Richard Cook

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8315815/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

257357 2,541 70 24 h-index citations papers

g-index 71 71 71 2108 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

206029

48

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Mirror neurons: From origin to function. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2014, 37, 177-192.	0.4	454
2	Alexithymia, Not Autism, Predicts Poor Recognition of Emotional Facial Expressions. Psychological Science, 2013, 24, 723-732.	1.8	265
3	Alexithymia: a general deficit of interoception. Royal Society Open Science, 2016, 3, 150664.	1.1	221
4	The 20-item prosopagnosia index (PI20): a self-report instrument for identifying developmental prosopagnosia. Royal Society Open Science, 2015, 2, 140343.	1.1	122
5	Acquisition of automatic imitation is sensitive to sensorimotor contingency Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2010, 36, 840-852.	0.7	84
6	Emotion recognition deficits in eating disorders are explained by co-occurring alexithymia. Royal Society Open Science, 2015, 2, 140382.	1.1	73
7	Exemplar variance supports robust learning of facial identity Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 577-581.	0.7	67
8	Impaired perception of facial emotion in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2016, 81, 126-136.	1.1	60
9	The composite face illusion. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2017, 24, 245-261.	1.4	57
10	Where do spontaneous first impressions of faces come from?. Cognition, 2018, 170, 190-200.	1.1	57
11	Robust associations between the 20-item prosopagnosia index and the Cambridge Face Memory Test in the general population. Royal Society Open Science, 2017, 4, 160923.	1.1	54
12	Facial Self-Imitation. Psychological Science, 2013, 24, 93-98.	1.8	49
13	The ontogenetic origins of mirror neurons: evidence from â€~tool-use' and â€~audiovisual' mirror neurons. Biology Letters, 2012, 8, 856-859.	1.0	48
14	The impact of autism spectrum disorder and alexithymia on judgments of moral acceptability Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 2015, 124, 589-595.	2.0	47
15	Robust orienting to protofacial stimuli in autism. Current Biology, 2013, 23, R1087-R1088.	1.8	44
16	Beyond action-specific simulation: domain-general motor contributions to perception. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2015, 19, 176-178.	4.0	42
17	Normal composite face effects in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2017, 95, 63-76.	1.1	41
18	Is developmental prosopagnosia best characterised as an apperceptive or mnemonic condition?. Neuropsychologia, 2019, 124, 285-298.	0.7	39

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Probing short-term face memory in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2015, 64, 115-122.	1.1	36
20	Impaired body perception in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2017, 93, 41-49.	1,1	36
21	Why are social interactions found quickly in visual search tasks?. Cognition, 2020, 200, 104270.	1.1	33
22	How does the presence of a surgical face mask impair the perceived intensity of facial emotions?. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0262344.	1.1	33
23	Moving time: The influence of action on duration perception Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2014, 143, 1787-1793.	1.5	31
24	Developmental prosopagnosia. Current Biology, 2016, 26, R312-R313.	1.8	31
25	Intact Facial Adaptation in Autistic Adults. Autism Research, 2014, 7, 481-490.	2.1	30
26	Face processing in autism: Reduced integration of cross-feature dynamics. Cortex, 2016, 75, 113-119.	1.1	26
27	Do mirror neurons really mirror and do they really code for action goals?. Cortex, 2013, 49, 2944-2945.	1.1	25
28	Revealing the mechanisms of human face perception using dynamic apertures. Cognition, 2017, 169, 25-35.	1.1	24
29	Inducing a concurrent motor load reduces categorization precision for facial expressions Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2016, 42, 706-718.	0.7	23
30	Should developmental prosopagnosia, developmental body agnosia, and developmental object agnosia be considered independent neurodevelopmental conditions?. Cognitive Neuropsychology, 2018, 35, 59-62.	0.4	23
31	Social interaction contexts bias the perceived expressions of interactants Emotion, 2017, 17, 567-571.	1.5	22
32	Inverted faces benefit from whole-face processing. Cognition, 2020, 194, 104105.	1.1	20
33	Objects that direct visuospatial attention produce the search advantage for facing dyads Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 2022, 151, 161-171.	1.5	18
34	Self-recognition of avatar motion: how do I know it's me?. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2012, 279, 669-674.	1,2	17
35	Why is the literature on first impressions so focused on White faces?. Royal Society Open Science, 2021, 8, 211146.	1.1	17
36	Visual search for facing and non-facing people: The effect of actor inversion. Cognition, 2021, 208, 104550.	1.1	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Typical integration of emotion cues from bodies and faces in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Cognition, 2017, 165, 82-87.	1.1	15
38	Ritual and the origins of first impressions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2020, 375, 20190435.	1.8	15
39	Face perception in autism spectrum disorder: Modulation of holistic processing by facial emotion. Cognition, 2019, 193, 104016.	1.1	14
40	Does developmental prosopagnosia impair identification of other-ethnicity faces?. Cortex, 2019, 119, 12-19.	1.1	13
41	Evaluating object recognition ability in developmental prosopagnosia using the Cambridge Car Memory Test. Cognitive Neuropsychology, 2019, 36, 89-96.	0.4	13
42	The discrimination of facial sex in developmental prosopagnosia. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 19079.	1.6	13
43	Are the facial gender and facial age variants of the composite face illusion products of a common mechanism?. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2020, 27, 62-69.	1.4	13
44	Culturally learned first impressions occur rapidly and automatically and emerge early in development. Developmental Science, 2021, 24, e13021.	1.3	12
45	The Twenty Item Prosopagnosia Index (PI20) provides meaningful evidence of face recognition impairment. Royal Society Open Science, 2021, 8, 202062.	1.1	12
46	Atypical trait inferences from facial cues in alexithymia Emotion, 2015, 15, 637-643.	1.5	11
47	Normal recognition of famous voices in developmental prosopagnosia. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 19757.	1.6	11
48	The perception of interpersonal distance is distorted by the MÃ $\frac{1}{4}$ ller-Lyer illusion. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 494.	1.6	11
49	Illusory Feature Slowing. Psychological Science, 2015, 26, 512-517.	1.8	9
50	Holistic processing of facial identity in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2020, 130, 318-326.	1.1	9
51	New evidence of impaired expression recognition in developmental prosopagnosia. Cortex, 2022, 154, 15-26.	1.1	9
52	Modulation of the composite face effect by unintended emotion cues. Royal Society Open Science, 2017, 4, 160867.	1.1	8
53	A learning model can explain both shared and idiosyncratic first impressions from faces. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 16112-16113.	3.3	8
54	Similar exemplar pooling processes underlie the learning of facial identity and handwriting style: Evidence from typical observers and individuals with Autism. Neuropsychologia, 2016, 85, 169-176.	0.7	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Searching for people: Non-facing distractor pairs hinder the visual search of social scenes more than facing distractor pairs. Cognition, 2021, 214, 104737.	1.1	7
56	The self-consistency effect seen on the Dot Perspective Task is a product of domain-general attention cueing, not automatic perspective taking. Cognition, 2022, 224, 105056.	1.1	7
57	A look at how we look at others: Orientation inversion and photographic negation disrupt the perception of human bodies. Visual Cognition, 2011, 19, 445-468.	0.9	6
58	The cultural learning account of first impressions. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2022, 26, 656-668.	4.0	6
59	Recognition of pareidolic objects in developmental prosopagnosic and neurotypical individuals. Cortex, 2022, 153, 21-31.	1.1	5
60	Spontaneous first impressions emerge from brief training. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 15024.	1.6	4
61	Young children learn first impressions of faces through social referencing. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 14744.	1.6	3
62	Sensitivity to orientation is not unique to social attention cueing. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 5059.	1.6	3
63	Impaired grouping of ambient facial images in autism. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, 6665.	1.6	3
64	Motor contributions to the perception of relative phase Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2014, 40, 1763-1768.	0.7	2
65	Parents reinforce the formation of first impressions in conversation with their children. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0256118.	1.1	2
66	Remembered together: Social interaction facilitates retrieval while reducing individuation of features within bound representations. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2022, 75, 1593-1602.	0.6	2
67	Rapid detection of social interactions is the result of domain general attentional processes. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0258832.	1.1	2
68	Impaired perception of facial emotion in developmental prosopagnosia: A reply to Van den Stock's commentary. Cortex, 2018, 101, 298-299.	1.1	1
69	Viewing faces through apertures. Journal of Vision, 2017, 17, 1014.	0.1	0
70	Why does aperture viewing disrupt face perception?. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 230.	0.1	0