreactos (AnMBR): Evaluation of the volatile solids solubilization. Journal of Environmental Management, 2020, 271, 110983.	3.8	1
158	Advanced HRT-Controller Aimed at Optimising Nitrogen Recovery by Microalgae: Application in an Outdoor Flat-Panel Membrane Photobioreactor. ChemEngineering, 2022, 6, 24.	1.0	1
159	Title is missing!. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 1997, 94, 349-360.	1.1	0
160	A Steady-State Model for the Design of Biological Wastewater Treatment Facilities. Environmental Technology (United Kingdom), 2000, 21, 733-744.	1.2	0
161	Simple Rule-Based Algorithm for Optimizing Volatile Fatty Acids Production in Primary Sludge Fermentation Schemes. Journal of Environmental Engineering, ASCE, 2006, 132, 1439-1446.	0.7	0
162	Calibration Procedure of the Biological Nutrient Removal Model Number 1. Journal of Environmental Engineering, ASCE, 2018, 144, 04017103.	0.7	0