MaÅ,gorzata GrodziÅ,,ska-Jurczak

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8178992/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

49 papers

1,611 citations

³⁹⁴⁴²¹ 19 h-index 315739 38 g-index

52 all docs

52 docs citations

52 times ranked 1982 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Divergent or convergent? Prioritization and spatial representation of ecosystem services as perceived by conservation professionals and local leaders. Land Use Policy, 2022, 119, 106193.	5.6	0
2	Resident Perceptions of Distribution, Recognition and Representation Justice Domains of Environmental Policy-Making: The Case of European Ecological Network Natura 2000 in Poland. Society and Natural Resources, 2021, 34, 248-268.	1.9	4
3	Environmental justice in Natura 2000 conservation conflicts: The case for resident empowerment. Land Use Policy, 2021, 107, 105494.	5.6	8
4	Social benefits of river restoration from ecosystem services perspective: A systematic review. Environmental Science and Policy, 2021, 124, 90-100.	4.9	23
5	Application of the ecosystem services concept at the local level – Challenges, opportunities, and limitations. Ecosystem Services, 2020, 42, 101077.	5.4	16
6	ENVIRONMENTAL CHOICES VS. COVID-19 PANDEMIC FEAR $\hat{a} \in \text{``PLASTIC GOVERNANCE RE-ASSESSMENT. Society Register, 2020, 4, 49-66.}$	0.3	29
7	Protected area conflicts: a state-of-the-art review and a proposed integrated conceptual framework for reclaiming the role of geography. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2019, 28, 2463-2498.	2.6	19
8	Discourses on Public Participation in Protected Areas Governance: Application of Q Methodology in Poland. Ecological Economics, 2018, 145, 401-409.	5.7	32
9	Using PP GIS interviews to understand residents' perspective of European ecological network Natura 2000. Tourism Geographies, 2017, 19, 848-877.	4.0	3
10	Application of the ecosystem services concept in environmental policy—A systematic empirical analysis of national level policy documents in Poland. Ecological Economics, 2016, 128, 169-176.	5.7	35
11	Contribution of social science to large scale biodiversity conservation: A review of research about the Natura 2000 network. Biological Conservation, 2016, 199, 110-122.	4.1	126
12	Assessing participatory and multi-level characteristics of biodiversity and landscape protection legislation: the case of Poland. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2016, 59, 1891-1911.	4.5	17
13	Public Participation and Environmental Justice in Biodiversity Governance in Finland, Greece, Poland and the UK. Environmental Policy and Governance, 2015, 25, 330-342.	3.7	73
14	Bottom-up perspectives on nature conservation systems: The differences between regional and local administrations. Environmental Science and Policy, 2015, 48, 20-31.	4.9	8
15	Challenges and opportunities in biodiversity conservation on private land: an institutional perspective from Central Europe and North America. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2015, 24, 1271-1292.	2.6	24
16	Conservation opportunity in biodiversity conservation on regulated private lands: Factors influencing landowners' attitude. Environmental Science and Policy, 2015, 54, 287-296.	4.9	21
17	Conservation on private land: a review of global strategies with a proposed classification system. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2015, 58, 576-597.	4.5	173
18	Cross-cultural values and management preferences in protected areas of Norway and Poland. Journal for Nature Conservation, 2015, 28, 89-104.	1.8	37

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Intergovernmental fiscal transfers to support local conservation action in Europe. Zeitschrift Fur Wirtschaftsgeographie, 2014, 58, 98-114.	1.2	19
20	Governance rescaling and the neoliberalization of nature: the case of biodiversity conservation in four EU countries. International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology, 2014, 21, 481-494.	5.9	59
21	Emerging multilevel environmental governance – A case of public participation in Poland. Journal for Nature Conservation, 2014, 22, 93-102.	1.8	49
22	Should conservation of biodiversity involve private land? A Q methodological study in Poland to assess stakeholders' attitude. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2014, 23, 2689-2704.	2.6	29
23	Conifer epicuticular wax as a biomarker of air pollution: an overview. Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae, 2014, 67, 291-300.	0.8	11
24	Quantifying Human Subjectivity Using Q Method: When Quality Meets Quantity. Qualitative Sociology Review, 2014, 10, 60-79.	0.2	22
25	Factors influencing perception of protected areasâ€"The case of Natura 2000 in Polish Carpathian communities. Journal for Nature Conservation, 2012, 20, 284-292.	1.8	62
26	Can public participation increase nature conservation effectiveness?. Innovation: the European Journal of Social Science Research, 2011, 24, 371-378.	1.6	18
27	Volunteers on the Political Anvil: Citizenship and Volunteer Biodiversity Monitoring in Three Postcommunist Countries. Environment and Planning C: Urban Analytics and City Science, 2011, 29, 170-185.	1.5	10
28	Expansion of Nature Conservation Areas: Problems with Natura 2000 Implementation in Poland?. Environmental Management, 2011, 47, 11-27.	2.7	119
29	Securing the Conservation of Biodiversity across Administrative Levels and Spatial, Temporal, and Ecological Scales – Research Needs and Approaches of the <i>SCALES</i> Project. Gaia, 2010, 19, 187-193.	0.7	54
30	Cultural Diversity Issues in Biodiversity Monitoringâ€"Cases of Lithuania, Poland and Denmark. Diversity, 2010, 2, 1130-1145.	1.7	9
31	What counts? Volunteers and their organisations in the recording and monitoring of biodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2008, 17, 3443-3454.	2.6	156
32	Motivations for organic farming among farmers from Malopolska Province, Poland. International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development, 2008, 7, 345.	0.3	9
33	Attitudes to environmental education in Poland. Journal of Biological Education, 2007, 42, 12-18.	1.5	14
34	Who is responsible for Natura 2000 in Poland? a potential role of NGOs in establishing the programme. International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development, 2007, 6, 422.	0.3	16
35	Effects of an educational campaign on public environmental attitudes and behaviour in Poland. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2006, 46, 182-197.	10.8	47
36	Perception of Environmental Problems Among Pre-school Children in Poland. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education, 2006, 15, 62-76.	1.6	61

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Management of Packaging Waste in Poland - Development Agenda and Accession to the EU. Waste Management and Research, 2004, 22, 212-223.	3.9	3
38	Environmental Education in Polish Primary Schools. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education, 2004, 13, 264-268.	1.6	2
39	Increasing participation in rational municipal waste management—a case study analysis in Jaslo City (Poland). Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2003, 38, 67-88.	10.8	28
40	The relation between education, knowledge and action for better waste management in Poland. Waste Management and Research, 2003, 21, 2-18.	3.9	18
41	Environmental knowledge and awareness in secondary Polish education. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2002, 9, 215-216.	5. 3	3
42	Ecological awareness of nature teachers in Poland. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2001, 8, 5-6.	5. 3	5
43	Management of industrial and municipal solid wastes in Poland. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2001, 32, 85-103.	10.8	51
44	The RECAL Foundation Programme; an example of ecological education in Poland. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2001, 34, 19-31.	10.8	6
45	Ecological education in the polish educational system. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2000, 7, 235-238.	5. 3	6
46	Evaluation of SO2 and NO2-related degradation of coniferous forest stands in Poland. Science of the Total Environment, 1999, 241, 1-15.	8.0	9
47	Acidity of atmospheric precipitation in Polish Tatra Mountains. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 1995, 85, 773-778.	2.4	5
48	Nature Conservation $\hat{a} \in \hat{a}$ a new dimension in Open Access publishing bridging science and application. Nature Conservation, 0, 1, 1-10.	0.0	5
49	Biodiversity conservation across scales: lessons from a science–policy dialogue. Nature Conservation, 0, 2, 7-19.	0.0	47