

Dan Jerker B Svantesson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8189484/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

77
papers

633
citations

932766

10
h-index

713013

21
g-index

84
all docs

84
docs citations

84
times ranked

513
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The European Union Artificial Intelligence Act: Potential implications for Australia. <i>Alternative Law Journal</i> , 2022, 47, 4-9.	0.2	0
2	Re-thinking the categorisation of data in the context of law enforcement cross-border access to evidence. <i>International Review of Law, Computers and Technology</i> , 2020, 34, 44-64.	0.7	3
3	Scope of jurisdiction online and the importance of messaging “ lessons from Australia and the EU. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2020, 38, 105428.	1.3	1
4	If the legislature had been serious about data privacy “ . <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2019, 9, 75-77.	0.8	0
5	Introducing the global data privacy prize. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2019, 9, 1-1.	0.8	2
6	Grading AG Szpunar's Opinion in Case C-18/18 “ A Caution against Worldwide Content Blocking as Default. <i>Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology</i> , 2019, 13, 389-400.	0.1	1
7	Are Requirements to Deposit Data in Research Repositories Compatible With the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation?. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 170, 332.	2.0	27
8	An unstoppable force and an immoveable object? EU data protection law and national security. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2018, 8, 1-3.	0.8	2
9	Enter the quagmire “ the complicated relationship between data protection law and consumer protection law. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2018, 34, 25-36.	1.3	5
10	Expanding the artificial intelligence-data protection debate. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2018, 8, 289-292.	0.8	11
11	Digital platforms under fire: What Australia can learn from recent developments in Europe. <i>Alternative Law Journal</i> , 2018, 43, 275-282.	0.2	3
12	Jurisdictional issues and the internet “ a brief overview 2.0. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2018, 34, 715-722.	1.3	3
13	Blockchain versus data protection. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2018, 8, 103-104.	0.8	8
14	“Lagom Jurisdiction“ What Viking Drinking Ettiquette Can Teach Us about Internet Jurisdiction and Google France. <i>Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology</i> , 2018, 12, 29-48.	0.1	2
15	Is it time for an offence of “dishonest algorithmic manipulation for electoral gain“?. <i>Alternative Law Journal</i> , 2017, 42, 184-189.	0.2	2
16	Machine learning with personal data: is data protection law smart enough to meet the challenge?. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2017, 7, 1-2.	0.8	25
17	Data protection and humanitarian emergencies. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2017, 7, 147-148.	0.8	3
18	The rise of cybersecurity and its impact on data protection. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2017, 7, 73-75.	0.8	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Solving the Internet Jurisdiction Puzzle. , 2017, , .		19
20	Editorial: Time to Move Forward on International ICT Law. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2017, 11, 3-6.	0.1	0
21	Cross-Border Data Transfers after the Cjeu's Safe Harbour Decision. Alternative Law Journal, 2016, 41, 39-42.	0.2	0
22	The language of data privacy law (and how it differs from reality). International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 259-260.	0.8	4
23	Jurisdiction in 3D â€” â€œscope of (remedial) jurisdictionâ€”as a third dimension of jurisdiction. Journal of Private International Law, 2016, 12, 60-76.	0.0	5
24	Enforcing Privacy Across Different Jurisdictions. Law, Governance and Technology Series, 2016, , 195-222.	0.3	16
25	Law enforcement access to evidence via direct contact with cloud providers â€” identifying the contours of a solution. Computer Law and Security Review, 2016, 32, 671-682.	1.3	8
26	Article 4(1)(a) â€” establishment of the controllerâ€™™ in EU data privacy lawâ€”time to rein in this expanding concept?. International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 210-221.	0.8	7
27	A time of turmoil â€” . International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 1-3.	0.8	5
28	Nostradamus Lite â€” Selected Speculations as to the Future of Internet Jurisdiction. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2016, 10, 47-72.	0.1	3
29	Against â€”Against Data Exceptionalismâ€™™. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2016, 10, 200-211.	0.1	1
30	Internet Balkanization gathers pace: is privacy the real driver?. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 1-2.	0.8	9
31	A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction: Beyond the Harvard Draft. AJIL Unbound, 2015, 109, 69-74.	0.2	14
32	The data protection credibility crisis. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 161-162.	0.8	6
33	Risk management in data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 95-98.	0.8	12
34	Extraterritoriality and targeting in EU data privacy law: the weak spot undermining the regulation. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 226-234.	0.8	11
35	Access to extraterritorial evidence: The Microsoft cloud case and beyond. Computer Law and Security Review, 2015, 31, 478-489.	1.3	11
36	The holy trinity of legal fictions undermining the application of law to the global Internet. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 2015, 23, 219-234.	0.6	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Editorial: The Diversity of International ICT Law. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2015, 9, 1-3.	0.1	0
38	The (uncertain) future of online data privacy. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2015, 9, 129-153.	0.1	1
39	When two worlds collide: the interface between competition law and data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 247-248.	0.8	6
40	The (data privacy) law hasn't even checked in when technology takes off. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 175-176.	0.8	2
41	The Rome II Regulation and Choice of Law in Internet-Based Violations of Privacy and Personality Rights – On the Wrong Track, but in the Right Direction?. Austrian Review of International and European Law, 2014, 16, 275-297.	0.1	1
42	Taking stock after four years. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 87-88.	0.8	1
43	Between a rock and a hard place – An international law perspective of the difficult position of globally active Internet intermediaries. Computer Law and Security Review, 2014, 30, 348-356.	1.3	7
44	Could technology resurrect the dignity of the FIFA World Cup refereeing?. Computer Law and Security Review, 2014, 30, 569-573.	1.3	27
45	The Hypocritical Hype about “Hypothesis”. Alternative Law Journal, 2014, 39, 259-262.	0.2	0
46	A "layered approach" to the extraterritoriality of data privacy laws. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 278-286.	0.8	16
47	The extraterritoriality of data privacy laws—an explosive issue yet to detonate. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 147-148.	0.8	2
48	PRISM and privacy: will this change everything?. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 217-219.	0.8	9
49	What Is “Law,” if “the Law” Is not Something that “Is”? A Modest Contribution to a Major Question. Ratio Juris, 2013, 26, 456-485.	0.1	3
50	Improving Accessibility to Research Findings in Law. Alternative Law Journal, 2013, 38, 260-264.	0.2	1
51	Systematic government access to private-sector data in Australia. International Data Privacy Law, 2012, 2, 268-276.	0.8	5
52	The challenge of 'big data' for data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2012, 2, 47-49.	0.8	37
53	Time for the Law to Take Internet Geolocation Technologies Seriously. Journal of Private International Law, 2012, 8, 473-487.	0.0	4
54	Data protection in cloud computing – The Swedish perspective. Computer Law and Security Review, 2012, 28, 476-480.	1.3	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	A Call for Judicial Activism. <i>Alternative Law Journal</i> , 2011, 36, 33-35.	0.2	0
56	The Emperor's New Legal Education Theory. <i>Alternative Law Journal</i> , 2011, 36, 255-257.	0.2	2
57	The significance and protection of identity in the online world. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2011, 27, 1-3.	1.3	1
58	Pammer and Hotel Alpenhof " ECJ decision creates further uncertainty about when e-businesses "direct activities" to a consumer's state under the Brussels I Regulation. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2011, 27, 298-304.	1.3	3
59	The regulation of cross-border data flows. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2011, 1, 180-198.	0.8	8
60	Privacy--an elusive concept. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2011, 1, 141-142.	0.8	1
61	Moving forward together. <i>International Data Privacy Law</i> , 2011, 1, 81-82.	0.8	1
62	A legal method for solving issues of Internet regulation. <i>International Journal of Law and Information Technology</i> , 2011, 19, 243-263.	0.6	3
63	A best practice model for e-consumer protection. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2010, 26, 31-37.	1.3	19
64	Privacy and consumer risks in cloud computing. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2010, 26, 391-397.	1.3	168
65	International ranking of law journals " can it be done and at what cost?. <i>Legal Studies</i> , 2009, 29, 678-691.	0.3	10
66	The right of reputation in the Internet era1. <i>International Review of Law, Computers and Technology</i> , 2009, 23, 169-177.	0.7	2
67	The Choice of Courts Convention: How Will it Work in Relation to the Internet and E-Commerce?. <i>Journal of Private International Law</i> , 2009, 5, 517-535.	0.0	0
68	From the Airport to the Surgery to the Courtroom " Private International Law and Medical Tourism. <i>Commonwealth Law Bulletin</i> , 2008, 34, 265-276.	0.2	4
69	Conflict of laws issues associated with an action for interference with privacy. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2007, 23, 523-528.	1.3	0
70	An update on the proposed Hague Convention on exclusive choice of court agreements. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2005, 21, 22-29.	1.3	0
71	The characteristics making Internet communication challenge traditional models of regulation " What every international jurist should know about the Internet. <i>International Journal of Law and Information Technology</i> , 2005, 13, 39-69.	0.6	3
72	JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN CYBERSPACE. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2002, 18, 191-196.	1.3	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	HAGUE CONVENTION. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2002, 18, 340-344.	1.3	0
74	JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN CYBERSPACE. <i>Computer Law and Security Review</i> , 2001, 17, 318-325.	1.3	2
75	Cyberborders through "Code": An All-or-Nothing Affair?. , 0, , 110-124.		1
76	Limitless Borderless Forgetfulness? Limiting the Geographical Reach of the 'Right to Be Forgotten'. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	2
77	Grading AG Szpunar's Opinion in Case C-18/18 " A Caution Against Worldwide Content Blocking As Default. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0