

# Ian S Armstrong

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8381657/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

21  
papers

560  
citations

933447

10  
h-index

752698

20  
g-index

22  
all docs

22  
docs citations

22  
times ranked

671  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) Myocardial Perfusion Imaging Guidelines: Instrumentation, Acquisition, Processing, and Interpretation. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2018, 25, 1784-1846.	2.1	241
2	Impact of point spread function modelling and time of flight on FDG uptake measurements in lung lesions using alternative filtering strategies. <i>EJNMMI Physics</i> , 2014, 1, 99.	2.7	67
3	Impact of point spread function modeling and time-of-flight on myocardial blood flow and myocardial flow reserve measurements for rubidium-82 cardiac PET. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2014, 21, 467-474.	2.1	52
4	Activity concentration measurements using a conjugate gradient (Siemens xSPECT) reconstruction algorithm in SPECT/CT. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2016, 37, 1212-1217.	1.1	39
5	The performance of quantitation methods in the evaluation of cardiac implantable electronic device (CIED) infection: A technical review. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2016, 23, 1457-1466.	2.1	31
6	Reduced-count myocardial perfusion SPECT with resolution recovery. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2012, 33, 121-129.	1.1	17
7	Assessment of motion correction in dynamic rubidium-82 cardiac PET with and without frame-by-frame adjustment of attenuation maps for calculation of myocardial blood flow. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2021, 28, 1334-1346.	2.1	15
8	A preliminary evaluation of a high temporal resolution data-driven motion correction algorithm for rubidium-82 on a SiPM PET-CT system. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2022, 29, 56-68.	2.1	13
9	The assessment of time-of-flight on image quality and quantification with reduced administered activity and scan times in 18F-FDG PET. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2015, 36, 728-737.	1.1	12
10	Incremental value of epicardial fat volume to coronary artery calcium score and traditional risk factors for predicting myocardial ischemia in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2022, 29, 1583-1592.	2.1	12
11	Advances in PET/CT Technology: An Update. <i>Seminars in Nuclear Medicine</i> , 2022, 52, 286-301.	4.6	12
12	Harmonizing standardized uptake value recovery between two PET/CT systems from different manufacturers when using resolution modelling and time-of-flight. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2017, 38, 650-655.	1.1	8
13	Assessing time-of-flight signal-to-noise ratio gains within the myocardium and subsequent reductions in administered activity in cardiac PET studies. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2019, 26, 405-412.	2.1	8
14	The prevalence of image degradation due to motion in rest-stress rubidium-82 imaging on a SiPM PET-CT system. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2022, 29, 1596-1606.	2.1	8
15	The impact of prompt gamma compensation on myocardial blood flow measurements with rubidium-82 dynamic PET. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2018, 25, 596-605.	2.1	7
16	Spatial dependence of activity concentration recovery for a conjugate gradient (Siemens xSPECT) algorithm using manufacturer-defined reconstruction presets. <i>Nuclear Medicine Communications</i> , 2019, 40, 287-293.	1.1	6
17	Evaluation of general-purpose collimators against high-resolution collimators with resolution recovery with a view to reducing radiation dose in myocardial perfusion SPECT: A preliminary phantom study. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2017, 24, 596-604.	2.1	5
18	What is the optimal activity ratio for same-day myocardial perfusion SPECT?. <i>Journal of Nuclear Cardiology</i> , 2021, 28, 350-353.	2.1	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	PET time-of-flight performance using analytic modeling and offset point-sources measurements. , 2010, , .		1
20	Understanding the impact of advanced PET reconstruction in cardiac PET: The devil is in the details. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology, 2018, 25, 1546-1549.	2.1	1
21	A tale of two phases: Can the worst of scans become the best of scans with motion correction?. Journal of Nuclear Cardiology, 2019, 26, 1930-1933.	2.1	1