## Melek Tassoker

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8168530/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

933410 996954 21 248 10 15 citations h-index g-index papers 22 22 22 266 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evaluation of the mandibular trabecular bone in patients with bruxism using fractal analysis. Oral Radiology, 2021, 37, 36-45.	1.9	31
2	Occlusal Caries Detection and Diagnosis Using Visual ICDAS Criteria, Laser Fluorescence Measurements, and Near-Infrared Light Transillumination Images. Medical Principles and Practice, 2020, 29, 25-31.	2.4	27
3	Three-dimensional volumetric analysis of the maxillary sinus: a cone-beam computed tomography study. Folia Morphologica, 2020, 79, 557-562.	0.8	26
4	Comparison of cone-beam computed tomography and panoramic radiography in the evaluation of maxillary sinus pathology related to maxillary posterior teeth: Do apical lesions increase the risk of maxillary sinus pathology?. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 2019, 49, 115.	1.8	18
5	What Are the Risk Factors for External Root Resorption of Second Molars Associated With Impacted Third Molars? A Cone-Beam Computed Tomography Study. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2019, 77, 11-17.	1.2	18
6	A comparative study of cone-beam computed tomography and digital panoramic radiography for detecting pulp stones. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 2018, 48, 201.	1.8	16
7	Investigation of the relationship between "Sella Turcica Bridge" and "Ponticulus Posticus": A Lateral Cephalometric Study. International Journal of Morphology, 2017, 35, 337-344.	0.2	13
8	What are the risk factors for maxillary sinus pathologies? A CBCT study. Oral Radiology, 2020, 36, 80-84.	1.9	13
9	Diversion of the mandibular canal: Is it the best predictor of inferior alveolar nerve damage during mandibular third molar surgery on panoramic radiographs?. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 2019, 49, 213.	1.8	13
10	Is There a Possible Association between Skeletal Face Types and Third Molar Impaction? A Retrospective Radiographic Study. Medical Principles and Practice, 2019, 28, 70-74.	2.4	12
11	Comparison of five convolutional neural networks for predicting osteoporosis based on mandibular cortical index on panoramic radiographs. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, 2022, 51, .	2.7	12
12	Is the maxillary sinus volume affected by concha bullosa, nasal septal deviation, and impacted teeth? A CBCT study. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, 2020, 277, 227-233.	1.6	11
13	Comparison of cone-beam computed tomography with bitewing radiography for detection of periodontal bone loss and assessment of effects of different voxel resolutions: an in vitro study. Oral Radiology, 2019, 35, 177-183.	1.9	8
14	Evaluation of the relationship between sleep bruxism and pulpal calcifications in young women: A clinico-radiological study. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 2018, 48, 277.	1.8	7
15	Analysis of the root canal configuration and C-shaped canal frequency of mandibular second molars: A CBCT study. Folia Morphologica, 2018, 77, 752-757.	0.8	7
16	Comparison of cone-beam computed tomography and panoramic radiography for mandibular morphometry. Folia Morphologica, 2019, 78, 862-870.	0.8	6
17	Investigation of the Prevalence of Retromolar Canals: A Cone Beam CT Study. International Journal of Morphology, 2017, 35, 1298-1302.	0.2	4
18	LAMM syndrome: two new patients with a novel mutation in FGF3 gene and additional clinical findings. Clinical Dysmorphology, 2019, 28, 81-85.	0.3	4

## MELEK TASSOKER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	RADIOGRAPHIC EVALUATION OF PERIAPICAL STATUS AND FREQUENCY OF ENDODONTIC TREATMENT IN A TURKISH POPULATION: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY. Journal of Istanbul University Faculty of Dentistry, 2016, 50, 10-16.	0.2	1
20	Investigation of Tooth Extraction Reasons in Patients Who Applied to a Dental Faculty. Meandros Medical and Dental Journal, 2018, 19, 219-225.	0.2	1
21	The Relationship between Sleep Bruxism with Coping with Stress, Anxiety and Alexithymia in Women. Meandros Medical and Dental Journal, 2020, 21, 73-79.	0.2	O