Trui Steen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8507621/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

623188 580395 25 49 985 14 citations h-index g-index papers 54 54 54 626 citing authors docs citations times ranked all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Why engage in co-production of public services? Mixing theory and empirical evidence. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, 82, 28-46.	1.9	85
2	The Dark Side of Co-Creation and Co-Production. , 2018, , 284-293.		85
3	Coproduction during and after the <scp>COVID</scp> â€19 Pandemic: Will It Last?. Public Administration Review, 2020, 80, 851-855.	2.9	74
4	Realizing public values: enhancement or obstruction? Exploring value tensions and coping strategies in the co-production of social care. Public Management Review, 2019, 21, 606-627.	3.4	57
5	Public Sector Motivation: Is There Something to Learn From the Study of Volunteerism?. Public Policy and Administration, 2006, 21, 49-62.	1.5	46
6	What Does It Mean and Imply to be Public Service Motivated?. American Review of Public Administration, 2015, 45, 689-707.	1.5	46
7	Co-Creation and Co-Production in Public Services. , 2018, , 3-8.		43
8	The Civil Service as an Employer of Choice in Belgium. Review of Public Personnel Administration, 2004, 24, 319-333.	1.8	41
9	Co-producing safety in the local community: A Q-methodology study on the incentives of Belgian and Dutch members of neighbourhood watch schemes. Local Government Studies, 2017, 43, 323-343.	1.6	41
10	The Roles of the Professional in Co-Production and Co-Creation Processes., 2018,, 80-92.		41
11	What Factors Drive Organizational Learning From Crisis? Insights From the Dutch Food Safety Services' Response to Four Veterinary Crises. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 2017, 25, 326-340.	1.6	40
12	Images of Coordination: How Implementing Organizations Perceive Coordination Arrangements. Public Administration Review, 2020, 80, 9-22.	2.9	40
13	Democratic Co-Production. , 2018, , 243-251.		26
14	Public service bargains in Dutch top civil service. Public Policy and Administration, 2011, 26, 209-232.	1.5	23
15	New Public Management, Public Service Bargains and the challenges of interdepartmental coordination: a comparative analysis of top civil servants in state administration. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2013, 79, 29-48.	1.9	22
16	Public leaders' organizational learning orientations in the wake of a crisis and the role of public service motivation. Safety Science, 2019, 113, 200-209.	2.6	22
17	Public Professionals' Engagement in Coproduction: The Impact of the Work Environment on Elderly Care Managers' Perceptions on Collaboration With Client Councils. American Review of Public Administration, 2019, 49, 733-748.	1.5	21
18	The sustainability of outcomes in temporary co-production. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 2019, 33, 62-77.	1.2	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Public sector employees in a challenging work environment. Public Administration, 2019, 97, 3-10.	2.3	18
20	A Scoping Review of Empirical Evidence on (Digital) Public Services Co-Creation. Administrative Sciences, 2021, 11, 130.	1.5	18
21	Services Wanted? Understanding the Non-take-up of Social Support at the Local Level. Voluntas, 2018, 29, 1360-1374.	1.1	16
22	Does Co-Production Lead to the Creation of Public Value? Balancing the Dimensions of Public Value Creation in Urban Mobility Planning. Administration and Society, 2021, 53, 619-646.	1.2	16
23	Socialâ€Psychological Context Moderates Incentives to Coâ€produce: Evidence from a Largeâ€6cale Survey Experiment on Park Upkeep in an Urban Setting. Public Administration Review, 2021, 81, 935-950.	2.9	16
24	Understanding channel choice in users' reporting behavior: Evidence from a smart mobility case. Government Information Quarterly, 2021, 38, 101540.	4.0	13
25	Reality Shock and Public Service Motivation: A Longitudinal, Qualitative Study Among Dutch Veterinary Inspectors. International Journal of Public Administration, 2019, 42, 468-481.	1.4	11
26	Behind the Scenes of Coproduction of Smart Mobility: Evidence from a Public Values' Perspective. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2019, , 338-352.	1.0	11
27	Understanding the Diversity of Coproduction: Introduction to the IJPA Special Issue. International Journal of Public Administration, 0, , 1 -5.	1.4	9
28	Introduction: Special issue on the coproduction of public services. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, 82, 3-7.	1.9	9
29	Civil servants' perspectives on the role of citizens in public service delivery in Uganda. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, 82, 169-189.	1.9	9
30	Fiscal consolidation in federal Belgium. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2015, 81, 457-478.	1.9	6
31	Informal socialization in public organizations: Exploring the impact of informal socialization on enforcement behaviour of Dutch veterinary inspectors. Public Administration, 2019, 97, 81-96.	2.3	6
32	How to Encourage Co-Creation and Co-Production. , 2018, , 299-302.		6
33	Evolving public service bargains for top officials: some international comparisons. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2013, 79, 3-8.	1.9	5
34	Inspections Services and Inter-Rater Reliability: Differentiating Professional Role Identities of Dutch Veterinary Inspectors. International Journal of Public Administration, 2015, 38, 132-142.	1.4	5
35	Exploring the Impact of Political Context on State–Civil Society Relations: Actors' Strategies in a Developmental State. Voluntas, 2019, 30, 1256-1269.	1.1	5
36	Explaining Trajectories of Municipal Amalgamations: A Case Comparison of the Netherlands and Flanders., 2016,, 43-58.		4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Strict Enforcement or Responsive Regulation? How Inspector–Inspectee Interaction and Inspectors' Role Identity Shape Decision Making. , 2019, , 79-94.		4
38	Citizens' Motivations for Co-production: Willingness, Ability and Opportunity at Play. , 2021, , 507-525.		4
39	Realizing public values in the co-production of public services: the effect of efficacy and trust on coping with public values conflicts. International Public Management Journal, 2022, 25, 1027-1050.	1.2	3
40	Collaborating for Innovation: A Systematic Review of the Red Tape Effects at Play. International Journal of Public Administration, 2023, 46, 994-1005.	1.4	3
41	Waarom burgers coproducent willen zijn. Bestuurskunde, 2013, 22, 72-81.	0.0	2
42	Consensus Democracy and Bureaucracy in the Low Countries. Politics of the Low Countries, 2019, 1, 27-43.	0.2	2
43	Towards the Inclusion of Co-creation in the European Interoperability Framework. , 2021, , .		2
44	Hidden pressure: the effects of politicians on projects of collaborative innovation. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 0, , 002085232210947.	1.9	2
45	Pourquoi se lancer dans la coproduction des services publics� Théorie et données empiriques. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016, Vol. 82, 33-52.	0.1	1
46	Positieve bestuurskunde. Bestuurskunde, 2019, 29, 3-10.	0.0	1
47	Exploring the implementation gap: organizational autonomy and line managers' participation in human resource decision–making. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration, 2021, 43, 276-297.	1.3	0
48	Een nieuw en flexibel personeelsbeleid in de lokale besturen in Vlaanderen ?. Res Publica, 1998, 40, 79-97.	0.0	0
49	ChapitreÂll. Améliorer la prestation desÂservices locauxÂ: des réformes pour accroître laÂperformance., 2018,,.		O