

Trui Steen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8507621/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

49
papers

985
citations

623734

14
h-index

580821

25
g-index

54
all docs

54
docs citations

54
times ranked

626
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Why engage in co-production of public services? Mixing theory and empirical evidence. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, 82, 28-46.	3.1	85
2	The Dark Side of Co-Creation and Co-Production. , 2018, , 284-293.		85
3	Coproduction during and after the <scp>COVID</scp>â€19 Pandemic: Will It Last?. Public Administration Review, 2020, 80, 851-855.	4.1	74
4	Realizing public values: enhancement or obstruction? Exploring value tensions and coping strategies in the co-production of social care. Public Management Review, 2019, 21, 606-627.	4.9	57
5	Public Sector Motivation: Is There Something to Learn From the Study of Volunteerism?. Public Policy and Administration, 2006, 21, 49-62.	2.0	46
6	What Does It Mean and Imply to be Public Service Motivated?. American Review of Public Administration, 2015, 45, 689-707.	2.3	46
7	Co-Creation and Co-Production in Public Services. , 2018, , 3-8.		43
8	The Civil Service as an Employer of Choice in Belgium. Review of Public Personnel Administration, 2004, 24, 319-333.	3.2	41
9	Co-producing safety in the local community: A Q-methodology study on the incentives of Belgian and Dutch members of neighbourhood watch schemes. Local Government Studies, 2017, 43, 323-343.	2.2	41
10	The Roles of the Professional in Co-Production and Co-Creation Processes. , 2018, , 80-92.		41
11	What Factors Drive Organizational Learning From Crisis? Insights From the Dutch Food Safety Servicesâ€™ Response to Four Veterinary Crises. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 2017, 25, 326-340.	2.8	40
12	Images of Coordination: How Implementing Organizations Perceive Coordination Arrangements. Public Administration Review, 2020, 80, 9-22.	4.1	40
13	Democratic Co-Production. , 2018, , 243-251.		26
14	Public service bargains in Dutch top civil service. Public Policy and Administration, 2011, 26, 209-232.	2.0	23
15	New Public Management, Public Service Bargains and the challenges of interdepartmental coordination: a comparative analysis of top civil servants in state administration. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2013, 79, 29-48.	3.1	22
16	Public leadersâ€™ organizational learning orientations in the wake of a crisis and the role of public service motivation. Safety Science, 2019, 113, 200-209.	4.9	22
17	Public Professionalsâ€™ Engagement in Coproduction: The Impact of the Work Environment on Elderly Care Managersâ€™ Perceptions on Collaboration With Client Councils. American Review of Public Administration, 2019, 49, 733-748.	2.3	21
18	The sustainability of outcomes in temporary co-production. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 2019, 33, 62-77.	1.8	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Public sector employees in a challenging work environment. <i>Public Administration</i> , 2019, 97, 3-10.	3.5	18
20	A Scoping Review of Empirical Evidence on (Digital) Public Services Co-Creation. <i>Administrative Sciences</i> , 2021, 11, 130.	2.9	18
21	Services Wanted? Understanding the Non-take-up of Social Support at the Local Level. <i>Voluntas</i> , 2018, 29, 1360-1374.	1.7	16
22	Does Co-Production Lead to the Creation of Public Value? Balancing the Dimensions of Public Value Creation in Urban Mobility Planning. <i>Administration and Society</i> , 2021, 53, 619-646.	2.1	16
23	Socialâ€Psychological Context Moderates Incentives to Coâ€produce: Evidence from a Largeâ€Scale Survey Experiment on Park Upkeep in an Urban Setting. <i>Public Administration Review</i> , 2021, 81, 935-950.	4.1	16
24	Understanding channel choice in users' reporting behavior: Evidence from a smart mobility case. <i>Government Information Quarterly</i> , 2021, 38, 101540.	6.8	13
25	Reality Shock and Public Service Motivation: A Longitudinal, Qualitative Study Among Dutch Veterinary Inspectors. <i>International Journal of Public Administration</i> , 2019, 42, 468-481.	2.3	11
26	Behind the Scenes of Coproduction of Smart Mobility: Evidence from a Public Valuesâ€™ Perspective. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2019, , 338-352.	1.3	11
27	Understanding the Diversity of Coproduction: Introduction to the IJPA Special Issue. <i>International Journal of Public Administration</i> , 0, , 1-5.	2.3	9
28	Introduction: Special issue on the coproduction of public services. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2016, 82, 3-7.	3.1	9
29	Civil servantsâ€™ perspectives on the role of citizens in public service delivery in Uganda. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2016, 82, 169-189.	3.1	9
30	Fiscal consolidation in federal Belgium. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2015, 81, 457-478.	3.1	6
31	Informal socialization in public organizations: Exploring the impact of informal socialization on enforcement behaviour of Dutch veterinary inspectors. <i>Public Administration</i> , 2019, 97, 81-96.	3.5	6
32	How to Encourage Co-Creation and Co-Production. , 2018, , 299-302.		6
33	Evolving public service bargains for top officials: some international comparisons. <i>International Review of Administrative Sciences</i> , 2013, 79, 3-8.	3.1	5
34	Inspections Services and Inter-Rater Reliability: Differentiating Professional Role Identities of Dutch Veterinary Inspectors. <i>International Journal of Public Administration</i> , 2015, 38, 132-142.	2.3	5
35	Exploring the Impact of Political Context on Stateâ€™Civil Society Relations: Actorsâ€™ Strategies in a Developmental State. <i>Voluntas</i> , 2019, 30, 1256-1269.	1.7	5
36	Explaining Trajectories of Municipal Amalgamations: A Case Comparison of the Netherlands and Flanders. , 2016, , 43-58.		4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Strict Enforcement or Responsive Regulation? How Inspectorâ€™Inspectee Interaction and Inspectorsâ€™ Role Identity Shape Decision Making. , 2019, , 79-94.		4
38	Citizensâ€™ Motivations for Co-production: Willingness, Ability and Opportunity at Play. , 2021, , 507-525.		4
39	Realizing public values in the co-production of public services: the effect of efficacy and trust on coping with public values conflicts. International Public Management Journal, 2022, 25, 1027-1050.	2.0	3
40	Collaborating for Innovation: A Systematic Review of the Red Tape Effects at Play. International Journal of Public Administration, 2023, 46, 994-1005.	2.3	3
41	Waarom burgers coproducent willen zijn. Bestuurskunde, 2013, 22, 72-81.	0.0	2
42	Consensus Democracy and Bureaucracy in the Low Countries. Politics of the Low Countries, 2019, 1, 27-43.	0.2	2
43	Towards the Inclusion of Co-creation in the European Interoperability Framework. , 2021, , .		2
44	Hidden pressure: the effects of politicians on projects of collaborative innovation. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 0, , 002085232210947.	3.1	2
45	Pourquoi se lancer dans la coproduction des services publics? ThÃ©orie et donnÃ©es empiriques. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, Vol. 82, 33-52.	0.0	1
46	Positieve bestuurskunde. Bestuurskunde, 2019, 29, 3-10.	0.0	1
47	Exploring the implementation gap: organizational autonomy and line managersâ€™ participation in human resource decisionâ€™making. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration, 2021, 43, 276-297.	1.5	0
48	Een nieuw en flexibel personeelsbeleid in de lokale besturen in Vlaanderen ?. Res Publica, 1998, 40, 79-97.	0.0	0
49	ChapitreÂ. AmÃ©liorer la prestation desÂservices locauxÂ: des rÃ©formes pour accroÃ®tre laÂperformance. , 2018, , .		0