Joachim Vandekerckhove

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8426216/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Hierarchical diffusion models for two-choice response times Psychological Methods, 2011, 16, 44-62.	2.7	224
2	Testing theories of post-error slowing. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2012, 74, 454-465.	0.7	206
3	A Bayesian Perspective on the Reproducibility Project: Psychology. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149794.	1.1	198
4	Diffusion model analysis with MATLAB: A DMAT primer. Behavior Research Methods, 2008, 40, 61-72.	2.3	182
5	Fitting the ratcliff diffusion model to experimental data. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2007, 14, 1011-1026.	1.4	172
6	Meta-analyses are no substitute for registered replications: a skeptical perspective on religious priming. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1365.	1.1	136
7	Introduction to Bayesian Inference for Psychology. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 5-34.	1.4	127
8	Pupil-Linked Arousal Determines Variability in Perceptual Decision Making. PLoS Computational Biology, 2014, 10, e1003854.	1.5	122
9	How attention influences perceptual decision making: Single-trial EEG correlates of drift-diffusion model parameters. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2017, 76, 117-130.	1.0	106
10	Extending JAGS: A tutorial on adding custom distributions to JAGS (with a diffusion model example). Behavior Research Methods, 2014, 46, 15-28.	2.3	98
11	A diffusion model decomposition of the practice effect. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2009, 16, 1026-1036.	1.4	95
12	The Quality of Response Time Data Inference: A Blinded, Collaborative Assessment of the Validity of Cognitive Models. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2019, 26, 1051-1069.	1.4	95
13	Influence of prior information on pain involves biased perceptual decision-making. Current Biology, 2014, 24, R679-R681.	1.8	89
14	Editorial: Bayesian methods for advancing psychological science. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 1-4.	1.4	89
15	Bayesian parameter estimation in the Expectancy Valence model of the Iowa gambling task. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2010, 54, 14-27.	1.0	87
16	A hierarchical latent stochastic differential equation model for affective dynamics Psychological Methods, 2011, 16, 468-490.	2.7	75
17	The EZ diffusion model provides a powerful test of simple empirical effects. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2017, 24, 547-556.	1.4	75
18	Metastudies for robust tests of theory. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018, 115, 2607-2612.	3.3	74

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	A diffusion model account of the relationship between the emotional flanker task and rumination and depression Emotion, 2013, 13, 739-747.	1.5	67
20	Robust Modeling in Cognitive Science. Computational Brain & Behavior, 2019, 2, 141-153.	0.9	58
21	Specificity of basic information processing and inhibitory control in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Psychological Medicine, 2014, 44, 617-631.	2.7	57
22	On the predictive validity of indirect attitude measures: Prediction of consumer choice behavior on the basis of affective priming in the picture–picture naming task. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2007, 43, 599-610.	1.3	56
23	Bayesian inference for psychology, part IV: parameter estimation and Bayes factors. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 102-113.	1.4	52
24	A Hierarchical Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Model for Continuous Repeated Measurement Data. Psychometrika, 2009, 74, 395-418.	1.2	51
25	Mechanisms underpinning inattention and hyperactivity: neurocognitive support for ADHD dimensionality. Psychological Medicine, 2014, 44, 3189-3201.	2.7	50
26	The case for formal methodology in scientific reform. Royal Society Open Science, 2021, 8, 200805.	1.1	50
27	Bayesian Data Analysis with the Bivariate Hierarchical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process Model. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 2016, 51, 106-119.	1.8	48
28	A cognitive latent variable model for the simultaneous analysis of behavioral and personality data. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2014, 60, 58-71.	1.0	47
29	Individual differences in attention influence perceptual decision making. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 18.	1.1	47
30	Identification of Everyday Objects on the Basis of Fragmented Outline Versions. Perception, 2008, 37, 271-289.	0.5	41
31	A Bayesian approach to mitigation of publication bias. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2016, 23, 74-86.	1.4	40
32	The latency of a visual evoked potential tracks the onset of decision making. NeuroImage, 2019, 197, 93-108.	2.1	39
33	Time-varying boundaries for diffusion models of decision making and response time. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1364.	1.1	35
34	A diffusion model account of age differences in posterror slowing Psychology and Aging, 2013, 28, 64-76.	1.4	31
35	Discussion points for Bayesian inference. Nature Human Behaviour, 2020, 4, 561-563.	6.2	31
36	Bayesian Cultural Consensus Theory. Field Methods, 2014, 26, 207-222.	0.5	29

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Bayesian Inference and Testing Any Hypothesis You Can Specify. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2018, 1, 281-295.	5.4	27
38	Individual Differences in Cortical Processing Speed Predict Cognitive Abilities: a Model-Based Cognitive Neuroscience Account. Computational Brain & Behavior, 2019, 2, 64-84.	0.9	25
39	A crossed random effects diffusion model for speeded semantic categorization decisions. Acta Psychologica, 2010, 133, 269-282.	0.7	22
40	A test of the diffusion model explanation for the worst performance rule using preregistration and blinding. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 713-725.	0.7	22
41	Psychological well-being and personality traits are associated with experiencing love in everyday life. Personality and Individual Differences, 2020, 153, 109620.	1.6	21
42	Bayesian inference for psychology, part III: Parameter estimation in nonstandard models. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2018, 25, 77-101.	1.4	18
43	What does it mean to feel loved: Cultural consensus and individual differences in felt love. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 2019, 36, 214-243.	1.4	18
44	The concavity effect is a compound of local and global effects. Perception & Psychophysics, 2007, 69, 1253-1260.	2.3	16
45	Do People Agree on What Makes One Feel Loved? A Cognitive Psychometric Approach to the Consensus on Felt Love. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152803.	1.1	11
46	The consistency test may be too weak to be useful: Its systematic application would not improve effect size estimation in meta-analyses. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2013, 57, 170-173.	1.0	10
47	Timing of Readiness Potentials Reflect a Decision-making Process in the Human Brain. Computational Brain & Behavior, 2021, 4, 264-283.	0.9	9
48	Cortico-Brainstem Mechanisms of Biased Perceptual Decision-Making in the Context of Pain. Journal of Pain, 2022, 23, 680-692.	0.7	9
49	A quantum probability account of individual differences in causal reasoning. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2018, 87, 76-97.	1.0	8
50	Modeling when people quit: Bayesian censored geometric models with hierarchical and latent-mixture extensions. Behavior Research Methods, 2018, 50, 406-415.	2.3	7
51	Poor stimulus discriminability as a common neuropsychological deficit between ADHD and reading ability in young children: a moderated mediation model. Psychological Medicine, 2017, 47, 255-266.	2.7	5
52	A joint process model of consensus and longitudinal dynamics. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2020, 98, 102386.	1.0	4
53	Cultural Consensus Theory for the evaluation of patients' mental health scores in forensic psychiatric hospitals. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 2020, 98, 102383.	1.0	3
54	Robust Diversity in Cognitive Science. Computational Brain & Behavior, 2019, 2, 271-276.	0.9	2