

Mette Kalager

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8380005/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

78
papers

4,491
citations

218592

26
h-index

106281

65
g-index

83
all docs

83
docs citations

83
times ranked

5210
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2013, 45, 842-864.	1.0	498
2	Effect of Screening Mammography on Breast-Cancer Mortality in Norway. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2010, 363, 1203-1210.	13.9	467
3	Effect of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2014, 312, 606.	3.8	349
4	Long-Term Colorectal-Cancer Mortality after Adenoma Removal. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2014, 371, 799-807.	13.9	275
5	Population-Based Colonoscopy Screening for Colorectal Cancer. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 176, 894.	2.6	258
6	Post-polypectomy colonoscopy surveillance: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline – Update 2020. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2020, 52, 687-700.	1.0	255
7	Benefits and harms of mammography screening. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2015, 17, 63.	2.2	230
8	Overdiagnosis of Invasive Breast Cancer Due to Mammography Screening: Results From the Norwegian Screening Program. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2012, 156, 491.	2.0	205
9	Long-term risk of colorectal cancer in individuals with serrated polyps. <i>Gut</i> , 2015, 64, 929-936.	6.1	140
10	Artificial intelligence for polyp detection during colonoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2021, 53, 277-284.	1.0	139
11	Examining Bias in Studies of Statin Treatment and Survival in Patients With Cancer. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2018, 4, 63.	3.4	134
12	Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a clinical practice guideline. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2019, 367, l5515.	2.4	122
13	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening on Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Women and Men. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 168, 775-782.	2.0	117
14	Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality After Removal of Adenomas During Screening Colonoscopies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 158, 875-883.e5.	0.6	112
15	Effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in men and women and different age groups: pooled analysis of randomised trials. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 356, i6673.	2.4	100
16	Rationale and design of the European Polyp Surveillance (EPoS) trials. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2016, 48, 571-578.	1.0	90
17	The COVID-19 pandemic in Norway and Sweden – threats, trust, and impact on daily life: a comparative survey. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2020, 20, 1597.	1.2	81
18	Improved breast cancer survival following introduction of an organized mammography screening program among both screened and unscreened women: a population-based cohort study. <i>Breast Cancer Research</i> , 2009, 11, R44.	2.2	69

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	The continuing uncertainty about cancer risk in inflammatory bowel disease. <i>Gut</i> , 2016, 65, 889-893.	6.1	52
20	Long-term lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer screening: randomised controlled trial. <i>Gut</i> , 2015, 64, 1268-1276.	6.1	49
21	Overdiagnosis in Colorectal Cancer Screening: Time to Acknowledge a Blind Spot. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 592-595.	0.6	42
22	Lack of association between screening interval and cancer stage in Lynch syndrome may be accounted for by over-diagnosis; a prospective Lynch syndrome database report. <i>Hereditary Cancer in Clinical Practice</i> , 2019, 17, 8.	0.6	42
23	Real-Time Artificial Intelligence-Based Optical Diagnosis of Neoplastic Polyps during Colonoscopy. , 2022, 1, .		36
24	Towards a cancer mission in Horizon Europe: recommendations. <i>Molecular Oncology</i> , 2020, 14, 1589-1615.	2.1	33
25	Overview of guidelines on breast screening: Why recommendations differ and what to do about it. <i>Breast</i> , 2017, 31, 261-269.	0.9	32
26	Prognosis in women with interval breast cancer: population based observational cohort study. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2012, 345, e7536-e7536.	3.0	30
27	Colonoscopist Performance and Colorectal Cancer Risk After Adenoma Removal to Stratify Surveillance: Two Nationwide Observational Studies. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 160, 1067-1074.e6.	0.6	30
28	Improving cancer screening programs. <i>Science</i> , 2020, 367, 143-144.	6.0	25
29	Mortality in Norway and Sweden during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2022, 50, 38-45.	1.2	23
30	Bounding the per-protocol effect in randomized trials: an application to colorectal cancer screening. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 541.	0.7	22
31	Uterine morcellation and survival in uterine sarcomas. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2018, 101, 62-68.	1.3	22
32	Time to abandon early detection cancer screening. <i>European Journal of Clinical Investigation</i> , 2019, 49, e13062.	1.7	22
33	Hopes and Hypes for Artificial Intelligence in Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2021, 161, 774-777.	0.6	21
34	Mammography Screening for Breast Cancer. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2012, 367, e31.	13.9	19
35	Colorectal Cancer Screening Approach, Evidence, and Future Directions. , 2022, 1, .		17
36	Too much mammography. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2014, 348, g1403-g1403.	3.0	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Methods to Estimate the Comparative Effectiveness of Clinical Strategies that Administer the Same Intervention at Different Times. <i>Current Epidemiology Reports</i> , 2015, 2, 149-161.	1.1	15
38	Cancer outcomes researchâ€”a European challenge: measures of the cancer burden. <i>Molecular Oncology</i> , 2021, 15, 3225-3241.	2.1	14
39	Covid-19 transmission in fitness centers in Norway - a randomized trial. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2021, 21, 2103.	1.2	14
40	Risk of hepatoâ€”pancreatoâ€”biliary cancer is increased by primary sclerosing cholangitis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A populationâ€”based cohort study. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2022, 10, 212-224.	1.6	14
41	Longâ€”term effectiveness of endoscopic screening on incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer: A randomized trial. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2013, 1, 162-168.	1.6	13
42	Doâ€”TMs and donâ€”TMs in evaluation of endoscopic screening for gastrointestinal cancers. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 48, 75-80.	1.0	13
43	Recognizing Data Generation. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2016, 374, 1898-1898.	13.9	13
44	Qualityâ€”ofâ€”life effects of screening mammography in Norway. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2020, 146, 2104-2112.	2.3	13
45	Seroprevalence of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the adult population during the pre-vaccination period, Norway, winter 2020/21. <i>Eurosurveillance</i> , 2022, 27, .	3.9	13
46	Lifestyle changes at middle age and mortality: a population-based prospective cohort study. <i>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</i> , 2017, 71, 59-66.	2.0	12
47	Complete polyp resection with cold snare versus hot snare polypectomy for polyps of 4â€”9 mm: a randomized controlled trial. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2022, 54, 961-969.	1.0	12
48	Implications of different guidelines for surveillance after serrated polyp resection in United States of America and Europe. <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2019, 51, 750-758.	1.0	11
49	Principles for Evaluation of Surveillance After Removal of Colorectal Polyps: Recommendations From the World Endoscopy Organization. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2020, 158, 1529-1533.e4.	0.6	11
50	Longâ€”term colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after adenoma removal in women and men. <i>Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics</i> , 2022, 55, 412-421.	1.9	11
51	Are rapidly growing cancers more lethal?. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 72, 210-214.	1.3	10
52	Estimation of overdiagnosis in colorectal cancer screening with sigmoidoscopy and faecal occult blood testing: comparison of simulation models. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e042158.	0.8	10
53	Negative controls to detect uncontrolled confounding in observational studies of mammographic screening comparing participants and non-participants. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 49, 1032-1042.	0.9	9
54	Number of Adenomas Removed and Colorectal Cancers Prevented in Randomized Trials of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy Screening. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 1059-1068.e2.	0.6	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	America, We Are Confused: The Updated U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation on Colorectal Cancer Screening. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2017, 166, 139.	2.0	7
56	Mortality From Postscreening (Interval) Colorectal Cancers Is Comparable to That From Cancer in Unscreened Patientsâ€”A Randomized Sigmoidoscopy Trial. <i>Gastroenterology</i> , 2018, 155, 1787-1794.e3.	0.6	7
57	Assessment of cancer screening effectiveness in the era of screening programs. <i>European Journal of Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 35, 891-897.	2.5	7
58	From Colorectal Cancer Screening Guidelines to Headlines: Beware!. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 170, 734.	2.0	6
59	Failure to account for selection-bias. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 133, n/a-n/a.	2.3	5
60	Colorectal cancer death after adenoma removal in Scandinavia. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2017, 52, 1377-1384.	0.6	5
61	When no guideline recommendation is the best recommendation. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2018, 392, 898-899.	6.3	5
62	Colorectal Cancer Screening in Young Adults: About Carcinoid Tumors and Cancer. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 263-264.	2.0	5
63	The Screening Illustrator: separating the effects of lead-time and overdiagnosis in mammography screening. <i>European Journal of Public Health</i> , 2018, 28, 1138-1142.	0.1	4
64	Disparities in Preventive Health Services: Targeting Minorities and Majorities. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 172, 287.	2.0	4
65	Gradual stiffness versus magnetic imagingâ€”guided variable stiffness colonoscopes: A randomized noninferiority trial. <i>United European Gastroenterology Journal</i> , 2017, 5, 128-133.	1.6	3
66	Long-Term Effectiveness of Sigmoidoscopy Screening in Women and Men. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 169, 663.	2.0	3
67	Impact of artificial intelligence on colorectal polyp detection for early-career endoscopists: an international comparative study. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2022, 57, 1272-1277.	0.6	3
68	Overdiagnosis in breast cancer screening: women have minimal prior awareness of the issue, and their screening intentions are influenced by the size of the risk. <i>Evidence-based Nursing</i> , 2014, 17, 7-8.	0.1	2
69	The future of colorectal cancer screening: Parentalism or shared decision-making?. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2020, 192, E484-E484.	0.9	2
70	Evidence and Precaution for Legal Health Interventions: Learning From the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 174, 1456-1457.	2.0	2
71	Emergency hospital admissions, prognosis, and population mortality in Norway during the first wave of the Covid-19 epidemic. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Public Health</i> , 2022, 50, 795-802.	1.2	2
72	A progressive three-state model to estimate time to cancer: a likelihood-based approach. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2022, 22, .	1.4	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Regression of screening-detected breast cancer. <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2011, 12, 1083-1084.	5.1	1
74	Limited use of nonprogram screening in Norway. <i>International Journal of Cancer</i> , 2013, 132, 1723-1724.	2.3	1
75	Aspirin, Colorectal Cancer, and Cause of Death: A Complex Landscape. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2017, 35, 568-569.	0.8	1
76	Deep learning and cancer biomarkers: recognising lead-time bias. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2021, 397, 194.	6.3	1
77	Cancer outcome research – a European challenge Part II: Opportunities and priorities. <i>Molecular Oncology</i> , 2022, 16, 2300-2311.	2.1	1
78	Letter: long-term colorectal cancer incidence and mortality after adenoma removal associated with heritable factors, colonoscopic surveillance and aspirin – authors' reply. <i>Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics</i> , 2022, 55, 1363-1364.	1.9	0