
## Marko Simunovic

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8256132/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Hospital procedure volume and teaching status do not influence treatment and outcome measures of rectal cancer surgery in a large general population. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2000, 4, 324-330.	0.9	89
2	Influence of hospital characteristics on operative death and survival of patients after major cancer surgery in Ontario. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2006, 49, 251-8.	0.5	89
3	Assessing the Volume-Outcome Hypothesis and Region-Level Quality Improvement Interventions: Pancreas Cancer Surgery in Two Canadian Provinces. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 17, 2537-2544.	0.7	81
4	Safety and Feasibility of Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging Criteria to Identify Patients With "Good Prognosis―Rectal Cancer Eligible for Primary Surgery. JAMA Oncology, 2019, 5, 961.	3.4	71
5	Guideline for optimization of colorectal cancer surgery and pathology. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2010, 101, 5-12.	0.8	67
6	Using administrative databases to measure waiting times for patients undergoing major cancer surgery in Ontario, 1993-2000. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2005, 48, 137-42.	0.5	50
7	A snapshot of waiting times for cancer surgery provided by surgeons affiliated with regional cancer centres in Ontario. Cmaj, 2001, 165, 421-5.	0.9	48
8	Preoperative or Postoperative Therapy for Stage II or III Rectal Cancer: An Updated Practice Guideline. Clinical Oncology, 2010, 22, 265-271.	0.6	47
9	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal liver metastases: A population-based cohort study. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 74, 68-75.	1.1	34
10	Venous Thromboembolic Events Following Major Pelvic and Abdominal Surgeries for Cancer: A Prospective Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 3214-3221.	0.7	31
11	Enablers and barriers to using patient decision aids in early stage breast cancer consultations: a qualitative study of surgeons' views. Implementation Science, 2014, 9, 174.	2.5	27
12	Post-discharge after surgery Virtual Care with Remote Automated Monitoring-1 (PVC-RAM-1) technology versus standard care: randomised controlled trial. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n2209.	3.0	24
13	The cluster-randomized Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer trial: evaluating a quality-improvement strategy in surgery. Cmaj, 2010, 182, 1301-1306.	0.9	22
14	A Population-Based Study of the Effects of a Regional Guideline for Completion Axillary Lymph Node Dissection on Axillary Surgery in Patients with Breast Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 3354-3364.	0.7	20
15	Use of the theoretical domains framework and behaviour change wheel to develop a novel intervention to improve the quality of multidisciplinary cancer conference decision-making. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 578.	0.9	19
16	Rectal cancer surgery and regional lymph nodes. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2009, 99, 256-259.	0.8	15
17	Outcomes following a limited approach to radiotherapy in rectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2011, 98, 1483-1488.	0.1	15
18	Predictors of 5-year local, regional, and distant recurrent events in a population-based cohort of breast cancer patients. American Journal of Surgery, 2017, 213, 418-425.	0.9	15

MARKO SIMUNOVIC

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Uptake and Patient Outcomes of Laparoscopic Colon and Rectal Cancer Surgery in a Publicly Funded System and Following Financial Incentives. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 3740-3746.	0.7	14
20	Uptake of an innovation in surgery: observations from the cluster-randomized Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer trial. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2013, 56, 415-421.	0.5	13
21	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases; a practice survey. Hpb, 2020, 22, 728-734.	0.1	13
22	Knowledge translation research: A review and new concepts from a surgical case study. Surgery, 2009, 145, 639-644.	1.0	12
23	The Quality Initiative in Rectal Cancer (QIRC) trial: study protocol of a cluster randomized controlled trial in surgery. BMC Surgery, 2008, 8, 4.	0.6	11
24	Quality of preoperative pelvic computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for rectal cancer in a region in Ontario: A retrospective populationâ€based study. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 117, 1038-1042.	0.8	11
25	Quality Improvement in Colorectal Cancer in Local Health Integration Network 4 (LHIN 4) Project (QICC-L4): Integrated Knowledge Translation in a Large Geographic Region. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 4067-4072.	0.7	9
26	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal liver metastases: A population-based cost analysis in Ontario, Canada - Health economic evaluation. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 78, 75-82.	1.1	9
27	Economics of Preoperative Radiotherapy With Total Mesorectal Excision: What Can We Learn From the Dutch Experience?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 217-219.	0.8	8
28	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases (RESECT), a pilot study. International Journal of Surgery Protocols, 2018, 8, 1-6.	0.5	8
29	Incidence of delayed venous thromboembolic events in patients undergoing abdominal and pelvic surgery for cancer: a systematic review and metaâ€analysis. ANZ Journal of Surgery, 2019, 89, 1217-1223.	0.3	7
30	The implementation of a surgeon-directed quality improvement strategy in breast cancer surgery. American Journal of Surgery, 2014, 208, 50-57.	0.9	6
31	Role of the status of the mesorectal fascia in the selection of patients with rectal cancer for preoperative radiation therapy: a retrospective cohort study. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 2018, 61, 332-338.	0.5	6
32	Simultaneous resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A feasibility clinical trial. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 125, 671-677.	0.8	5
33	Anterior-entry Abdominoperineal Resection: A Variation in the Method of Perineal Dissection. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 19, 794-800.	0.7	4
34	The Need for Consensus and Transparency in Assessing Population-Based Rates of Positive Circumferential Radial Margins in Rectal Cancer: Data from Consecutive Cases in a Large Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 397-402.	0.7	4
35	Evaluating the reliability of a tool to measure the quality of gastrointestinal multidisciplinary cancer conferences: A generalizability study. Journal of Patient Safety and Risk Management, 2019, 24, 57-63.	0.4	4
36	Enthusiasm, Opinion Leaders, Comparative Advantage, and the Uptake Of Laparoscopic Resection For Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastases in Ontario, Canada: A Population-Based Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2685-2691.	0.7	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	High-Intensity vs Low-Intensity Knowledge Translation Interventions for Surgeons and Their Association With Process and Outcome Measures Among Patients Undergoing Rectal Cancer Surgery. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2117536.	2.8	4
38	Perioperative optimization with nutritional supplements in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery for cancer: A randomized, placebo-controlled feasibility clinical trial. Surgery, 2022, 172, 670-676.	1.0	4
39	Effects of a regional guideline for completion axillary lymph node dissection in women with breast cancer to reduce variation in surgical practice: A qualitative study of physicians' views. Breast, 2016, 29, 126-131.	0.9	3
40	Development of the IRIS-AR strategy: an intervention to improve rates of accrual and retention for the VTE-PRO randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2019, 20, 447.	0.7	3
41	Adjuvant Chemotherapy With or Without Biologics Including Antiangiogenics and Monoclonal Antibodies Targeting EGFR and EpCAM in Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Journal of Surgical Research, 2019, 239, 14-21.	0.8	3
42	A method to audit and score implementation of knowledge translation (KT) interventions in large health regions – an observational pilot study using rectal cancer surgery in Ontario. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 506.	0.9	3
43	Post Discharge after Surgery Virtual Care with Remote Automated Monitoring Technology (PVC-RAM): protocol for a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ Open, 2021, 9, E142-E148.	1.1	3
44	Quality improvement in surgical oncology – Measuring and improving clinicalÂoutcomes. Surgical Oncology, 2011, 20, 179-183.	0.8	2
45	Use of the KT-MCC strategy to improve the quality of decision making for multidisciplinary cancer conferences: a pilot study. BMC Health Services Research, 2020, 20, 579.	0.9	2
46	Quality indicator selection for the Canadian Partnership against Cancer rectal cancer project: A modified Delphi study. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 1393-1403.	0.7	2
47	Posthospital discharge venous thromboembolism prophylaxis among colorectal and hepatobiliary surgeons: A practice survey. Surgery, 2021, 170, 173-179.	1.0	2
48	Identification and Adjudication of Adverse Events Following Rectal Cancer Surgery: Observational Case Series in a Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 1182-1191.	0.7	2
49	Perioperative Optimization With Nutritional Supplements in Patients Undergoing Gastrointestinal Surgery for Cancer (PROGRESS): Protocol for a Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR Research Protocols, 2018, 7, e10491.	0.5	2
50	Collaborative Case Conferences in Rectal Cancer: Case Series in a Tertiary Care Centre. Current Oncology, 2016, 23, 138-143.	0.9	1
51	Eastern Canadian Colorectal Cancer Consensus Conference 2013: Emerging Therapies in the Treatment of Pancreatic, Rectal, and Colorectal Cancers. Current Oncology, 2016, 23, 52-55.	0.9	1
52	Barriers to Referral for CS/HIPEC Identified Using a Tailoring Grid Methodology: Interviews With Stakeholders in New York State. Journal of Surgical Research, 2021, 267, 235-242.	0.8	1
53	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A population-based cohort study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 665-665.	0.8	1
54	The Canadian Partnership Against Cancer Rectal Cancer Project: Protocol for a Pan-Canadian, Multidisciplinary Quality Improvement Initiative to Optimize the Quality of Rectal Cancer Care. JMIR Research Protocols, 2020, 9, e15535.	0.5	1

MARKO SIMUNOVIC

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Who are the Providers of Gynaecologic Cancer Surgical Care in Ontario?. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 2009, 31, 721-729.	0.3	Ο
56	Independent Heath Facility Meets Cancer Care Ontario and Canadian Association of Gastroenterology Guidelines for Endoscopic Procedure Wait Times While Meeting Quality Indicators: A Retrospective Review. Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2018, 2018, 1-7.	0.8	0
57	Simultaneous versus staged resection of rectal cancer and synchronous liver metastases (RESECT). European Surgery - Acta Chirurgica Austriaca, 2020, 52, 8-15.	0.3	0
58	Digital rectal examination in palpable rectal cancer: expert panel consensus on key elements and analysis of a case series. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, e264-e265.	0.1	0
59	Surgical Culture Shifts and Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2115456.	2.8	0
60	ASO Author Reflections: Optimizing the Quality of Cancer Surgery—Interrogating Adverse Events for Modifiable Factors in the Preoperative Period. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, , 1.	0.7	0
61	ASO Visual Abstract: Identification and Adjudication of Adverse Events following Rectal Cancer Surgery—Observational Case Series in a Region of Ontario, Canada. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 672-673.	0.7	0
62	Incidence of venous thromboembolic events following major pelvic and abdominal surgery for cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 478-478.	0.8	0
63	Simultaneous resection of colorectal cancer with synchronous liver metastases: A survey-based analysis Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 662-662.	0.8	0
64	Simultaneous versus staged resection for synchronous colorectal cancer liver metastases: A population-based cohort study Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2019, 37, 3612-3612.	0.8	0
65	ASO Author Reflections: Uptake of Surgical Innovations: More Evidence and Less Opinion and Enthusiasm. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 2692-2692.	0.7	0
66	Prophylaxis extension for venous thromboembolism after major abdominal and pelvic surgery for cancer (prevent): Quality improvement transitioned into a cohort study. Surgery, 2022, , .	1.0	0