## Hayk Khachatryan

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8323926/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

516710 580821 47 750 16 25 citations g-index h-index papers 47 47 47 552 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Consumer Preferences for Local and Sustainable Plant Production Characteristics. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2013, 48, 200-208.	1.0	53
2	Consumer preferences for organic production methods and origin promotions on ornamental plants: evidence from eye-tracking experiments. Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 2016, 47, 599-608.	3.9	49
3	Consumer demand for urban forest ecosystem services and disservices: Examining trade-offs using choice experiments and best-worst scaling. Ecosystem Services, 2018, 29, 31-39.	5.4	49
4	Visual attention, buying impulsiveness, and consumer behavior. Marketing Letters, 2018, 29, 23-35.	2.9	44
5	Text vs. logo: Does eco-label format influence consumers' visual attention and willingness-to-pay for fruit plants? An experimental auction approach. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2019, 82, 101452.	1.2	42
6	Incorporating Eye Tracking Technology and Conjoint Analysis to Better Understand the Green Industry Consumer. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2014, 49, 1550-1557.	1.0	41
7	Visual Attention to Eco-Labels Predicts Consumer Preferences for Pollinator Friendly Plants. Sustainability, 2017, 9, 1743.	3.2	30
8	Landscape Aesthetics and Maintenance Perceptions: Assessing the Relationship between Homeowners' Visual Attention and Landscape Care Knowledge. Land Use Policy, 2020, 95, 104645.	5.6	28
9	The Effects of Individual Environmental Concerns on Willingness to Pay for Sustainable Plant Attributes. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2014, 49, 69-75.	1.0	27
10	Economic Contributions of the Green Industry in the United States in 20181. Journal of Environmental Horticulture, 2020, 38, 73-79.	0.5	25
11	Pollinator-friendly Plants: Reasons for and Barriers to Purchase. HortTechnology, 2017, 27, 831-839.	0.9	24
12	Consumer Perceptions of Eco-friendly and Sustainable Terms. Agricultural and Resource Economics Review, 2015, 44, 21-34.	1.1	23
13	Consumer Preference for Sustainable Attributes in Plants: Evidence from Experimental Auctions. Agribusiness, 2016, 32, 222-235.	3.4	23
14	Economic Contributions of the Green Industry in the United States in 2013. HortTechnology, 2015, 25, 805-814.	0.9	23
15	Towards sustainable water management: Preferences and willingness to pay for smart landscape irrigation technologies. Land Use Policy, 2019, 85, 33-41.	5.6	22
16	Does Consumer Awareness of Neonicotinoid Insecticides Influence Their Preferences for Plants?. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2016, 51, 388-393.	1.0	22
17	Consumer Response to Novel Indoor Foliage Plant Attributes: Evidence from a Conjoint Experiment and Gaze Analysis. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2015, 50, 1524-1530.	1.0	16
18	Assessing Purchase Patterns of Price Conscious Consumers. Horticulturae, 2018, 4, 13.	2.8	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Crunch the can or throw the bottle? Effect of "bottle deposit laws―and municipal recycling programs. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2016, 106, 98-109.	10.8	13
20	Sustainable Urban Landscaping: Consumer Preferences and Willingness to Pay for Turfgrass Fertilizers. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2017, 65, 385-407.	2.1	13
21	How do consumer perceptions of "local―production benefits influence their visual attention to state marketing programs?. Agribusiness, 2018, 34, 390-406.	3.4	13
22	Measuring the effects of advertising on green industry sales: a generalized propensity score approach. Applied Economics, 2019, 51, 1303-1318.	2.2	13
23	Production and Marketing Practices and Trade Flows in the United States Green Industry in 2013. Journal of Environmental Horticulture, 2015, 33, 125-136.	0.5	12
24	Investigating Homeowners' Preferences for Smart Irrigation Technology Features. Water (Switzerland), 2019, 11, 1996.	2.7	11
25	Can the updated nutrition facts label decrease sugar-sweetened beverage consumption?. Economics and Human Biology, 2020, 37, 100867.	1.7	11
26	Consumers' Preferences for Eco-labels on Plants: The Influence of Trust and Consequentiality Perceptions. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2021, 91, 101659.	1.2	11
27	Relating Knowledge and Perceptions of Sustainable Water Management to Preferences for Smart Irrigation Technology. Sustainability, 2017, 9, 607.	3.2	10
28	Why do we adopt environmentally friendly lawn care? Evidence from do-it-yourself consumers. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2550-2561.	2.2	9
29	Interactive effects of homeowners' environmental concerns and rebate incentives on preferences for low-input residential landscapes. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 2021, 65, 127322.	5.3	9
30	Ornamental Plants in the United States: An Econometric Analysis of a Householdâ€Level Demand System. Agribusiness, 2017, 33, 226-241.	3.4	8
31	Perceived subjective versus objective knowledge: Consumer valuation of genetically modified certification on food producing plants. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0255406.	2.5	8
32	Smartphone Use and Online Search and Purchase Behavior of North Americans: Gardening and Non-gardening Information and Products. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2013, 48, 209-215.	1.0	8
33	Water Conserving Message Influences Purchasing Decision of Consumers. Water (Switzerland), 2020, 12, 3487.	2.7	6
34	Consumer Perceptions of Plant Production Practices that Aid Pollinator Insects' Health. Hortscience: A Publication of the American Society for Hortcultural Science, 2017, 52, 749-755.	1.0	5
35	Defining U.S. consumers' (mis)perceptions of pollinator friendly labels: an exploratory study. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2018, 21, 365-378.	1.4	5
36	How Consistent Are Consumers in Their Decisions? Investigation of Houseplant Purchasing. Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland), 2021, 11, 73.	2.1	5

3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Investigating Consumer Preferences for Production Process Labeling Using Visual Attention Data. Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland), 2019, 9, 71.	2.1	4
38	Investigating Monetary Incentives for Environmentally Friendly Residential Landscapes. Water (Switzerland), 2020, 12, 3023.	2.7	3
39	Enhancing Consumer Horticulture's Millennial Outreach: Social Media, Retail, and Public Garden Perspectives. HortTechnology, 2020, 30, 642-649.	0.9	3
40	Relating Knowledge and Perception of Sustainable Landscape Practices to the Adoption Intention of Environmentally Friendly Landscapes. Sustainability, 2021, 13, 14070.	3.2	3
41	Investigating Drivers of Native Plant Production in the United States Green Industry. Sustainability, 2022, 14, 6774.	3.2	3
42	Influence of product type and individuals' perceptions on the geographic boundary for local products. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2017, 20, 401-414.	1.4	2
43	Effects of perceived economic contributions on individual preferences for environmentally friendly residential landscapes. Land Use Policy, 2021, 101, 105125.	5.6	2
44	Effect of geographic distance on domestic trade: A case of the US Green industry. Agribusiness, 2022, 38, 154-174.	3.4	2
45	Effects of pollinator related information on consumer preference for neonicotinoid labeling. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review, 2021, 24, 971-991.	1.4	2
46	Estimating willingness-to-pay for neonicotinoid-free plants: Incorporating pro-environmental behavior in hypothetical and non-hypothetical experiments. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0251798.	2.5	1
47	Analyzing growers' pest management decisions in the U.S. ornamental horticulture industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021, 312, 127788.	9.3	0