

Marlene Ågerstrand

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8472463/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

40
papers

1,891
citations

257101

24
h-index

264894

42
g-index

49
all docs

49
docs citations

49
times ranked

2886
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Role of Behavioral Ecotoxicology in Environmental Protection. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2021, 55, 5620-5628.	4.6	101
2	Improving structure and transparency in reliability evaluations of data under REACH: suggestions for a systematic method. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2020, 26, 212-241.	1.7	10
3	Emerging investigator series: use of behavioural endpoints in the regulation of chemicals. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2020, 22, 49-65.	1.7	52
4	The NORMAN Association and the European Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment (PARC): let's cooperate!. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2020, 32, .	2.6	46
5	Pharmaceuticals and Environment: a web-based decision support for considering environmental aspects of medicines in use. <i>European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> , 2020, 76, 1151-1160.	0.8	10
6	Better reporting of science to improve regulatory decision-making. <i>Elni Review</i> , 2020, , 12-15.	0.1	0
7	On the issue of transparency and reproducibility in nanomedicine. <i>Nature Nanotechnology</i> , 2019, 14, 629-635.	15.6	149
8	Reliability and relevance evaluations of REACH data. <i>Toxicology Research</i> , 2019, 8, 46-56.	0.9	17
9	Toxicity studies used in registration, evaluation, authorisation and restriction of chemicals (REACH): How accurately are they reported?. <i>Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management</i> , 2019, 15, 458-469.	1.6	5
10	Improving environmental risk assessments of chemicals: Steps towards evidence-based ecotoxicology. <i>Environment International</i> , 2019, 128, 210-217.	4.8	24
11	A call for action: Improve reporting of research studies to increase the scientific basis for regulatory decision-making. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> , 2018, 38, 783-785.	1.4	15
12	The Essential Elements of a Risk Governance Framework for Current and Future Nanotechnologies. <i>Risk Analysis</i> , 2018, 38, 1321-1331.	1.5	27
13	NanoCRED: A transparent framework to assess the regulatory adequacy of ecotoxicity data for nanomaterials – Relevance and reliability revisited. <i>NanoImpact</i> , 2017, 6, 81-89.	2.4	45
14	An academic researcher's guide to increased impact on regulatory assessment of chemicals. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2017, 19, 644-655.	1.7	18
15	Refining tools to bridge the gap between academia and chemical regulation: perspectives for WikiREACH. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2017, 19, 1466-1473.	1.7	5
16	Making the most of expert judgment in hazard and risk assessment of chemicals. <i>Toxicology Research</i> , 2017, 6, 571-577.	0.9	29
17	Towards the review of the European Union Water Framework Directive: Recommendations for more efficient assessment and management of chemical contamination in European surface water resources. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 576, 720-737.	3.9	255
18	How we can make ecotoxicology more valuable to environmental protection. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2017, 578, 228-235.	3.9	60

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Combining web-based tools for transparent evaluation of data for risk assessment: developmental effects of bisphenol A on the mammary gland as a case study. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> , 2017, 37, 319-330.	1.4	9
20	Assessing the relevance of ecotoxicological studies for regulatory decision making. <i>Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management</i> , 2017, 13, 652-663.	1.6	47
21	Uppsala Consensus Statement on Environmental Contaminants and the Global Obesity Epidemic. <i>Environmental Health Perspectives</i> , 2016, 124, A81-3.	2.8	39
22	In Response : Reporting recommendations to ensure reliability and reproducibility of ecotoxicity studiesâ€”A tripartite initiative. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i> , 2016, 35, 1072-1073.	2.2	2
23	Study sensitivity: Evaluating the ability to detect effects in systematic reviews of chemical exposures. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 605-610.	4.8	24
24	CRED: Criteria for reporting and evaluating ecotoxicity data. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i> , 2016, 35, 1297-1309.	2.2	216
25	Transparency of chemical risk assessment data under REACH. <i>Environmental Sciences: Processes and Impacts</i> , 2016, 18, 1508-1518.	1.7	18
26	A proposed framework for the systematic review and integrated assessment (SYRINA) of endocrine disrupting chemicals. <i>Environmental Health</i> , 2016, 15, 74.	1.7	92
27	Criteria for Reporting and Evaluating ecotoxicity Data (CRED): comparison and perception of the Klimisch and CRED methods for evaluating reliability and relevance of ecotoxicity studies. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2016, 28, 7.	2.6	42
28	Implementing systematic review techniques in chemical risk assessment: Challenges, opportunities and recommendations. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 556-564.	4.8	67
29	Weight of evidence evaluation and systematic review in EU chemical risk assessment: Foundation is laid but guidance is needed. <i>Environment International</i> , 2016, 92-93, 590-596.	4.8	36
30	A characterization of doseâ€”response relationships for developmental effects of bisphenol A (BPA) in the low dose range. <i>Toxicology Letters</i> , 2015, 238, S128.	0.4	0
31	A proposal for systematic review and assessment of endocrine disruption. <i>Toxicology Letters</i> , 2015, 238, S42.	0.4	0
32	Science in Risk Assessment and Policy (SciRAP): An Online Resource for Evaluating and Reporting<i>In Vivo</i>(Eco)Toxicity Studies. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2015, 21, 753-762.	1.7	33
33	Improving Environmental Risk Assessment of Human Pharmaceuticals. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> , 2015, 49, 5336-5345.	4.6	141
34	Bad Reporting or Bad Science? Systematic Data Evaluation as a Means to Improve the Use of Peer-Reviewed Studies in Risk Assessments of Chemicals. <i>Human and Ecological Risk Assessment (HERA)</i> , 2014, 20, 1427-1445.	1.7	37
35	Reporting and Evaluating Ecotoxicity Data for Environmental Risk Assessment. <i>Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry</i> , 2013, , 685-704.	0.7	1
36	Reporting and evaluation criteria as means towards a transparent use of ecotoxicity data for environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals. <i>Environmental Pollution</i> , 2011, 159, 2487-2492.	3.7	43

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Comparison of four different methods for reliability evaluation of ecotoxicity data: a case study of non-standard test data used in environmental risk assessments of pharmaceutical substances. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> , 2011, 23, 17.	11.0	48
38	Evaluation of the accuracy and consistency of the Swedish Environmental Classification and Information System for pharmaceuticals. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , 2010, 408, 2327-2339.	3.9	51
39	WikiPharma – A freely available, easily accessible, interactive and comprehensive database for environmental effect data for pharmaceuticals. <i>Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology</i> , 2009, 55, 367-371.	1.3	44
40	The Swedish Environmental Classification and Information System for Pharmaceuticals – An empirical investigation of the motivations, intentions and expectations underlying its development and implementation. <i>Environment International</i> , 2009, 35, 778-786.	4.8	19