Galina Velikova

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/8090642/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Quality of life support in advanced cancer—web and technological interventions: systematic review and narrative synthesis. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2023, 13, e221-e234.	0.8	6
2	Factors influencing patient satisfaction after treatments for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology, 2022, 148, 2447-2454.	1.2	4
3	Long-term unmet supportive care needs of teenage and young adult (TYA) childhood brain tumour survivors and their caregivers: a cross-sectional survey. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 1981-1992.	1.0	6
4	Quality-of-life methodology in hormone receptor–positive advanced breast cancer: Current tools and perspectives for the future. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2022, 102, 102321.	3.4	4
5	Developing an e-learning course on the use of PRO measures in oncological practice: health care professionals' preferences for learning content and methods. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2022, 30, 2555-2567.	1.0	6
6	Long-term impact of adult WHO grade II or III gliomas on health-related quality of life: A systematic review. Neuro-Oncology Practice, 2022, 9, 3-17.	1.0	5
7	Electronic self-reporting of adverse events for patients undergoing cancer treatment: the eRAPID research programme including two RCTs. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2022, 10, 1-110.	0.4	6
8	Acceptance and Commitment Therapy to support medication decision-making and quality of life in women with breast cancer: protocol for a pilot randomised controlled trial. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2022, 8, 33.	0.5	7
9	†We do need to keep some human touch' — Patient and clinician experiences of ovarian cancer followâ€up and the potential for an electronic patientâ€reported outcome pathway: A qualitative interview study. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2022, , e13557.	0.7	3
10	Minimally important differences of EORTC QLQ-C30 scales in patients with lung cancer or malignant pleural mesothelioma – Interpretation guidance derived from two randomized EORTC trials. Lung Cancer, 2022, 167, 65-72.	0.9	6
11	Frailty and treatment outcome in advanced gastro-oesophageal cancer: An exploratory analysis of the GO2 trial. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2022, 13, 287-293.	0.5	8
12	Collection of cancer Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS) to link with primary and secondary electronic care records to understand and improve long term cancer outcomes: A protocol paper. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0266804.	1.1	2
13	Reaching beyond maximum grade: progress and future directions for modernising the assessment and reporting of adverse events in haematological malignancies. Lancet Haematology,the, 2022, 9, e374-e384.	2.2	11
14	Patient reported outcome assessment must be inclusive and equitable. Nature Medicine, 2022, 28, 1120-1124.	15.2	47
15	Clustering of EORTC QLQ-C30 health-related quality of life scales across several cancer types: Validation study. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 170, 1-9.	1.3	6
16	A Narrative Review on the Collection and Use of Electronic Patient-Reported Outcomes in Cancer Survivorship Care with Emphasis on Symptom Monitoring. Current Oncology, 2022, 29, 4370-4385.	0.9	14
17	Electronic patient-reported monitoring of symptoms during follow-up of ovarian cancer patients: a feasibility study. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22, .	1.1	3
18	Patient experiences of an electronic PRO tailored feedback system for symptom management following upper gastrointestinal cancer surgery. Quality of Life Research, 2021, 30, 3229-3239.	1.5	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Online monitoring of patient self-reported adverse events in early phase clinical trials: Views from patients, clinicians, and trial staff. Clinical Trials, 2021, 18, 168-179.	0.7	16
20	Electronic Patient Reporting of Adverse Events and Quality of Life: A Prospective Feasibility Study in General Oncology. JCO Oncology Practice, 2021, 17, e386-e396.	1.4	12
21	Development and Psychometric Evaluation of an Item Bank for Computerized Adaptive Testing of the EORTC Insomnia Dimension in Cancer Patients (EORTC CAT-SL). Applied Research in Quality of Life, 2021, 16, 827-844.	1.4	1
22	Electronic Patient-Reported Outcomes After Thoracic Surgery: Toward Better Remote Management of Perioperative Symptoms. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 1878-1879.	0.7	4
23	Establishing anchor-based minimally important differences for the EORTC QLQ-C30 in glioma patients. Neuro-Oncology, 2021, 23, 1327-1336.	0.6	15
24	Phase III Randomized Controlled Trial of eRAPID: eHealth Intervention During Chemotherapy. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 734-747.	0.8	127
25	Does exercise intensity matter for fatigue during (neoâ€)adjuvant cancer treatment? The Phys an randomized clinical trial. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1144-1159.	1.3	32
26	Systematic review of the use of translated patient-reported outcome measures in cancer trials. Trials, 2021, 22, 306.	0.7	8
27	European Society of Thoracic Surgeons electronic quality of life application after lung resection: field testing in a clinical setting. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, 2021, 32, 911-920.	0.5	6
28	Unmet support needs in teenage and young adult childhood brain tumour survivors and their caregivers: "it's all the aftermath, and then you're forgotten about― Supportive Care in Cancer, 2021 29, 6315-6324.	l,1.0	11
29	Coverage of symptomatic toxicities from the common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) framework within the european organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC) item library Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, e24117-e24117.	0.8	0
30	The European Code of Cancer Practice. Journal of Cancer Policy, 2021, 28, 100282.	0.6	22
31	SPIRIT-PRO Extension explanation and elaboration: guidelines for inclusion of patient-reported outcomes in protocols of clinical trials. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045105.	0.8	65
32	International perspectives on suboptimal patientâ€reported outcome trial design and reporting in cancer clinical trials: A qualitative study. Cancer Medicine, 2021, 10, 5475-5487.	1.3	11
33	Reply to H. Shojima et al. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2021, 39, 2633-2634.	0.8	2
34	OS10.6.A Supportive care needs of TYA childhood brain tumour survivors and their caregivers: a mixed methods study. Neuro-Oncology, 2021, 23, ii14-ii14.	0.6	0
35	P11.02 Long-term impact of primary brain tumour diagnosis on health-related quality of life: a systematic review. Neuro-Oncology, 2021, 23, ii29-ii29.	0.6	0
36	Minimally important differences for the EORTC QLQ-C30 in prostate cancer clinical trials. BMC Cancer, 2021, 21, 1083.	1.1	12

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Quality of life after VATS lung resection and SABR for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: A longitudinal study. Lung Cancer, 2021, 162, 71-78.	0.9	12
38	An international update of the EORTC questionnaire for assessing quality of life in breast cancer patients: EORTC QLQ-BR45. Annals of Oncology, 2020, 31, 283-288.	0.6	54
39	Technology is the future, but who are we leaving behind?. Lancet Oncology, The, 2020, 21, 29.	5.1	3
40	Reference values for the EORTC QLQ-C30 in early and metastatic breast cancer. European Journal of Cancer, 2020, 125, 69-82.	1.3	36
41	Patients' confidence in treatment decisions for early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 2020, 18, 237.	1.0	9
42	Minimally important differences for interpreting European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 scores in patients with head and neck cancer. Head and Neck, 2020, 42, 3141-3152.	0.9	28
43	General patient satisfaction after elective and acute thoracic surgery is associated with postoperative complications. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 2088-2095.	0.6	2
44	Minimally important differences for interpreting the EORTC QLQ 30 in patients with advanced colorectal cancer treated with chemotherapy. Colorectal Disease, 2020, 22, 2278-2287.	0.7	22
45	Patients' views of routine quality of life assessment following a diagnosis of early-stage non-small cellÂlung cancer. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, 2020, 31, 324-330.	0.5	7
46	Results from a 1-day workshop on the assessment of quality of life in cancer patients: a joint initiative of the Japan Clinical Oncology Group and the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2020, 50, 1333-1341.	0.6	1
47	Minimally important differences for interpreting European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of life Questionnaire core 30 scores in patients with ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncology, 2020, 159, 515-521.	0.6	12
48	A real-time electronic symptom monitoring system for patients after discharge following surgery: a pilot study in cancer-related surgery. BMC Cancer, 2020, 20, 543.	1.1	40
49	International standards for the analysis of quality-of-life and patient-reported outcome endpoints in cancer randomised controlled trials: recommendations of the SISAQOL Consortium. Lancet Oncology, The, 2020, 21, e83-e96.	5.1	180
50	Phase III randomized controlled trial of eRAPID (electronic patient self-Reporting of Adverse-events:) Tj ETQq0 0 0 Oncology, 2020, 38, 7002-7002.	rgBT /Ove 0.8	erlock 10 Tf 5 9
51	Choosing the right survey: the lung cancer surgery. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2020, 12, 6892-6901.	0.6	6
52	Delphi survey to inform patient-reported symptom monitoring after ovarian cancer treatment. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2020, 4, 71.	0.9	7
53	Assessing the quality and communicative aspects of patient decision aids for early-stage breast cancer treatment: a systematic review. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 178, 1-15.	1.1	32
54	Cancer as a chronic illness: support needs and experiences. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2019, , bmjspcare-2019-001882.	0.8	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Minimally Important Differences for Interpreting EORTC QLQ-C30 Scores in Patients With Advanced Breast Cancer. JNCI Cancer Spectrum, 2019, 3, pkz037.	1.4	46
56	Net Pain Relief After Palliative Radiation Therapy for Painful Bone Metastases: A Useful Measure to Reflect Response Duration? A Further Analysis of the Dutch Bone Metastasis Study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2019, 105, 559-566.	0.4	11
57	Current state of quality of life and patient-reported outcomes research. European Journal of Cancer, 2019, 121, 55-63.	1.3	83
58	Quality of life in men living with advanced and localised prostate cancer in the UK: a population-based study. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, 436-447.	5.1	100
59	Longâ€ŧerm issues and supportive care needs of adolescent and young adult childhood brain tumour survivors and their caregivers: A systematic review. Psycho-Oncology, 2019, 28, 477-487.	1.0	41
60	Developing a real-time electronic symptom monitoring system for patients after discharge following cancer-related surgery. BMC Cancer, 2019, 19, 463.	1.1	45
61	Online tool for monitoring adverse events in patients with cancer during treatment (eRAPID): field testing in a clinical setting. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025185.	0.8	28
62	Prognostic value of patient-reported outcomes from international randomised clinical trials on cancer: a systematic review. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, e685-e698.	5.1	80
63	Engaging Patients and Clinicians in Online Reporting of Adverse Effects During Chemotherapy for Cancer. Medical Care, 2019, 57, S59-S65.	1.1	25
64	Digitally enabled patient-reported outcome measures in cancer care. Lancet Oncology, The, 2019, 20, e2.	5.1	0
65	Evaluating the experiences and support needs of people living with chronic cancer: development and initial validation of the Chronic Cancer Experiences Questionnaire (CCEQ). BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2019, 9, e15-e15.	0.8	10
66	Electronic Systems for Patients to Report and Manage Side Effects of Cancer Treatment: Systematic Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2019, 21, e10875.	2.1	121
67	Guidelines for Inclusion of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Clinical Trial Protocols. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 483.	3.8	507
68	Evaluation of patient-reported outcome protocol content and reporting in UK cancer clinical trials: the EPiC study qualitative protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e017282.	0.8	6
69	Establishing anchor-based minimally important differences (MID) with the EORTC quality-of-life measures: a meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e019117.	0.8	45
70	Informing the Tolerability of Cancer Treatments Using Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Summary of an FDA and Critical Path Institute Workshop. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 742-747.	0.1	79
71	Implementation of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Routine Medical Care. American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book / ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology Meeting, 2018, 38, 122-134.	1.8	260
72	Are quality of life outcomes comparable following stereotactic radiotherapy and minimally invasive surgery for stage I lung cancer patients?. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2018, 10, 7055-7063.	0.6	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Patients reported outcomes in thoracic surgery. Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2018, 10, 703-706.	0.6	2
74	Interpreting European Organisation for Research and Treatment for Cancer Quality of life Questionnaire core 30 scores as minimally importantly different for patients with malignant melanoma. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 104, 169-181.	1.3	38
75	Quality of life after postmastectomy radiotherapy in patients with intermediate-risk breast cancer (SUPREMO): 2-year follow-up results of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, 1516-1529.	5.1	52
76	Statistical analysis of patient-reported outcome data in randomised controlled trials of locally advanced and metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review. Lancet Oncology, The, 2018, 19, e459-e469.	5.1	66
77	Comparison of EORTC QLQ-C30 and PRO-CTCAEâ,,¢ Questionnaires on Six Symptom Items. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2018, 56, 421-429.	0.6	11
78	The EORTC CAT Core—The computer adaptive version of the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 100, 8-16.	1.3	68
79	eRAPID electronic patient self-Reporting of Adverse-events: Patient Information and aDvice: a pilot study protocol in pelvic radiotherapy. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2018, 4, 110.	0.5	18
80	Taking patient reported outcomes centre stage in cancer research – why has it taken so long?. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2018, 4, 25.	1.1	25
81	EORTC QLQ-C30 summary score reliably detects changes in QoL three months after anatomic lung resection for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). Lung Cancer, 2018, 123, 149-154.	0.9	39
82	Moving forward toward standardizing analysis of quality of life data in randomized cancer clinical trials. Clinical Trials, 2018, 15, 624-630.	0.7	42
83	Beyond maximum grade: modernising the assessment and reporting of adverse events in haematological malignancies. Lancet Haematology,the, 2018, 5, e563-e598.	2.2	97
84	A randomised phase II trial and feasibility study of palliative chemotherapy in frail or elderly patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer (321GO). British Journal of Cancer, 2017, 116, 472-478.	2.9	34
85	Development of an integrated electronic platform for patient self-report and management of adverse events during cancer treatment. Annals of Oncology, 2017, 28, 2305-2311.	0.6	88
86	Accelerated versus standard epirubicin followed by cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil or capecitabine as adjuvant therapy for breast cancer in the randomised UK TACT2 trial (CRUK/05/19): a multicentre, phase 3, open-label, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Oncology, The, 2017, 18, 929-945.	5.1	58
87	Quality of life with cediranib in relapsed ovarian cancer: The <scp>ICON</scp> 6 phase 3 randomized clinical trial. Cancer, 2017, 123, 2752-2761.	2.0	24
88	Observational study of the development and evaluation of a fertility preservation patient decision aid for teenage and adult women diagnosed with cancer: the Cancer, Fertility and Me research protocol. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e013219.	0.8	32
89	Healthâ€related quality of life in cancer survivorship: Predictive power of the Social Difficulties Inventory. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1994-1997.	1.0	6
90	Electronic patient self-Reporting of Adverse-events: Patient Information and aDvice (eRAPID): a randomised controlled trial in systemic cancer treatment. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 318.	1.1	51

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Acute and Late Adverse Events Associated With Radical Radiation Therapy Prostate Cancer Treatment: A Systematic Review of Clinician and Patient Toxicity Reporting in Randomized Controlled Trials. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2017, 97, 495-510.	0.4	23
92	Patient reported outcomes following video assisted thoracoscopic (VATS) resection or stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy (SABR) for treatment of non-small cell lung cancer: protocol for an observational pilot study (LiLAC). Journal of Thoracic Disease, 2017, 9, 2703-2713.	0.6	8
93	Breast Cancer, Sexuality, and Intimacy: Addressing the Unmet Need. Breast Journal, 2016, 22, 478-479.	0.4	9
94	Health-related quality of life in locally advanced and metastatic breast cancer: methodological and clinical issues in randomised controlled trials. Lancet Oncology, The, 2016, 17, e294-e304.	5.1	72
95	Development and evaluation of a specifically designed website for haematopoietic stem cell transplant patients in Leeds. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2016, 25, 402-418.	0.7	12
96	Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on breast cancer phenotype, ER/PR and HER2 expression – Implications for the practising oncologist. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 60, 40-48.	1.3	52
97	QLU-C10D: a health state classification system for a multi-attribute utility measure based on the EORTC QLQ-C30. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 625-636.	1.5	83
98	Systematic review of health-related quality of life in patients undergoing pelvic exenteration. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 1132-1145.	0.5	43
99	The use of EORTC measures in daily clinical practice—A synopsis of a newly developed manual. European Journal of Cancer, 2016, 68, 73-81.	1.3	75
100	The economic burden of cancer in the UK: a study of survivors treated with curative intent. Psycho-Oncology, 2016, 25, 77-83.	1.0	24
101	Asking the right questions to get the right answers: using cognitive interviews to review the acceptability, comprehension and clinical meaningfulness of patient self-report adverse event items in oncology patients. Acta Oncológica, 2016, 55, 1220-1226.	0.8	23
102	An audit of acute oncology services: patient experiences of admission procedures and staff utilisation of a new telephone triage system. Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016, 24, 5041-5048.	1.0	25
103	Analysing data from patient-reported outcome and quality of life endpoints for cancer clinical trials: a start in setting international standards. Lancet Oncology, The, 2016, 17, e510-e514.	5.1	158
104	Subgroup Analyses from a Phase 3, Open-Label, Randomized Study of Eribulin Mesylate versus Capecitabine in Pretreated Patients with Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer. Breast Cancer: Basic and Clinical Research, 2016, 10, BCBCR.S39615.	0.6	36
105	Identifying components in consent information needed to support informed decision making about trial participation: An interview study with women managing cancer. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 161, 83-91.	1.8	18
106	Serial MRI scans help in assessing early response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and tailoring breast cancer treatment. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 42, 965-972.	0.5	13
107	Using a discrete choice experiment to value the QLU-C10D: feasibility and sensitivity to presentation format. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 637-649.	1.5	58
108	Replication and validation of higher order models demonstrated that a summary score for the EORTC QLQ-C30 is robust. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2016, 69, 79-88.	2.4	306

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Symptom Clusters in Advanced Cancer Patients: An Empirical Comparison of Statistical Methods and the Impact on Quality of Life. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2016, 51, 88-98.	0.6	68
110	International Society for Quality of Life Research commentary on the draft European Medicines Agency reflection paper on the use of patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures in oncology studies. Quality of Life Research, 2016, 25, 359-362.	1.5	19
111	Psychometric analysis of the Korean version of the high-dose chemotherapy specific quality of life questionnaire module from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Tj ETQq1	1 0.5 78431	.4ogBT /Ovei
112	OC-0416: eRAPID: Electronic self-report and management of adverse-events for radical prostate radiotherapy (RT) patients. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2015, 115, S202.	0.3	3
113	Health-related quality of life in patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer treated with eribulin mesylate or capecitabine in an open-label randomized phase 3 trial. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2015, 154, 509-520.	1.1	46
114	The effects of age on health-related quality of life in cancer populations: A pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials using the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 involving 6024 cancer patients. European Journal of Cancer, 2015, 51, 2808-2819.	1.3	105
115	Defining chronic cancer: patient experiences and self-management needs. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2015, 5, 343-350.	0.8	20
116	Development of a conceptual framework of healthâ€related quality of life in locally recurrent rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2015, 17, 954-964.	0.7	15
117	Illness perceptions within 6Âmonths of cancer diagnosis are an independent prospective predictor of health-related quality of life 15Âmonths post-diagnosis. Psycho-Oncology, 2015, 24, 1463-1470.	1.0	38
118	Costs of cancer care for use in economic evaluation: a UK analysis of patient-level routine health system data. British Journal of Cancer, 2015, 112, 948-956.	2.9	50
119	Systematic Review of Radiation Therapy Toxicity Reporting in Randomized Controlled Trials of Rectal Cancer: A Comparison of Patient-Reported Outcomes and Clinician Toxicity Reporting. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2015, 92, 555-567.	0.4	58
120	Use of patient-reported outcomes to measure symptoms and health related quality of life in the clinic. Gynecologic Oncology, 2015, 136, 429-439.	0.6	78
121	Training clinicians in how to use patient-reported outcome measures in routine clinical practice. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 1707-1718.	1.5	161
122	Integrated care pathways for cancer survivors – a role for patient-reported outcome measures and health informatics. Acta Oncológica, 2015, 54, 600-608.	0.8	56
123	Deriving a preference-based utility measure for cancer patients from the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer's Quality of Life Questionnaire C30: a confirmatory versus exploratory approach. Patient Related Outcome Measures, 2014, 5, 119.	0.7	6
124	Using the Clinical Research Network for psychosocial cancer research: lessons learned from two observational studies. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2014, 4, 202-211.	0.8	0
125	Framing Options as Choice or Opportunity. Medical Decision Making, 2014, 34, 567-582.	1.2	30
126	Traditional and Rasch psychometric analyses of the Quality of Life in Adult Cancer Survivors (QLACS) questionnaire in shorter-term cancer survivors 15months post-diagnosis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2014, 77, 322-329.	1.2	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	How do doctors refer to patient-reported outcome measures (PROMS) in oncology consultations?. Quality of Life Research, 2013, 22, 939-950.	1.5	65
128	Evidence-based guidelines for interpreting change scores for the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30. European Journal of Cancer, 2012, 48, 1713-1721.	1.3	358
129	Comparing higher order models for the EORTC QLQ-C30. Quality of Life Research, 2012, 21, 1607-1617.	1.5	52
130	Evidence-Based Guidelines for Determination of Sample Size and Interpretation of the European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 89-96.	0.8	493
131	Impact of Patient-Reported Outcomes in Oncology: A Longitudinal Analysis of Patient-Physician Communication. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 2910-2917.	0.8	130
132	The social difficulties of cancer patients of South Asian Indian and Pakistani origin. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2011, 1, A24-A25.	0.8	0
133	Development of computerised adaptive testing (CAT) for the EORTC QLQ-C30 dimensions – General approach and initial results for physical functioning. European Journal of Cancer, 2010, 46, 1352-1358.	1.3	58
134	Patients report improvements in continuity of care when quality of life assessments are used routinely in oncology practice: Secondary outcomes of a randomised controlled trial. European Journal of Cancer, 2010, 46, 2381-2388.	1.3	150
135	The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire for patients with Bone Metastases: The EORTC QLQ-BM22. European Journal of Cancer, 2009, 45, 1146-1152.	1.3	108
136	Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): An update of a systematic review on methodological issues in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2009, 27, 9604-9604.	0.8	0
137	The clinical value of quality of life assessment in oncology practice—a qualitative study of patient and physician views. Psycho-Oncology, 2008, 17, 690-698.	1.0	108
138	Quality, interpretation and presentation of European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer quality of life questionnaire core 30 data in randomised controlled trials. European Journal of Cancer, 2008, 44, 1793-1798.	1.3	137
139	The EORTC QLQ-HDC29: A supplementary module assessing the quality of life during and after high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. European Journal of Cancer, 2007, 43, 87-94.	1.3	29
140	Health related quality of life outcomes in cancer clinical trials. European Journal of Cancer, 2005, 41, 1697-1709.	1.3	133
141	Use of electronic quality of life applications in cancer research and clinical practice. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2004, 4, 403-411.	0.7	12
142	Measuring Quality of Life in Routine Oncology Practice Improves Communication and Patient Well-Being: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2004, 22, 714-724.	0.8	1,160
143	Breast cancer outcomes in South Asian population of West Yorkshire. British Journal of Cancer, 2004, 90, 1926-1932.	2.9	47
144	Computer-Administered Individual Quality of LD7E Assessments in Oncology Practice. Clinical Science, 2002, 103, 48P-49P.	0.0	0

9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Computer-based quality of life questionnaires may contribute to doctor–patient interactions in oncology. British Journal of Cancer, 2002, 86, 51-59.	2.9	186
146	Automated Collection of Quality-of-Life Data: A Comparison of Paper and Computer Touch-Screen Questionnaires. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 1999, 17, 998-998.	0.8	314
147	Development of an EORTC questionnaire module to be used in health-related quality-of-life assessment for patients with multiple myeloma. British Journal of Haematology, 1999, 104, 605-611.	1.2	79
148	Quality of life instruments in oncology. European Journal of Cancer, 1999, 35, 1571-1580.	1.3	117
149	Serum concentrations of soluble adhesion molecules in patients with colorectal cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 1998, 77, 1857-1863.	2.9	83
150	Circulating soluble adhesion molecules E-cadherin, E-selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) in patients with gastric cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 1997, 76, 1398-1404.	2.9	60