

Emily J Lancsar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8332243/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

64
papers

5,005
citations

186209

28
h-index

114418

63
g-index

67
all docs

67
docs citations

67
times ranked

4953
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Social acceptability of standard and behavioral economic inspired policies designed to reduce and prevent obesity. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2022, 31, 197-214.	0.8	4
2	Systematic Review of Conceptual, Age, Measurement and Valuation Considerations for Generic Multidimensional Childhood Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2022, 40, 379-431.	1.7	28
3	The path towards herd immunity: Predicting COVID-19 vaccination uptake through results from a stated choice study across six continents. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2022, 298, 114800.	1.8	17
4	Preference Elicitation Techniques Used in Valuing Children's Health-Related Quality-of-Life: A Systematic Review. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2022, 40, 663-698.	1.7	5
5	Antipsychotic choice: understanding shared decision-making among doctors and patients. <i>Journal of Mental Health</i> , 2021, 30, 66-73.	1.0	6
6	Cost of whole genome sequencing for non-typhoidal <i>Salmonella enterica</i> . <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0248561.	1.1	10
7	Healthcare Funding Decisions and Real-World Benefits: Reducing Bias by Matching Untreated Patients. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2021, 39, 741-756.	1.7	0
8	Hypothetical bias in stated choice experiments: Part I. Macro-scale analysis of literature and integrative synthesis of empirical evidence from applied economics, experimental psychology and neuroimaging. <i>Journal of Choice Modelling</i> , 2021, 41, 100309.	1.2	38
9	Hypothetical bias in stated choice experiments: Part II. Conceptualisation of external validity, sources and explanations of bias and effectiveness of mitigation methods. <i>Journal of Choice Modelling</i> , 2021, 41, 100322.	1.2	37
10	Estimating decision rule differences between "best" and "worst" choices in a sequential best worst discrete choice experiment. <i>Journal of Choice Modelling</i> , 2021, 41, 100307.	1.2	2
11	An integrated modelling approach examining the influence of goals, habit and learning on choice using visual attention data. <i>Journal of Business Research</i> , 2020, 117, 44-57.	5.8	4
12	The relative value of different QALY types. <i>Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2020, 70, 102303.	1.3	20
13	Empirical Investigation of Ranking vs Best-Worst Scaling Generated Preferences for Attributes of Quality of Life: One and the Same or Differentiable?. <i>Patient</i> , 2020, 13, 307-315.	1.1	1
14	Investigating business outcomes of healthy food retail strategies: A systematic scoping review. <i>Obesity Reviews</i> , 2019, 20, 1384-1399.	3.1	30
15	Mind the (inter-rater) gap. An investigation of self-reported versus proxy-reported assessments in the derivation of childhood utility values for economic evaluation: A systematic review. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2019, 240, 112543.	1.8	38
16	Cost of <i>Salmonella</i> Infections in Australia, 2015. <i>Journal of Food Protection</i> , 2019, 82, 1607-1614.	0.8	13
17	Accounts from developers of generic health state utility instruments explain why they produce different QALYs: A qualitative study. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2019, 240, 112560.	1.8	10
18	Sugar-sweetened beverage price elasticities in a hypothetical convenience store. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2019, 225, 98-107.	1.8	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Developing a new quality of life instrument with older people for economic evaluation in aged care: study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e028647.	0.8	20
20	The effect of sugar-sweetened beverage price increases and educational messages on beverage purchasing behavior among adults. <i>Appetite</i> , 2018, 126, 156-162.	1.8	17
21	The impact of vaccination and patient characteristics on influenza vaccination uptake of elderly people: A discrete choice experiment. <i>Vaccine</i> , 2018, 36, 1467-1476.	1.7	53
22	Factors that influence clinicians' decisions to offer intravenous alteplase in acute ischemic stroke patients with uncertain treatment indication: Results of a discrete choice experiment. <i>International Journal of Stroke</i> , 2018, 13, 74-82.	2.9	11
23	Retailer-Led Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Price Increase Reduces Purchases in a Hospital Convenience Store in Melbourne, Australia: A Mixed Methods Evaluation. <i>Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics</i> , 2018, 118, 1027-1036.e8.	0.4	28
24	Revealed and Stated Preferences of Decision Makers for Priority Setting in Health Technology Assessment: A Systematic Review. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2018, 36, 323-340.	1.7	21
25	A novel design process for selection of attributes for inclusion in discrete choice experiments: case study exploring variation in clinical decision-making about thrombolysis in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2018, 18, 483.	0.9	23
26	Discrete Choice Experiments: A Guide to Model Specification, Estimation and Software. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2017, 35, 697-716.	1.7	177
27	Understanding what matters: An exploratory study to investigate the views of the general public for priority setting criteria in health care. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2017, 121, 653-662.	1.4	10
28	Health Preference Research: An Overview. <i>Patient</i> , 2017, 10, 507-510.	1.1	37
29	Does one size fit all? Assessing the preferences of older and younger people for attributes of quality of life. <i>Quality of Life Research</i> , 2017, 26, 299-309.	1.5	31
30	Is Dimension Order Important when Valuing Health States Using Discrete Choice Experiments Including Duration?. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2017, 35, 439-451.	1.7	11
31	Factors that influence variation in clinical decision-making about thrombolysis in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke: results of a discrete choice experiment. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2017, 5, 1-116.	1.4	7
32	Women's Preferences for Treatment of Perinatal Depression and Anxiety: A Discrete Choice Experiment. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0156629.	1.1	30
33	From representing views to representativeness of views: Illustrating a new (Q2S) approach in the context of health care priority setting in nine European countries. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2016, 166, 205-213.	1.8	19
34	An empirical comparison of the OPQoL-Brief, EQ-5D-3L and ASCOT in a community dwelling population of older people. <i>Health and Quality of Life Outcomes</i> , 2015, 13, 164.	1.0	28
35	Investigating consumers' and informal carers' views and preferences for consumer directed care: A discrete choice experiment. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2015, 140, 81-94.	1.8	49
36	Public preferences for engagement in Health Technology Assessment decision-making: protocol of a mixed methods study. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2015, 15, 52.	1.5	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Attributes and weights in health care priority setting: A systematic review of what counts and to what extent. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2015, 146, 41-52.	1.8	112
38	Understanding clinicians' decisions to offer intravenous thrombolytic treatment to patients with acute ischaemic stroke: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e005612-e005612.	0.8	7
39	A health economic model for the development and evaluation of innovations in aged care: an application to consumer-directed care—study protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e005788-e005788.	0.8	7
40	Preferences for Oral Anticoagulants in Atrial Fibrillation: a Best Discrete Choice Experiment. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2014, 32, 1115-1127.	1.7	63
41	Cognitive Overload? An Exploration of the Potential Impact of Cognitive Functioning in Discrete Choice Experiments with Older People in Health Care. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2014, 17, 655-659.	0.1	31
42	How Important Is Health Status in Defining Quality of Life for Older People? An Exploratory Study of the Views of Older South Australians. <i>Applied Health Economics and Health Policy</i> , 2014, 12, 73-84.	1.0	57
43	A Systematic Review of Stated Preference Studies Reporting Public Preferences for Healthcare Priority Setting. <i>Patient</i> , 2014, 7, 365-386.	1.1	78
44	Reconceptualising the External Validity of Discrete Choice Experiments. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2014, 32, 951-965.	1.7	95
45	Choice modelling research in health economics. , 2014, , .		1
46	Constructing Experimental Designs for Discrete-Choice Experiments: Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Experimental Design Good Research Practices Task Force. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2013, 16, 3-13.	0.1	1,169
47	Best worst discrete choice experiments in health: Methods and an application. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2013, 76, 74-82.	1.8	103
48	Deriving distributional weights for QALYs through discrete choice experiments. <i>Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2011, 30, 466-478.	1.3	91
49	The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2011, 11, 8.	0.9	68
50	Searchers vs surveyors in estimating the monetary value of a QALY: resolving a nasty dilemma for NICE. <i>Health Economics, Policy and Law</i> , 2011, 6, 435-447.	1.1	30
51	Sources of Variation in the Costs of Health Care for Asthma Patients in Australia. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2009, 14, 133-140.	0.8	4
52	Choice experiments in health: the good, the bad, the ugly and toward a brighter future. <i>Health Economics, Policy and Law</i> , 2009, 4, 527-546.	1.1	152
53	Conducting Discrete Choice Experiments to Inform Healthcare Decision Making. <i>Pharmacoeconomics</i> , 2008, 26, 661-677.	1.7	1,118
54	Patient preferences for managing asthma: results from a discrete choice experiment. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2007, 16, 703-717.	0.8	78

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Using discrete choice experiments to investigate subject preferences for preventive asthma medication. <i>Respirology</i> , 2007, 12, 127-136.	1.3	58
56	Several methods to investigate relative attribute impact in stated preference experiments. <i>Social Science and Medicine</i> , 2007, 64, 1738-1753.	1.8	180
57	Deleting "irrational" responses from discrete choice experiments: a case of investigating or imposing preferences?. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2006, 15, 797-811.	0.8	281
58	The individual and health sector costs of asthma: the first year of a longitudinal study in New South Wales. <i>Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health</i> , 2005, 29, 429-435.	0.8	8
59	Discrete choice experiments in health economics. <i>European Journal of Health Economics</i> , 2005, 6, 314-316.	1.4	13
60	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of the New South Wales Adult Drug Court Program. <i>Evaluation Review</i> , 2004, 28, 3-27.	0.4	30
61	Deriving welfare measures from discrete choice experiments: inconsistency between current methods and random utility and welfare theory. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2004, 13, 901-907.	0.8	123
62	Deriving welfare measures from discrete choice experiments: a response to Ryan and Santos Silva. <i>Health Economics (United Kingdom)</i> , 2004, 13, 919-924.	0.8	15
63	Discrete choice experiments to measure consumer preferences for health and healthcare. <i>Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research</i> , 2002, 2, 319-326.	0.7	175
64	Diagnosis and prognosis of Australia's health information for evidence-based policy. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2002, 7, 40-45.	0.8	2