## Marko Simunovic

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8256132/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

643344 536525 66 952 15 29 citations h-index g-index papers 68 68 68 1398 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Identification and Adjudication of Adverse Events Following Rectal Cancer Surgery: Observational Case Series in a Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1182-1191.	0.7	2
2	Prophylaxis extension for venous thromboembolism after major abdominal and pelvic surgery for cancer (prevent): Quality improvement transitioned into a cohort study. Surgery, 2022, , .	1.0	O
3	Simultaneous resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A feasibility clinical trial. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 125, 671-677.	0.8	5
4	Perioperative optimization with nutritional supplements in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery for cancer: A randomized, placebo-controlled feasibility clinical trial. Surgery, 2022, 172, 670-676.	1.0	4
5	Enthusiasm, Opinion Leaders, Comparative Advantage, and the Uptake Of Laparoscopic Resection For Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastases in Ontario, Canada: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2685-2691.	0.7	4
6	Post Discharge after Surgery Virtual Care with Remote Automated Monitoring Technology (PVC-RAM): protocol for a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ Open, 2021, 9, E142-E148.	1.1	3
7	Quality indicator selection for the Canadian Partnership against Cancer rectal cancer project: A modified Delphi study. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 1393-1403.	0.7	2
8	Digital rectal examination in palpable rectal cancer: expert panel consensus on key elements and analysis of a case series. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, e264-e265.	0.1	0
9	Surgical Culture Shifts and Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2115456.	2.8	O
10	Posthospital discharge venous thromboembolism prophylaxis among colorectal and hepatobiliary surgeons: A practice survey. Surgery, 2021, 170, 173-179.	1.0	2
11	High-Intensity vs Low-Intensity Knowledge Translation Interventions for Surgeons and Their Association With Process and Outcome Measures Among Patients Undergoing Rectal Cancer Surgery. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2117536.	2.8	4
12	ASO Author Reflections: Optimizing the Quality of Cancer Surgery—Interrogating Adverse Events for Modifiable Factors in the Preoperative Period. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	0.7	0
13	ASO Visual Abstract: Identification and Adjudication of Adverse Events following Rectal Cancer Surgery—Observational Case Series in a Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 672-673.	0.7	O
14	Post-discharge after surgery Virtual Care with Remote Automated Monitoring-1 (PVC-RAM-1) technology versus standard care: randomised controlled trial. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n2209.	3.0	24
15	Barriers to Referral for CS/HIPEC Identified Using a Tailoring Grid Methodology: Interviews With Stakeholders in New York State. Journal of Surgical Research, 2021, 267, 235-242.	0.8	1
16	ASO Author Reflections: Uptake of Surgical Innovations: More Evidence and Less Opinion and Enthusiasm. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2692-2692.	0.7	0
17	Simultaneous versus staged resection of rectal cancer and synchronous liver metastases (RESECT). European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca, 2020, 52, 8-15.	0.3	0
18	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal liver metastases: A population-based cohort study. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 74, 68-75.	1.1	34

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases; a practice survey. Hpb, 2020, 22, 728-734.	0.1	13
20	Use of the KT-MCC strategy to improve the quality of decision making for multidisciplinary cancer conferences: a pilot study. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 579.	0.9	2
21	A method to audit and score implementation of knowledge translation (KT) interventions in large health regions – an observational pilot study using rectal cancer surgery in Ontario. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 506.	0.9	3
22	Use of the theoretical domains framework and behaviour change wheel to develop a novel intervention to improve the quality of multidisciplinary cancer conference decision-making. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 578.	0.9	19
23	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal liver metastases: A population-based cost analysis in Ontario, Canada - Health economic evaluation. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 78, 75-82.	1.1	9
24	The Canadian Partnership Against Cancer Rectal Cancer Project: Protocol for a Pan-Canadian, Multidisciplinary Quality Improvement Initiative to Optimize the Quality of Rectal Cancer Care. JMIR Research Protocols, 2020, 9, e15535.	0.5	1
25	Development of the IRIS-AR strategy: an intervention to improve rates of accrual and retention for the VTE-PRO randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 447.	0.7	3
26	Incidence of delayed venous thromboembolic events in patients undergoing abdominal and pelvic surgery for cancer: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2019, 89, 1217-1223.	0.3	7
27	Evaluating the reliability of a tool to measure the quality of gastrointestinal multidisciplinary cancer conferences: A generalizability study. Journal of Patient Safety and Risk Management, 2019, 24, 57-63.	0.4	4
28	Safety and Feasibility of Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging Criteria to Identify Patients With "Good Prognosis―Rectal Cancer Eligible for Primary Surgery. JAMA Oncology, 2019, 5, 961.	3.4	71
29	Adjuvant Chemotherapy With or Without Biologics Including Antiangiogenics and Monoclonal Antibodies Targeting EGFR and EpCAM in Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Journal of Surgical Research, 2019, 239, 14-21.	0.8	3
30	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A population-based cohort study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 665-665.	0.8	1
31	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases: A survey-based analysis Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 662-662.	0.8	0
32	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A population-based cohort study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 3612-3612.	0.8	0
33	Quality of preoperative pelvic computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for rectal cancer in a region in Ontario: A retrospective populationâ€based study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 117, 1038-1042.	0.8	11
34	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases (RESECT), a pilot study. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2018, 8, 1-6.	0.5	8
35	Independent Heath Facility Meets Cancer Care Ontario and Canadian Association of Gastroenterology Guidelines for Endoscopic Procedure Wait Times While Meeting Quality Indicators: A Retrospective Review. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2018, 2018, 1-7.	0.8	0
36	Venous Thromboembolic Events Following Major Pelvic and Abdominal Surgeries for Cancer: A Prospective Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3214-3221.	0.7	31

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Role of the status of the mesorectal fascia in the selection of patients with rectal cancer for preoperative radiation therapy: a retrospective cohort study. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2018, 61, 332-338.	0.5	6
38	Perioperative Optimization With Nutritional Supplements in Patients Undergoing Gastrointestinal Surgery for Cancer (PROGRESS): Protocol for a Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR Research Protocols, 2018, 7, e10491.	0.5	2
39	Predictors of 5-year local, regional, and distant recurrent events in a population-based cohort of breast cancer patients. American Journal of Surgery, 2017, 213, 418-425.	0.9	15
40	Incidence of venous thromboembolic events following major pelvic and abdominal surgery for cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 478-478.	0.8	0
41	Collaborative Case Conferences in Rectal Cancer: Case Series in a Tertiary Care Centre. Current Oncology, 2016, 23, 138-143.	0.9	1
42	Eastern Canadian Colorectal Cancer Consensus Conference 2013: Emerging Therapies in the Treatment of Pancreatic, Rectal, and Colorectal Cancers. Current Oncology, 2016, 23, 52-55.	0.9	1
43	A Population-Based Study of the Effects of a Regional Guideline for Completion Axillary Lymph Node Dissection on Axillary Surgery in Patients with Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3354-3364.	0.7	20
44	Effects of a regional guideline for completion axillary lymph node dissection in women with breast cancer to reduce variation in surgical practice: A qualitative study of physicians' views. Breast, 2016, 29, 126-131.	0.9	3
45	The Need for Consensus and Transparency in Assessing Population-Based Rates of Positive Circumferential Radial Margins in Rectal Cancer: Data from Consecutive Cases in a Large Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 397-402.	0.7	4
46	Enablers and barriers to using patient decision aids in early stage breast cancer consultations: a qualitative study of surgeons' views. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 174.	2.5	27
47	The implementation of a surgeon-directed quality improvement strategy in breast cancer surgery. American Journal of Surgery, 2014, 208, 50-57.	0.9	6
48	Uptake and Patient Outcomes of Laparoscopic Colon and Rectal Cancer Surgery in a Publicly Funded System and Following Financial Incentives. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 3740-3746.	0.7	14
49	Quality Improvement in Colorectal Cancer in Local Health Integration Network 4 (LHIN 4) Project (QICC-L4): Integrated Knowledge Translation in a Large Geographic Region. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 4067-4072.	0.7	9
50	Uptake of an innovation in surgery: observations from the cluster-randomized Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer trial. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2013, 56, 415-421.	0.5	13
51	Anterior-entry Abdominoperineal Resection: A Variation in the Method of Perineal Dissection. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 19, 794-800.	0.7	4
52	Quality improvement in surgical oncology – Measuring and improving clinicalÂoutcomes. Surgical Oncology, 2011, 20, 179-183.	0.8	2
53	Outcomes following a limited approach to radiotherapy in rectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2011, 98, 1483-1488.	0.1	15
54	Assessing the Volume-Outcome Hypothesis and Region-Level Quality Improvement Interventions: Pancreas Cancer Surgery in Two Canadian Provinces. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 17, 2537-2544.	0.7	81

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Guideline for optimization of colorectal cancer surgery and pathology. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 101, 5-12.	0.8	67
56	Preoperative or Postoperative Therapy for Stage II or III Rectal Cancer: An Updated Practice Guideline. Clinical Oncology, 2010, 22, 265-271.	0.6	47
57	The cluster-randomized Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer trial: evaluating a quality-improvement strategy in surgery. Cmaj, 2010, 182, 1301-1306.	0.9	22
58	Knowledge translation research: A review and new concepts from a surgical case study. Surgery, 2009, 145, 639-644.	1.0	12
59	Rectal cancer surgery and regional lymph nodes. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2009, 99, 256-259.	0.8	15
60	Who are the Providers of Gynaecologic Cancer Surgical Care in Ontario?. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2009, 31, 721-729.	0.3	0
61	The Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer (QIRC) trial: study protocol of a cluster randomized controlled trial in surgery. BMC Surgery, 2008, 8, 4.	0.6	11
62	Influence of hospital characteristics on operative death and survival of patients after major cancer surgery in Ontario. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2006, 49, 251-8.	0.5	89
63	Using administrative databases to measure waiting times for patients undergoing major cancer surgery in Ontario, 1993-2000. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2005, 48, 137-42.	0.5	50
64	Economics of Preoperative Radiotherapy With Total Mesorectal Excision: What Can We Learn From the Dutch Experience?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 217-219.	0.8	8
65	A snapshot of waiting times for cancer surgery provided by surgeons affiliated with regional cancer centres in Ontario. Cmaj, 2001, 165, 421-5.	0.9	48
66	Hospital procedure volume and teaching status do not influence treatment and outcome measures of rectal cancer surgery in a large general population. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2000, 4, 324-330.	0.9	89