Mette Kalager

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8380005/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

78 papers

4,491 citations

218592 26 h-index 65 g-index

83 all docs 83 docs citations

83 times ranked 5210 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Mortality in Norway and Sweden during the COVID-19 pandemic. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2022, 50, 38-45.	1.2	23
2	Longâ€ŧerm colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after adenoma removal in women and men. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2022, 55, 412-421.	1.9	11
3	Cancer outcome research – a European challenge Part II: Opportunities and priorities. Molecular Oncology, 2022, 16, 2300-2311.	2.1	1
4	Complete polyp resection with cold snare versus hot snare polypectomy for polyps of 4–9 mm: a randomized controlled trial. Endoscopy, 2022, 54, 961-969.	1.0	12
5	Colorectal Cancer Screening — Approach, Evidence, and Future Directions. , 2022, 1, .		17
6	Risk of hepatoâ€pancreatoâ€biliary cancer is increased by primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A populationâ€based cohort study. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2022, 10, 212-224.	1.6	14
7	Seroprevalence of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the adult population during the pre-vaccination period, Norway, winter $2020/21$. Eurosurveillance, 2022 , 27 , .	3.9	13
8	Real-Time Artificial Intelligence–Based Optical Diagnosis of Neoplastic Polyps during Colonoscopy. , 2022, 1, .		36
9	Letter: longâ€term colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after adenoma removal associated with heritable factors, colonoscopic surveillance and aspirin—authors' reply. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 2022, 55, 1363-1364.	1.9	O
10	Emergency hospital admissions, prognosis, and population mortality in Norway during the first wave of the Covid-19 epidemic. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 2022, 50, 795-802.	1.2	2
11	Impact of artificial intelligence on colorectal polyp detection for early-career endoscopists: an international comparative study. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2022, 57, 1272-1277.	0.6	3
12	A progressive three-state model to estimate time to cancer: a likelihood-based approach. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2022, 22, .	1.4	2
13	Artificial intelligence for polyp detection during colonoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endoscopy, 2021, 53, 277-284.	1.0	139
14	Colonoscopist Performance and Colorectal Cancer Risk After Adenoma Removal to Stratify Surveillance: Two Nationwide Observational Studies. Gastroenterology, 2021, 160, 1067-1074.e6.	0.6	30
15	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults: About Carcinoid Tumors and Cancer. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 263-264.	2.0	5
16	Estimation of overdiagnosis in colorectal cancer screening with sigmoidoscopy and faecal occult blood testing: comparison of simulation models. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e042158.	0.8	10
17	Cancer outcomes research—a European challenge: measures of the cancer burden. Molecular Oncology, 2021, 15, 3225-3241.	2.1	14
18	Evidence and Precaution for Legal Health Interventions: Learning From the COVID-19 Pandemic. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2021, 174, 1456-1457.	2.0	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Hopes and Hypes for Artificial Intelligence in Colorectal Cancer Screening. Gastroenterology, 2021, 161, 774-777.	0.6	21
20	Deep learning and cancer biomarkers: recognising lead-time bias. Lancet, The, 2021, 397, 194.	6.3	1
21	Covid-19 transmission in fitness centers in Norway - a randomized trial. BMC Public Health, 2021, 21, 2103.	1.2	14
22	Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After Removal of Adenomas During Screening Colonoscopies. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 875-883.e5.	0.6	112
23	Qualityâ€ofâ€life effects of screening mammography in Norway. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 146, 2104-2112.	2.3	13
24	Improving cancer screening programs. Science, 2020, 367, 143-144.	6.0	25
25	Disparities in Preventive Health Services: Targeting Minorities and Majorities. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 172, 287.	2.0	4
26	Towards a cancer mission in Horizon Europe: recommendations. Molecular Oncology, 2020, 14, 1589-1615.	2.1	33
27	The COVID-19 pandemic in Norway and Sweden – threats, trust, and impact on daily life: a comparative survey. BMC Public Health, 2020, 20, 1597.	1.2	81
28	Assessment of cancer screening effectiveness in the era of screening programs. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2020, 35, 891-897.	2.5	7
29	The future of colorectal cancer screening: Parentalism or shared decision-making?. Cmaj, 2020, 192, E484-E484.	0.9	2
30	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2020. Endoscopy, 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.0	255
31	Negative controls to detect uncontrolled confounding in observational studies of mammographic screening comparing participants and non-participants. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2020, 49, 1032-1042.	0.9	9
32	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. Gastroenterology, 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	0.6	11
33	Implications of different guidelines for surveillance after serrated polyp resection in United States of America and Europe. Endoscopy, 2019, 51, 750-758.	1.0	11
34	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a clinical practice guideline. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 367, 15515.	2.4	122
35	Lack of association between screening interval and cancer stage in Lynch syndrome may be accounted for by over-diagnosis; a prospective Lynch syndrome database report. Hereditary Cancer in Clinical Practice, 2019, 17, 8.	0.6	42
36	Time to abandon early detection cancer screening. European Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2019, 49, e13062.	1.7	22

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 734.	2.0	6
38	Examining Bias in Studies of Statin Treatment and Survival in Patients With Cancer. JAMA Oncology, 2018, 4, 63.	3.4	134
39	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening in Women and Men. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 169, 663.	2.0	3
40	Mortality From Postscreening (Interval) Colorectal Cancers Is Comparable to That From Cancer in Unscreened Patientsâ€"A Randomized Sigmoidoscopy Trial. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1787-1794.e3.	0.6	7
41	When no guideline recommendation is the best recommendation. Lancet, The, 2018, 392, 898-899.	6.3	5
42	Number of Adenomas Removed and Colorectal Cancers Prevented in Randomized Trials of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 1059-1068.e2.	0.6	8
43	The Screening Illustrator: separating the effects of lead-time and overdiagnosis in mammography screening. European Journal of Public Health, 2018, 28, 1138-1142.	0.1	4
44	Overdiagnosis in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Time to Acknowledge aÂBlind Spot. Gastroenterology, 2018, 155, 592-595.	0.6	42
45	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Women and Men. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2018, 168, 775-782.	2.0	117
46	Uterine morcellation and survival in uterine sarcomas. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 101, 62-68.	1.3	22
47	Gradual stiffness versus magnetic imagingâ€guided variable stiffness colonoscopes: A randomized noninferiority trial. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2017, 5, 128-133.	1.6	3
48	Are rapidly growing cancers more lethal?. European Journal of Cancer, 2017, 72, 210-214.	1.3	10
49	Aspirin, Colorectal Cancer, and Cause of Death: A Complex Landscape. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 568-569.	0.8	1
50	Lifestyle changes at middle age and mortality: a population-based prospective cohort study. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 2017, 71, 59-66.	2.0	12
51	Colorectal cancer death after adenoma removal in Scandinavia. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017, 52, 1377-1384.	0.6	5
52	America, We Are Confused: The Updated U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation on Colorectal Cancer Screening. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 166, 139.	2.0	7
53	Overview of guidelines on breast screening: Why recommendations differ and what to do about it. Breast, 2017, 31, 261-269.	0.9	32
54	Effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in men and women and different age groups: pooled analysis of randomised trials. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 356, i6673.	2.4	100

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2016, 176, 894.	2.6	258
56	Recognizing Data Generation. New England Journal of Medicine, 2016, 374, 1898-1898.	13.9	13
57	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. Endoscopy, 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.0	90
58	The continuing uncertainty about cancer risk in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut, 2016, 65, 889-893.	6.1	52
59	Bounding the per-protocol effect in randomized trials: an application to colorectal cancer screening. Trials, 2015, 16, 541.	0.7	22
60	Benefits and harms of mammography screening. Breast Cancer Research, 2015, 17, 63.	2.2	230
61	Do's and don'ts in evaluation of endoscopic screening for gastrointestinal cancers. Endoscopy, 2015, 48, 75-80.	1.0	13
62	Long-term lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer screening: randomised controlled trial. Gut, 2015, 64, 1268-1276.	6.1	49
63	Methods to Estimate the Comparative Effectiveness of Clinical Strategies that Administer the Same Intervention at Different Times. Current Epidemiology Reports, 2015, 2, 149-161.	1.1	15
64	Long-term risk of colorectal cancer in individuals with serrated polyps. Gut, 2015, 64, 929-936.	6.1	140
65	Overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: women have minimal prior awareness of the issue, and their screening intentions are influenced by the size of the risk. Evidence-based Nursing, 2014, 17, 7-8.	0.1	2
66	Effect of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 312, 606.	3.8	349
67	Too much mammography. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g1403-g1403.	3.0	16
68	Long-Term Colorectal-Cancer Mortality after Adenoma Removal. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 371, 799-807.	13.9	275
69	Limited use of nonprogram screening in Norway. International Journal of Cancer, 2013, 132, 1723-1724.	2.3	1
70	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. Endoscopy, 2013, 45, 842-864.	1.0	498
71	Longâ€ŧerm effectiveness of endoscopic screening on incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer: A randomized trial. United European Gastroenterology Journal, 2013, 1, 162-168.	1.6	13
72	Failure to account for selection-bias. International Journal of Cancer, 2013, 133, n/a-n/a.	2.3	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Prognosis in women with interval breast cancer: population based observational cohort study. BMJ, The, 2012, 345, e7536-e7536.	3.0	30
74	Mammography Screening for Breast Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine, 2012, 367, e31.	13.9	19
75	Overdiagnosis of Invasive Breast Cancer Due to Mammography Screening: Results From the Norwegian Screening Program. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2012, 156, 491.	2.0	205
76	Regression of screening-detected breast cancer. Lancet Oncology, The, 2011, 12, 1083-1084.	5.1	1
77	Effect of Screening Mammography on Breast-Cancer Mortality in Norway. New England Journal of Medicine, 2010, 363, 1203-1210.	13.9	467
78	Improved breast cancer survival following introduction of an organized mammography screening program among both screened and unscreened women: a population-based cohort study. Breast Cancer Research, 2009, 11, R44.	2.2	69