Dan Jerker B Svantesson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8189484/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

77 633 10 21 papers citations h-index g-index

84 84 84 513
all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Privacy and consumer risks in cloud computing. Computer Law and Security Review, 2010, 26, 391-397.	1.3	168
2	The challenge of 'big data' for data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2012, 2, 47-49.	0.8	37
3	Could technology resurrect the dignity of the FIFA World Cup refereeing?. Computer Law and Security Review, 2014, 30, 569-573.	1.3	27
4	Are Requirements to Deposit Data in Research Repositories Compatible With the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2019, 170, 332.	2.0	27
5	Machine learning with personal data: is data protection law smart enough to meet the challenge?. International Data Privacy Law, 2017, 7, 1-2.	0.8	25
6	A best practice model for e-consumer protection. Computer Law and Security Review, 2010, 26, 31-37.	1.3	19
7	Solving the Internet Jurisdiction Puzzle. , 2017, , .		19
8	A "layered approach" to the extraterritoriality of data privacy laws. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 278-286.	0.8	16
9	Enforcing Privacy Across Different Jurisdictions. Law, Governance and Technology Series, 2016, , 195-222.	0.3	16
10	A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction: Beyond the Harvard Draft. AJIL Unbound, 2015, 109, 69-74.	0.2	14
11	Risk management in data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 95-98.	0.8	12
12	Extraterritoriality and targeting in EU data privacy law: the weak spot undermining the regulation. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 226-234.	0.8	11
13	Access to extraterritorial evidence: The Microsoft cloud case and beyond. Computer Law and Security Review, 2015, 31, 478-489.	1.3	11
14	Expanding the artificial intelligence-data protection debate. International Data Privacy Law, 2018, 8, 289-292.	0.8	11
15	International ranking of law journals – can it be done and at what cost?. Legal Studies, 2009, 29, 678-691.	0.3	10
16	Data protection in cloud computing – The Swedish perspective. Computer Law and Security Review, 2012, 28, 476-480.	1.3	10
17	PRISM and privacy: will this change everything?. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 217-219.	0.8	9
18	Internet Balkanization gathers pace: is privacy the real driver?. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 1-2.	0.8	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	The regulation of cross-border data flows. International Data Privacy Law, 2011, 1, 180-198.	0.8	8
20	Law enforcement access to evidence via direct contact with cloud providers $\hat{a} \in \text{``identifying the contours of a solution. Computer Law and Security Review, 2016, 32, 671-682.}$	1.3	8
21	Blockchain versus data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2018, 8, 103-104.	0.8	8
22	Between a rock and a hard place – An international law perspective of the difficult position of globally active Internet intermediaries. Computer Law and Security Review, 2014, 30, 348-356.	1.3	7
23	Article 4(1)(a) †establishment of the controller' in EU data privacy lawâ€"time to rein in this expanding concept?. International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 210-221.	0.8	7
24	The rise of cybersecurity and its impact on data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2017, 7, 73-75.	0.8	7
25	When two worlds collide: the interface between competition law and data protection. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 247-248.	0.8	6
26	The data protection credibility crisis. International Data Privacy Law, 2015, 5, 161-162.	0.8	6
27	Systematic government access to private-sector data in Australia. International Data Privacy Law, 2012, 2, 268-276.	0.8	5
28	Jurisdiction in 3D – "scope of (remedial) jurisdiction―as a third dimension of jurisdiction. Journal of Private International Law, 2016, 12, 60-76.	0.0	5
29	A time of turmoil …. International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 1-3.	0.8	5
30	Enter the quagmire – the complicated relationship between data protection law and consumer protection law. Computer Law and Security Review, 2018, 34, 25-36.	1.3	5
31	From the Airport to the Surgery to the Courtroom – Private International Law and Medical Tourism. Commonwealth Law Bulletin, 2008, 34, 265-276.	0.2	4
32	Time for the Law to Take Internet Geolocation Technologies Seriously. Journal of Private International Law, 2012, 8, 473-487.	0.0	4
33	The holy trinity of legal fictions undermining the application of law to the global Internet. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 2015, 23, 219-234.	0.6	4
34	The language of data privacy law (and how it differs from reality). International Data Privacy Law, 2016, 6, 259-260.	0.8	4
35	The characteristics making Internet communication challenge traditional models of regulation $\hat{a}\in$ "What every international jurist should know about the Internet. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 2005, 13, 39-69.	0.6	3
36	Pammer and Hotel Alpenhof – ECJ decision creates further uncertainty about when e-businesses "direct activities―to a consumer's state under the Brussels I Regulation. Computer Law and Security Review, 2011, 27, 298-304.	1.3	3

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A legal method for solving issues of Internet regulation. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 2011, 19, 243-263.	0.6	3
38	What Is "Law,―if "the Law―Is not Something that "Is� A Modest Contribution to a Major Question Ratio Juris, 2013, 26, 456-485.	٦.0.1	3
39	Data protection and humanitarian emergencies. International Data Privacy Law, 2017, 7, 147-148.	0.8	3
40	Digital platforms under fire: What Australia can learn from recent developments in Europe. Alternative Law Journal, 2018, 43, 275-282.	0.2	3
41	Jurisdictional issues and the internet – a brief overview 2.0. Computer Law and Security Review, 2018, 34, 715-722.	1.3	3
42	Re-thinking the categorisation of data in the context of law enforcement cross-border access to evidence. International Review of Law, Computers and Technology, 2020, 34, 44-64.	0.7	3
43	Nostradamus Lite $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{E}$ Selected Speculations as to the Future of Internet Jurisdiction. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2016, 10, 47-72.	0.1	3
44	JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN CYBERSPACE. Computer Law and Security Review, 2001, 17, 318-325.	1.3	2
45	The right of reputation in the Internet eral. International Review of Law, Computers and Technology, 2009, 23, 169-177.	0.7	2
46	The Emperor's New Legal Education Theory. Alternative Law Journal, 2011, 36, 255-257.	0.2	2
47	The extraterritoriality of data privacy lawsan explosive issue yet to detonate. International Data Privacy Law, 2013, 3, 147-148.	0.8	2
48	The (data privacy) law hasn't even checked in when technology takes off. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 175-176.	0.8	2
49	Is it time for an offence of â€~dishonest algorithmic manipulation for electoral gain'?. Alternative Law Journal, 2017, 42, 184-189.	0.2	2
50	An unstoppable force and an immoveable object? EU data protection law and national security. International Data Privacy Law, 2018, 8, 1-3.	0.8	2
51	Introducing the global data privacy prize. International Data Privacy Law, 2019, 9, 1-1.	0.8	2
52	Limitless Borderless Forgetfulness? Limiting the Geographical Reach of the 'Right to Be Forgotten'. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	2
53	"Lagom Jurisdiction―– What Viking Drinking Ettiquette Can Teach Us about Internet Jurisdiction and Google France. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2018, 12, 29-48.	0.1	2
54	The significance and protection of identity in the online world. Computer Law and Security Review, 2011, 27, 1-3.	1.3	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Privacyan elusive concept. International Data Privacy Law, 2011, 1, 141-142.	0.8	1
56	Moving forward together. International Data Privacy Law, 2011, 1, 81-82.	0.8	1
57	Improving Accessibility to Research Findings in Law. Alternative Law Journal, 2013, 38, 260-264.	0.2	1
58	The Rome II Regulation and Choice of Law in Internet-Based Violations of Privacy and Personality Rights – On the Wrong Track, but in the Right Direction?. Austrian Review of International and European Law, 2014, 16, 275-297.	0.1	1
59	Taking stock after four years. International Data Privacy Law, 2014, 4, 87-88.	0.8	1
60	Cyberborders through â€~Code': An All-or-Nothing Affair?. , 0, , 110-124.		1
61	Scope of jurisdiction online and the importance of messaging – lessons from Australia and the EU. Computer Law and Security Review, 2020, 38, 105428.	1.3	1
62	Grading AG Szpunar's Opinion in Case C-18/18 – A Caution against Worldwide Content Blocking as Default. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2019, 13, 389-400.	0.1	1
63	The (uncertain) future of online data privacy. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2015, 9, 129-153.	0.1	1
64	Against â€~Against Data Exceptionalism'. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2016, 10, 200-211.	0.1	1
65	JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN CYBERSPACE. Computer Law and Security Review, 2002, 18, 191-196.	1.3	O
66	HAGUE CONVENTION. Computer Law and Security Review, 2002, 18, 340-344.	1.3	0
67	An update on the proposed Hague Convention on exclusive choice of court agreements. Computer Law and Security Review, 2005, 21, 22-29.	1.3	O
68	Conflict of laws issues associated with an action for interference with privacy. Computer Law and Security Review, 2007, 23, 523-528.	1.3	0
69	The Choice of Courts Convention: How Will it Work in Relation to the Internet and E-Commerce?. Journal of Private International Law, 2009, 5, 517-535.	0.0	O
70	A Call for Judicial Activism. Alternative Law Journal, 2011, 36, 33-35.	0.2	0
71	The Hypocritical Hype about â€~Hypothesis'. Alternative Law Journal, 2014, 39, 259-262.	0.2	O
72	Cross-Border Data Transfers after the Cjeu's Safe Harbour Decision. Alternative Law Journal, 2016, 41, 39-42.	0.2	0

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	If the legislature had been serious about data privacy …. International Data Privacy Law, 2019, 9, 75-77.	0.8	0
74	Editorial: The Diversity of International ICT Law. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2015, 9, 1-3.	0.1	0
75	Editorial: Time to Move Forward on International ICT Law. Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology, 2017, 11, 3-6.	0.1	O
76	Grading AG Szpunar's Opinion in Case C-18/18 – A Caution Against Worldwide Content Blocking As Default. SSRN Electronic Journal, 0, , .	0.4	0
77	The European Union Artificial Intelligence Act: Potential implications for Australia. Alternative Law Journal, 2022, 47, 4-9.	0.2	0