

Gary Edmond

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8430593/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

55
papers

813
citations

430442

18
h-index

580395

25
g-index

58
all docs

58
docs citations

58
times ranked

337
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Latent justice? A review of adversarial challenges to fingerprint evidence. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2022, 62, 21-29.	1.3	1
2	Simply Unconvincing: The High Court on Probative Value and Reliability in the Uniform Evidence Law. <i>Federal Law Review</i> , 2022, 50, 104-127.	0.2	1
3	Latent Justice. , 2022, , 248-295.		0
4	Reliability and validity of a quality tool for assessing clinical forensic medicine legal reports. <i>Journal of Clinical Forensic and Legal Medicine</i> , 2022, 89, 102359.	0.5	1
5	Reply to Response to Vacuous standards – Subversion of the OSAC standards-development process. <i>Forensic Science International (Online)</i> , 2021, 3, 100149.	0.6	2
6	Lay comprehension of statistical evidence: A novel measurement approach.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2021, 45, 370-390.	0.6	1
7	Public attitudes towards the use of automatic facial recognition technology in criminal justice systems around the world. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0258241.	1.1	11
8	Communicating forensic science opinion: An examination of expert reporting practices. <i>Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society</i> , 2020, 60, 216-224.	1.3	21
9	Forensic science needs registered reports. <i>Forensic Science International (Online)</i> , 2020, 2, 41-45.	0.6	2
10	Forensic science and the myth of adversarial testing. <i>Current Issues in Criminal Justice</i> , 2020, 32, 146-179.	0.8	5
11	Fingerprint Comparison and Adversarialism: The Scientific and Historical Evidence. <i>Modern Law Review</i> , 2020, 83, 1287-1327.	0.1	3
12	Exploring juror evaluations of expert opinions using the Expert Persuasion Expectancy framework. <i>Legal and Criminological Psychology</i> , 2020, 25, 90-110.	1.5	4
13	An expert – novice comparison of feature choice. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2020, 34, 984-995.	0.9	6
14	Forensic science evidence: Naive estimates of false positive error rates and reliability. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2019, 302, 109877.	1.3	9
15	Just Cognition: Scientific Research on Bias and Some Implications for Legal Procedure and Decision-Making. <i>Modern Law Review</i> , 2019, 82, 633-664.	0.1	6
16	Antipodean forensics: a comment on ANZFSS’s response to PCAST. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2018, 50, 140-151.	0.7	5
17	Science Friction: Streamlined Forensic Reporting, Reliability and Justice. <i>Oxford Journal of Legal Studies</i> , 2018, 38, 764-792.	0.5	12
18	Peer review in forensic science. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , 2017, 277, 66-76.	1.3	27

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	On the likelihood of "encapsulating all uncertainty", Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 76-79.	1.3	16
20	Thinking forensics: Cognitive science for forensic practitioners. Science and Justice - Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 2017, 57, 144-154.	1.3	51
21	Model forensic science. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2016, 48, 496-537.	0.7	32
22	A little ignorance is a dangerous thing: engaging with exogenous knowledge not adduced by the parties. Griffith Law Review, 2016, 25, 383-413.	0.6	7
23	Judicial notice: beyond adversarialism and into the exogenous zone. Griffith Law Review, 2016, 25, 291-318.	0.6	7
24	Legal versus non-legal approaches to forensic science evidence. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2016, 20, 3-28.	0.2	13
25	Contextual bias and cross-contamination in the forensic sciences: the corrosive implications for investigations, plea bargains, trials and appeals. Law, Probability and Risk, 2015, 14, 1-25.	1.2	39
26	Just truth? Carefully applying history, philosophy and sociology of science to the forensic use of CCTV images. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, 2013, 44, 80-91.	0.8	7
27	Expert evidence in reports and courts. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2013, 45, 248-262.	0.7	10
28	Justicia's Gaze: Surveillance, Evidence and the Criminal Trial. Surveillance & Society, 2013, 11, 252-271.	0.4	9
29	Is Reliability Sufficient? The Law Commission and Expert Evidence in International and Interdisciplinary Perspective (Part 1). International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2012, 16, 30-65.	0.2	22
30	Advice for the Courts? Sufficiently Reliable Assistance with Forensic Science and Medicine (Part 2). International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 2012, 16, 263-297.	0.2	9
31	Reporting on the comparison and interpretation of pattern evidence: recommendations for forensic specialists. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2012, 44, 193-196.	0.7	15
32	Expressing evaluative forensic science opinions in a court of law. Law, Probability and Risk, 2012, 11, 289-302.	1.2	16
33	The Cool Crucible: Forensic Science and the Frailty of the Criminal Trial. Current Issues in Criminal Justice, 2012, 24, 51-68.	0.8	24
34	Actual innocents? Legal limitations and their implications for forensic science and medicine. Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 2011, 43, 177-212.	0.7	17
35	Review essay: The building blocks of forensic science and law: Recent work on DNA profiling (and) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlook	1.5	15
36	A CONTEXTUAL APPROACH TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE STATE'S FORENSIC SCIENCE AND MEDICAL EVIDENCE. University of Toronto Law Journal, 2011, 61, 343-409.	1.1	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Impartiality, efficiency or reliability? A critical response to expert evidence law and procedure in Australia. <i>Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences</i> , 2010, 42, 83-99.	0.7	11
38	Law's Looking Glass: Expert Identification Evidence Derived from Photographic and Video Images. <i>Current Issues in Criminal Justice</i> , 2009, 20, 337-377.	0.8	57
39	Anti-social Epistemologies. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2006, 36, 843-853.	1.5	10
40	Judging Surveys: Experts, Empirical Evidence and Law Reform. <i>Federal Law Review</i> , 2005, 33, 95-139.	0.2	2
41	Judging Surveys: Experts, Empirical Evidence and Law Reform. <i>Federal Law Review</i> , 2005, 33, 95-139.	0.2	2
42	Daubert and the Exclusionary Ethos: The Convergence of Corporate and Judicial Attitudes towards the Admissibility of Expert Evidence in Tort Litigation*. <i>Law and Policy</i> , 2004, 26, 231-257.	0.3	24
43	<i>Law</i> and the uncooked "a reply. <i>Oceania</i> , 2004, 75, 60-67.	0.6	0
44	Thick Decisions: Expertise, Advocacy and Reasonableness in the Federal Court of Australia. <i>Oceania</i> , 2004, 74, 190-230.	0.6	22
45	Conjectures and Exhumations: Citations of History, Philosophy and Sociology of Science in US Federal Courts. <i>Law and Literature</i> , 2002, 14, 309-366.	0.2	29
46	Legal Engineering. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2002, 32, 371-412.	1.5	28
47	The Law-Set: The Legal-Scientific Production of Medical Propriety. <i>Science Technology and Human Values</i> , 2001, 26, 191-226.	1.7	29
48	Judicial Representations of Scientific Evidence. <i>Modern Law Review</i> , 2000, 63, 216-251.	0.1	27
49	Litigation Life. <i>Social Studies of Science</i> , 2000, 30, 265-316.	1.5	30
50	Creating (public) science in the Noah's Ark case. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 1999, 8, 317-343.	1.6	12
51	Juggling science: From polemic to pastiche. <i>Social Epistemology</i> , 1999, 13, 215-233.	0.7	6
52	Representing the Sociology of Scientific Knowledge and Law. <i>Science Communication</i> , 1998, 19, 307-327.	1.8	10
53	Title is missing!. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 1998, 7, 83-111.	1.6	4
54	Scientific literacy and the jury: reconsidering jury 'competence'. <i>Public Understanding of Science</i> , 1997, 6, 329-357.	1.6	30

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Survey review. Metascience, 1996, 5, 40-58.	0.1	8