Elfriede Bollschweiler

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8350566/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

47 papers

2,263 citations

257450 24 h-index 265206 42 g-index

48 all docs 48 docs citations

48 times ranked

2633 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Demographic variations in the rising incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in white males. Cancer, 2001, 92, 549-555.	4.1	430
2	Histomorphologic Tumor Regression and Lymph Node Metastases Determine Prognosis Following Neoadjuvant Radiochemotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2005, 242, 684-692.	4.2	334
3	Pathohistological classification systems in gastric cancer: Diagnostic relevance and prognostic value. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2014, 20, 5679.	3.3	143
4	Staging of esophageal carcinoma: Length of tumor and number of involved regional lymph nodes. Are these independent prognostic factors?. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2006, 94, 355-363.	1.7	137
5	Improving Informed Consent of Surgical Patients Using a Multimedia-Based Program?. Annals of Surgery, 2008, 248, 205-211.	4.2	102
6	Current and future treatment options for esophageal cancer in the elderly. Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy, 2017, 18, 1001-1010.	1.8	102
7	What Should Be the Gold Standard for the Surgical Component in the Treatment of Locally Advanced Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2014, 260, 1016-1022.	4.2	93
8	Vitamin intake and risk of subtypes of esophageal cancer in Germany. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, 2002, 128, 575-580.	2.5	82
9	Cancer of the gastroesophageal junction: a diagnosis, classification, and management review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2018, 1434, 132-138.	3.8	64
10	Treatment of early gastric cancer in the Western World. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2014, 20, 5672.	3.3	58
11	Histologic tumor type and the rate of complete response after neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal cancer. Future Oncology, 2010, 6, 25-35.	2.4	49
12	Glucose transporters 1, 3, 6, and 10 are expressed in gastric cancer and glucose transporter 3 is associated with UICC stage and survival. Gastric Cancer, 2017, 20, 83-91.	5.3	48
13	Benefits and limitations of Kaplan?Meier calculations of survival chance in cancer surgery. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2003, 388, 239-244.	1.9	47
14	Prognostic Significance of a New Grading System of Lymph Node Morphology After Neoadjuvant Radiochemotherapy for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2011, 92, 2020-2027.	1.3	45
15	Influence of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation on the Number and Size of Analyzed Lymph Nodes in Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 17, 3187-3194.	1.5	44
16	Prevalence of Dysphagia in Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux in Germany. Dysphagia, 2008, 23, 172-176.	1.8	42
17	Gastric Outlet Obstruction After Esophagectomy: Retrospective Analysis of the Effectiveness and Safety of Postoperative Endoscopic Pyloric Dilatation. World Journal of Surgery, 2016, 40, 2405-2411.	1.6	39
18	Total minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal adenocarcinoma reduces postoperative pain and pneumonia compared to hybrid esophagectomy. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 4957-4965.	2.4	37

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Both GLUT-1 and GLUT-14 are Independent Prognostic Factors in Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 822-831.	1.5	36
20	Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 2014, 50, 2950-2957.	2.8	34
21	Prognostic Relevance of Lymph Node Regression After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation for Esophageal Cancer. Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2016, 28, 549-558.	0.6	33
22	Extranodal extension of lymph node metastasis is a marker of poor prognosis in oesophageal cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2016, 69, 956-961.	2.0	30
23	Evaluation of POSSUM scoring system in patients with gastric cancer undergoing D2-gastrectomy. BMC Surgery, 2005, 5, 8.	1.3	29
24	Supportive evidence for <i><scp>FOXP</scp>1</i> <, <i><scp>BARX</scp>1</i> , and <i><scp>FOXF</scp>1</i> as genetic risk loci for the development of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Medicine, 2015, 4, 1700-1704.	2.8	26
25	The Barrettâ€associated variants at <i><scp>GDF</scp>7</i> and <i><scp>TBX</scp>5</i> also increase esophageal adenocarcinoma risk. Cancer Medicine, 2016, 5, 888-891.	2.8	21
26	Bile Reflux into the Stomach and the Esophagus for Volunteers Older than 40 Years. Digestion, 2005, 71, 65-71.	2.3	20
27	Neoadjuvant chemoradiation for patients with advanced oesophageal cancer – which response grading system best impacts prognostic discrimination?. Histopathology, 2019, 74, 731-743.	2.9	20
28	High Prevalence of Colonic Polyps in White Males with Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2009, 52, 299-304.	1.3	19
29	Neoadjuvant treatment for advanced esophageal cancer: response assessment before surgery and how to predict response to chemoradiation before starting treatment. Chinese Journal of Cancer Research: Official Journal of China Anti-Cancer Association, Beijing Institute for Cancer Research, 2015, 27, 221-30.	2.2	16
30	High protein and mRNA expression levels of TUBB3 (class III ß-tubulin) are associated with aggressive tumor features in esophageal adenocarcinomas. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 115179-115189.	1.8	13
31	Prognostic relevance of nutritional status in patients with advanced esophageal cancer. Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy, 2013, 13, 275-278.	2.4	12
32	Upregulation of miR-17-92 cluster is associated with progression and lymph node metastasis in oesophageal adenocarcinoma. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 12113.	3.3	12
33	Validation of 2-mm tissue microarray technology in gastric cancer. Agreement of 2-mm TMAs and full sections for Glut-1 and Hif-1 alpha. Anticancer Research, 2014, 34, 3313-20.	1.1	9
34	Somatic BRCA1â€'associated protein 1 (BAP1) loss is an early and rare event in esophageal adenocarcinoma. Molecular and Clinical Oncology, 2017, 7, 225-228.	1.0	7
35	Molecular markers predicting lymph node metastasis in early esophageal cancer. Histology and Histopathology, 2015, 30, 1193-202.	0.7	7
36	External Validation of Pretreatment Pathological Tumor Extent in Patients with Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Plus Surgery for Esophageal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1250-1258.	1.5	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Propensity score–matched comparison between open and minimal invasive hybrid esophagectomy for esophageal adenocarcinoma. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery, 2020, 405, 521-532.	1.9	6
38	Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Adenocarcinoma of the Esophagus-Differences in Etiology, Epidemiology and Prevention. Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 3, 201-204.	0.1	3
39	Prognostic relevance of tumor response after neoadjuvant therapy for patients with esophageal cancer. Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019, 7, S228-S228.	1.7	3
40	Different response rates to chemotherapy between Japanese and German esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: patients may be influenced by <i>ERCC1</i> or <i>ABCB1</i> . Future Oncology, 2020, 16, 2075-2087.	2.4	2
41	Prognostic impact of blood biomarkers TS and DPD in neoadjuvant-treated esophageal cancer patients. Anticancer Research, 2015, 35, 1297-302.	1.1	2
42	Neoadjuvant chemoradiation changes podoplanin expression in esophageal cancer patients. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2020, 26, 3236-3248.	3.3	1
43	Impact of a Hospital?s Workload on Clinical Outcome after Resection for Carcinoma of the Esophagus. Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 3, 244-248.	0.1	O
44	Invited Commentary. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2012, 93, 428.	1.3	0
45	Reply to the Comment on: Hölscher AH, Bollschweiler E, Bogoevski D, Schmidt H, Semrau R, Izbicki JR. Prognostic impact of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in cT3 oesophageal cancer – A propensity score matched analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2014;50(17):2950–7. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2097-2098.	2.8	O
46	Comment on "ls Local Endoscopic Resection a Viable Therapeutic Option for Early Clinical Stage T1a and T1b Esophageal Adenocarcinoma? A Propensity-matched Analysis†Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e916-e917.	4.2	0
47	GLUT-1 and GLUT-14 as independent prognostic factors in gastric adenocarcinoma Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2015, 33, e15064-e15064.	1.6	0