
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8332243/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
1	Constructing Experimental Designs for Discrete-Choice Experiments: Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Experimental Design Good Research Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 3-13.	0.1	1,169
2	Conducting Discrete Choice Experiments to Inform Healthcare Decision Making. Pharmacoeconomics, 2008, 26, 661-677.	1.7	1,118
3	Deleting â€~irrational' responses from discrete choice experiments: a case of investigating or imposing preferences?. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2006, 15, 797-811.	0.8	281
4	Several methods to investigate relative attribute impact in stated preference experiments. Social Science and Medicine, 2007, 64, 1738-1753.	1.8	180
5	Discrete Choice Experiments: A Guide to Model Specification, Estimation and Software. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 697-716.	1.7	177
6	Discrete choice experiments to measure consumer preferences for health and healthcare. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2002, 2, 319-326.	0.7	175
7	Choice experiments in health: the good, the bad, the ugly and toward a brighter future. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2009, 4, 527-546.	1.1	152
8	Deriving welfare measures from discrete choice experiments: inconsistency between current methods and random utility and welfare theory. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2004, 13, 901-907.	0.8	123
9	Attributes and weights in health care priority setting: A systematic review of what counts and to what extent. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 146, 41-52.	1.8	112
10	Best worst discrete choice experiments in health: Methods and an application. Social Science and Medicine, 2013, 76, 74-82.	1.8	103
11	Reconceptualising the External Validity of Discrete Choice Experiments. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 951-965.	1.7	95
12	Deriving distributional weights for QALYs through discrete choice experiments. Journal of Health Economics, 2011, 30, 466-478.	1.3	91
13	Patient preferences for managing asthma: results from a discrete choice experiment. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2007, 16, 703-717.	0.8	78
14	A Systematic Review of Stated Preference Studies Reporting Public Preferences for Healthcare Priority Setting. Patient, 2014, 7, 365-386.	1.1	78
15	The social value of a QALY: raising the bar or barring the raise?. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 8.	0.9	68
16	Preferences for Oral Anticoagulants in Atrial Fibrillation: a Best–Best Discrete Choice Experiment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2014, 32, 1115-1127.	1.7	63
17	Using discrete choice experiments to investigate subject preferences for preventive asthma medication. Respirology, 2007, 12, 127-136.	1.3	58
18	How Important Is Health Status in Defining Quality of Life for Older People? An Exploratory Study of the Views of Older South Australians. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2014, 12, 73-84.	1.0	57

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The impact of vaccination and patient characteristics on influenza vaccination uptake of elderly people: A discrete choice experiment. Vaccine, 2018, 36, 1467-1476.	1.7	53
20	Investigating consumers' and informal carers' views and preferences for consumer directed care: A discrete choice experiment. Social Science and Medicine, 2015, 140, 81-94.	1.8	49
21	Mind the (inter-rater) gap. An investigation of self-reported versus proxy-reported assessments in the derivation of childhood utility values for economic evaluation: A systematic review. Social Science and Medicine, 2019, 240, 112543.	1.8	38
22	Hypothetical bias in stated choice experiments: Part I. Macro-scale analysis of literature and integrative synthesis of empirical evidence from applied economics, experimental psychology and neuroimaging. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2021, 41, 100309.	1.2	38
23	Health Preference Research: An Overview. Patient, 2017, 10, 507-510.	1.1	37
24	Hypothetical bias in stated choice experiments: Part II. Conceptualisation of external validity, sources and explanations of bias and effectiveness of mitigation methods. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2021, 41, 100322.	1.2	37
25	Cognitive Overload? An Exploration of the Potential Impact of Cognitive Functioning in Discrete Choice Experiments with Older People in Health Care. Value in Health, 2014, 17, 655-659.	0.1	31
26	Does one size fit all? Assessing the preferences of older and younger people for attributes of quality of life Research, 2017, 26, 299-309.	1.5	31
27	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of the New South Wales Adult Drug Court Program. Evaluation Review, 2004, 28, 3-27.	0.4	30
28	Searchers vs surveyors in estimating the monetary value of a QALY: resolving a nasty dilemma for NICE. Health Economics, Policy and Law, 2011, 6, 435-447.	1.1	30
29	Women's Preferences for Treatment of Perinatal Depression and Anxiety: A Discrete Choice Experiment. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0156629.	1.1	30
30	Investigating business outcomes of healthy food retail strategies: A systematic scoping review. Obesity Reviews, 2019, 20, 1384-1399.	3.1	30
31	An empirical comparison of the OPQoL-Brief, EQ-5D-3ÂL and ASCOT in a community dwelling population of older people. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2015, 13, 164.	1.0	28
32	Retailer-Led Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Price Increase Reduces Purchases in a Hospital Convenience Store in Melbourne, Australia: A Mixed Methods Evaluation. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2018, 118, 1027-1036.e8.	0.4	28
33	Systematic Review of Conceptual, Age, Measurement and Valuation Considerations for Generic Multidimensional Childhood Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 379-431.	1.7	28
34	A novel design process for selection of attributes for inclusion in discrete choice experiments: case study exploring variation in clinical decision-making about thrombolysis in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke. BMC Health Services Research, 2018, 18, 483.	0.9	23
35	Revealed and Stated Preferences of Decision Makers for Priority Setting in Health Technology Assessment: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2018, 36, 323-340.	1.7	21
36	Developing a new quality of life instrument with older people for economic evaluation in aged care: study protocol. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028647.	0.8	20

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	The relative value of different QALY types. Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 70, 102303.	1.3	20
38	From representing views to representativeness of views: Illustrating a new (Q2S) approach in the context of health care priority setting in nine European countries. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 166, 205-213.	1.8	19
39	The effect of sugar-sweetened beverage price increases and educational messages on beverage purchasing behavior among adults. Appetite, 2018, 126, 156-162.	1.8	17
40	The path towards herd immunity: Predicting COVID-19 vaccination uptake through results from a stated choice study across six continents. Social Science and Medicine, 2022, 298, 114800.	1.8	17
41	Deriving welfare measures from discrete choice experiments: a response to Ryan and Santos Silva. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2004, 13, 919-924.	0.8	15
42	Discrete choice experiments in health economics. European Journal of Health Economics, 2005, 6, 314-316.	1.4	13
43	Cost of Salmonella Infections in Australia, 2015. Journal of Food Protection, 2019, 82, 1607-1614.	0.8	13
44	Public preferences for engagement in Health Technology Assessment decision-making: protocol of a mixed methods study. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2015, 15, 52.	1.5	11
45	Is Dimension Order Important when Valuing Health States Using Discrete Choice Experiments Including Duration?. Pharmacoeconomics, 2017, 35, 439-451.	1.7	11
46	Factors that influence clinicians' decisions to offer intravenous alteplase in acute ischemic stroke patients with uncertain treatment indication: Results of a discrete choice experiment. International Journal of Stroke, 2018, 13, 74-82.	2.9	11
47	Understanding what matters: An exploratory study to investigate the views of the general public for priority setting criteria in health care. Health Policy, 2017, 121, 653-662.	1.4	10
48	Accounts from developers of generic health state utility instruments explain why they produce different QALYs: A qualitative study. Social Science and Medicine, 2019, 240, 112560.	1.8	10
49	Cost of whole genome sequencing for non-typhoidal Salmonella enterica. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0248561.	1.1	10
50	Sugar-sweetened beverage price elasticities in a hypothetical convenience store. Social Science and Medicine, 2019, 225, 98-107.	1.8	9
51	The individual and health sector costs of asthma: the first year of a longitudinal study in New South Wales. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2005, 29, 429-435.	0.8	8
52	Understanding clinicians' decisions to offer intravenous thrombolytic treatment to patients with acute ischaemic stroke: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005612-e005612.	0.8	7
53	A health economic model for the development and evaluation of innovations in aged care: an application to consumer-directed carestudy protocol. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e005788-e005788.	0.8	7
54	Factors that influence variation in clinical decision-making about thrombolysis in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke: results of a discrete choice experiment. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2017, 5, 1-116.	1.4	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Antipsychotic choice: understanding shared decision-making among doctors and patients. Journal of Mental Health, 2021, 30, 66-73.	1.0	6
56	Preference Elicitation Techniques Used in Valuing Children's Health-Related Quality-of-Life: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 663-698.	1.7	5
57	Sources of Variation in the Costs of Health Care for Asthma Patients in Australia. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2009, 14, 133-140.	0.8	4
58	An integrated modelling approach examining the influence of goals, habit and learning on choice using visual attention data. Journal of Business Research, 2020, 117, 44-57.	5.8	4
59	Social acceptability of standard and behavioral economic inspired policies designed to reduce and prevent obesity. Health Economics (United Kingdom), 2022, 31, 197-214.	0.8	4
60	Diagnosis and prognosis of Australia&s health information for evidence-based policy. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2002, 7, 40-45.	0.8	2
61	Estimating decision rule differences between †best' and †worst' choices in a sequential best worst discrete choice experiment. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2021, 41, 100307.	1.2	2
62	Empirical Investigation of Ranking vs Best–Worst Scaling Generated Preferences for Attributes of Quality of Life: One and the Same or Differentiable?. Patient, 2020, 13, 307-315.	1.1	1
63	Choice modelling research in health economics. , 2014, , .		1
64	Healthcare Funding Decisions and Real-World Benefits: Reducing Bias by Matching Untreated Patients. Pharmacoeconomics, 2021, 39, 741-756.	1.7	0