

Elfriede Bollschweiler

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8350566/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

47
papers

2,263
citations

257357

24
h-index

265120

42
g-index

48
all docs

48
docs citations

48
times ranked

2633
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Comment on “Local Endoscopic Resection a Viable Therapeutic Option for Early Clinical Stage T1a and T1b Esophageal Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity-matched Analysis” Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e916-e917.	2.1	0
2	External Validation of Pretreatment Pathological Tumor Extent in Patients with Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Plus Surgery for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1250-1258.	0.7	6
3	Propensity score-matched comparison between open and minimal invasive hybrid esophagectomy for esophageal adenocarcinoma. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2020, 405, 521-532.	0.8	6
4	Different response rates to chemotherapy between Japanese and German esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: patients may be influenced by <i>ERCC1</i> or <i>ABC B1</i> . Future Oncology, 2020, 16, 2075-2087.	1.1	2
5	Neoadjuvant chemoradiation changes podoplanin expression in esophageal cancer patients. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2020, 26, 3236-3248.	1.4	1
6	Upregulation of miR-17-92 cluster is associated with progression and lymph node metastasis in oesophageal adenocarcinoma. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 12113.	1.6	12
7	Neoadjuvant chemoradiation for patients with advanced oesophageal cancer – which response grading system best impacts prognostic discrimination?. Histopathology, 2019, 74, 731-743.	1.6	20
8	Prognostic relevance of tumor response after neoadjuvant therapy for patients with esophageal cancer. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S228-S228.	0.7	3
9	Total minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal adenocarcinoma reduces postoperative pain and pneumonia compared to hybrid esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 4957-4965.	1.3	37
10	Cancer of the gastroesophageal junction: a diagnosis, classification, and management review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 132-138.	1.8	64
11	Glucose transporters 1, 3, 6, and 10 are expressed in gastric cancer and glucose transporter 3 is associated with UICC stage and survival. Gastric Cancer, 2017, 20, 83-91.	2.7	48
12	Current and future treatment options for esophageal cancer in the elderly. Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy, 2017, 18, 1001-1010.	0.9	102
13	Somatic BRCA1-associated protein 1 (BAP1) loss is an early and rare event in esophageal adenocarcinoma. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2017, 7, 225-228.	0.4	7
14	High protein and mRNA expression levels of TUBB3 (class III β -tubulin) are associated with aggressive tumor features in esophageal adenocarcinomas. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 115179-115189.	0.8	13
15	Extranodal extension of lymph node metastasis is a marker of poor prognosis in oesophageal cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2016, 69, 956-961.	1.0	30
16	Gastric Outlet Obstruction After Esophagectomy: Retrospective Analysis of the Effectiveness and Safety of Postoperative Endoscopic Pyloric Dilatation. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 2405-2411.	0.8	39
17	The Barrett-associated variants at <i>GDF7</i> and <i>TBX5</i> also increase esophageal adenocarcinoma risk. Cancer Medicine, 2016, 5, 888-891.	1.3	21
18	Prognostic Relevance of Lymph Node Regression After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation for Esophageal Cancer. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2016, 28, 549-558.	0.4	33

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Supportive evidence for <i>FOXP1</i> , <i>BARX1</i> , and <i>FOXF1</i> as genetic risk loci for the development of esophageal adenocarcinoma. <i>Cancer Medicine</i> , 2015, 4, 1700-1704.	1.3	26
20	Both GLUT-1 and GLUT-14 are Independent Prognostic Factors in Gastric Adenocarcinoma. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2015, 22, 822-831.	0.7	36
21	Reply to the Comment on: Htlscher AH, Bollschweiler E, Bogoevski D, Schmidt H, Semrau R, Izbicki JR. Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> . 2014;50(17):2950–7. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 51, 2097-2098.	1.3	0
22	Molecular markers predicting lymph node metastasis in early esophageal cancer. <i>Histology and Histopathology</i> , 2015, 30, 1193-202.	0.5	7
23	Neoadjuvant treatment for advanced esophageal cancer: response assessment before surgery and how to predict response to chemoradiation before starting treatment. <i>Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research</i> , 2015, 27, 221-30.	0.7	16
24	GLUT-1 and GLUT-14 as independent prognostic factors in gastric adenocarcinoma.. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2015, 33, e15064-e15064.	0.8	0
25	Prognostic impact of blood biomarkers TS and DPD in neoadjuvant-treated esophageal cancer patients. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2015, 35, 1297-302.	0.5	2
26	Treatment of early gastric cancer in the Western World. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 20, 5672.	1.4	58
27	Pathohistological classification systems in gastric cancer: Diagnostic relevance and prognostic value. <i>World Journal of Gastroenterology</i> , 2014, 20, 5679.	1.4	143
28	What Should Be the Gold Standard for the Surgical Component in the Treatment of Locally Advanced Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2014, 260, 1016-1022.	2.1	93
29	Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2014, 50, 2950-2957.	1.3	34
30	Validation of 2-mm tissue microarray technology in gastric cancer. Agreement of 2-mm TMAs and full sections for Glut-1 and Hif-1 alpha. <i>Anticancer Research</i> , 2014, 34, 3313-20.	0.5	9
31	Prognostic relevance of nutritional status in patients with advanced esophageal cancer. <i>Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy</i> , 2013, 13, 275-278.	1.1	12
32	Invited Commentary. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2012, 93, 428.	0.7	0
33	Prognostic Significance of a New Grading System of Lymph Node Morphology After Neoadjuvant Radiochemotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Thoracic Surgery</i> , 2011, 92, 2020-2027.	0.7	45
34	Influence of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation on the Number and Size of Analyzed Lymph Nodes in Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2010, 17, 3187-3194.	0.7	44
35	Histologic tumor type and the rate of complete response after neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer. <i>Future Oncology</i> , 2010, 6, 25-35.	1.1	49
36	High Prevalence of Colonic Polyps in White Males with Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. <i>Diseases of the Colon and Rectum</i> , 2009, 52, 299-304.	0.7	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Prevalence of Dysphagia in Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux in Germany. <i>Dysphagia</i> , 2008, 23, 172-176.	1.0	42
38	Improving Informed Consent of Surgical Patients Using a Multimedia-Based Program?. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2008, 248, 205-211.	2.1	102
39	Staging of esophageal carcinoma: Length of tumor and number of involved regional lymph nodes. Are these independent prognostic factors?. <i>Journal of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2006, 94, 355-363.	0.8	137
40	Histomorphologic Tumor Regression and Lymph Node Metastases Determine Prognosis Following Neoadjuvant Radiochemotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> , 2005, 242, 684-692.	2.1	334
41	Evaluation of POSSUM scoring system in patients with gastric cancer undergoing D2-gastrectomy. <i>BMC Surgery</i> , 2005, 5, 8.	0.6	29
42	Bile Reflux into the Stomach and the Esophagus for Volunteers Older than 40 Years. <i>Digestion</i> , 2005, 71, 65-71.	1.2	20
43	Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus--Differences in Etiology, Epidemiology and Prevention. <i>Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2004, 3, 201-204.	0.1	3
44	Impact of a Hospital's Workload on Clinical Outcome after Resection for Carcinoma of the Esophagus. <i>Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology</i> , 2004, 3, 244-248.	0.1	0
45	Benefits and limitations of Kaplan-Meier calculations of survival chance in cancer surgery. <i>Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery</i> , 2003, 388, 239-244.	0.8	47
46	Vitamin intake and risk of subtypes of esophageal cancer in Germany. <i>Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology</i> , 2002, 128, 575-580.	1.2	82
47	Demographic variations in the rising incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in white males. <i>Cancer</i> , 2001, 92, 549-555.	2.0	430