

Gary Edmond

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8430593/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

55
papers

813
citations

430874
18
h-index

580821
25
g-index

58
all docs

58
docs citations

58
times ranked

337
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Latent justice? A review of adversarial challenges to fingerprint evidence. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2022, 62, 21-29.	2.1	1
2	Simply Unconvincing: The High Court on Probative Value and Reliability in the Uniform Evidence Law. Federal Law Review, 2022, 50, 104-127.	0.4	1
3	Latent Justice. , 2022, , 248-295.		0
4	Reliability and validity of a quality tool for assessing clinical forensic medicine legal reports. Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine, 2022, 89, 102359.	1.0	1
5	Reply to Response to Vacuous standards – Subversion of the OSAC standards-development process. Forensic Science International (Online), 2021, 3, 100149.	1.3	2
6	Lay comprehension of statistical evidence: A novel measurement approach.. Law and Human Behavior, 2021, 45, 370-390.	0.7	1
7	Public attitudes towards the use of automatic facial recognition technology in criminal justice systems around the world. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0258241.	2.5	11
8	Communicating forensic science opinion: An examination of expert reporting practices. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2020, 60, 216-224.	2.1	21
9	Forensic science needs registered reports. Forensic Science International (Online), 2020, 2, 41-45.	1.3	2
10	Forensic science and the myth of adversarial testing. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2020, 32, 146-179.	1.4	5
11	Fingerprint Comparison and Adversarialism: The Scientific and Historical Evidence. Modern Law Review, 2020, 83, 1287-1327.	0.2	3
12	Exploring juror evaluations of expert opinions using the Expert Persuasion Expectancy framework. Legal and Criminological Psychology, 2020, 25, 90-110.	2.0	4
13	An expert’s novice comparison of feature choice. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2020, 34, 984-995.	1.6	6
14	Forensic science evidence: Naive estimates of false positive error rates and reliability. Forensic Science International, 2019, 302, 109877.	2.2	9
15	Just Cognition: Scientific Research on Bias and Some Implications for Legal Procedure and Decision-Making. Modern Law Review, 2019, 82, 633-664.	0.2	6
16	Antipodean forensics: a comment on ANZFSS’s response to PCAST. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2018, 50, 140-151.	1.2	5
17	Science Friction: Streamlined Forensic Reporting, Reliability and Justice. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 2018, 38, 764-792.	0.7	12
18	Peer review in forensic science. Forensic Science International, 2017, 277, 66-76.	2.2	27

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	On the likelihood of “encapsulating all uncertainty” Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 76-79.	2.1	16
20	Thinking forensics: Cognitive science for forensic practitioners. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 144-154.	2.1	51
21	Model forensic science. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 48, 496-537.	1.2	32
22	A little ignorance is a dangerous thing: engaging with exogenous knowledge not adduced by the parties. Griffith Law Review, 2016, 25, 383-413.	0.8	7
23	Judicial notice: beyond adversarialism and into the exogenous zone. Griffith Law Review, 2016, 25, 291-318.	0.8	7
24	Legal versus non-legal approaches to forensic science evidence. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2016, 20, 3-28.	0.4	13
25	Contextual bias and cross-contamination in the forensic sciences: the corrosive implications for investigations, plea bargains, trials and appeals. Law, Probability and Risk, 2015, 14, 1-25.	2.4	39
26	Just truth? Carefully applying history, philosophy and sociology of science to the forensic use of CCTV images. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, 2013, 44, 80-91.	1.3	7
27	Expert evidence in reports and courts. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2013, 45, 248-262.	1.2	10
28	Justicia’s Gaze: Surveillance, Evidence and the Criminal Trial. Surveillance & Society, 2013, 11, 252-271.	0.6	9
29	Is Reliability Sufficient? The Law Commission and Expert Evidence in International and Interdisciplinary Perspective (Part 1). International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2012, 16, 30-65.	0.4	22
30	Advice for the Courts? <i>Sufficiently Reliable</i> Assistance with Forensic Science and Medicine (Part 2). International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2012, 16, 263-297.	0.4	9
31	Reporting on the comparison and interpretation of pattern evidence: recommendations for forensic specialists. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2012, 44, 193-196.	1.2	15
32	Expressing evaluative forensic science opinions in a court of law. Law, Probability and Risk, 2012, 11, 289-302.	2.4	16
33	The Cool Crucible: Forensic Science and the Frailty of the Criminal Trial. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2012, 24, 51-68.	1.4	24
34	Actual innocents? Legal limitations and their implications for forensic science and medicine. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 43, 177-212.	1.2	17
35	Review essay: The building blocks of forensic science and law: Recent work on DNA profiling (and) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlook	2.5	15
36	A CONTEXTUAL APPROACH TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE STATE'S FORENSIC SCIENCE AND MEDICAL EVIDENCE. University of Toronto Law Journal, 2011, 61, 343-409.	0.2	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Impartiality, efficiency or reliability? A critical response to expert evidence law and procedure in Australia. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2010, 42, 83-99.	1.2	11
38	Law's Looking Glass: Expert Identification Evidence Derived from Photographic and Video Images. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2009, 20, 337-377.	1.4	57
39	Anti-social Epistemologies. Social Studies of Science, 2006, 36, 843-853.	2.5	10
40	Judging Surveys: Experts, Empirical Evidence and Law Reform. Federal Law Review, 2005, 33, 95-139.	0.4	2
41	Judging Surveys: Experts, Empirical Evidence and Law Reform. Federal Law Review, 2005, 33, 95-139.	0.4	2
42	Daubert and the Exclusionary Ethos: The Convergence of Corporate and Judicial Attitudes towards the Admissibility of Expert Evidence in Tort Litigation*. Law and Policy, 2004, 26, 231-257.	0.7	24
43	<i>Law</i> and the uncooked œœ a reply. Oceania, 2004, 75, 60-67.	0.3	0
44	Thick Decisions: Expertise, Advocacy and Reasonableness in the Federal Court of Australia. Oceania, 2004, 74, 190-230.	0.3	22
45	Conjectures and Exhumations: Citations of History, Philosophy and Sociology of Science in US Federal Courts. Law and Literature, 2002, 14, 309-366.	0.3	29
46	Legal Engineering. Social Studies of Science, 2002, 32, 371-412.	2.5	28
47	The Law-Set: The Legal-Scientific Production of Medical Propriety. Science Technology and Human Values, 2001, 26, 191-226.	3.1	29
48	Judicial Representations of Scientific Evidence. Modern Law Review, 2000, 63, 216-251.	0.2	27
49	Litigation Life. Social Studies of Science, 2000, 30, 265-316.	2.5	30
50	Creating (public) science in the Noah's Ark case. Public Understanding of Science, 1999, 8, 317-343.	2.8	12
51	Juggling science: From polemic to pastiche. Social Epistemology, 1999, 13, 215-233.	1.2	6
52	Representing the Sociology of Scientific Knowledge and Law. Science Communication, 1998, 19, 307-327.	3.3	10
53	Title is missing!. Public Understanding of Science, 1998, 7, 83-111.	2.8	4
54	Scientific literacy and the jury: reconsidering jury `competence'. Public Understanding of Science, 1997, 6, 329-357.	2.8	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Survey review. Metascience, 1996, 5, 40-58.	0.3	8